

From Trading Floor to Tech Platform: An Exploratory Case Study of the Strategic and Institutional Evolution of Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) Limited

Isha Devadiga ¹ & P. S. Aithal ²

¹ MBA Scholar, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, Udupi, 576 101, India,
ORCID iD: 0009-0000-5533-0320; Email: isha.mbaa24@pim.ac.in

² Professor, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, Udupi - 576101, India,
Orchid ID: 0000-0002-4691-8736; E-mail: psaithal@gmail.com

Area/Section: Company Analysis.

Type of the Paper: Exploratory Research.

Number of Peer Reviews: Two.

Type of Review: Peer Reviewed as per [C|O|P|E|](#) guidance.

Indexed in: OpenAIRE.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22965392>

Google Scholar Citation: [PIJTRCS](#)

How to Cite this Paper:

Devadiga, Isha & Aithal, P. S. (2026). From Trading Floor to Tech Platform: An Exploratory Case Study of the Strategic and Institutional Evolution of Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) Limited. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Teaching & Research Case Studies (PIJTRCS)*, 3(2), 644-683. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22965392>

Poornaprajna International Journal of Teaching & Research Case Studies (PIJTRCS)

A Refereed International Journal of Poornaprajna Publication, India.

ISSN: 3107-8494

Crossref DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64818/PIJTRCS.3107.8494.0071>

Received on: 12/08/2026

Published on: 26/09/2026

© With Authors.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International License](#), subject to proper citation to the publication source of the work.

Disclaimer: The scholarly papers as reviewed and published by Poornaprajna Publication (P.P.), India, are the views and opinions of their respective authors and are not the views or opinions of the PP. The PP disclaims of any harm or loss caused due to the published content to any party.

From Trading Floor to Tech Platform: An Exploratory Case Study of the Strategic and Institutional Evolution of Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) Limited

Isha Devadiga ¹ & P. S. Aithal ²

¹ MBA Scholar, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, Udupi, 576 101, India,
ORCID iD: 0009-0000-5533-0320; Email: isha.mbaa24@pim.ac.in

² Professor, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, Udupi - 576101, India,
Orchid ID: 0000-0002-4691-8736; E-mail: psaithal@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The purpose of this scholarly paper is to examine the strategic and institutional evolution of Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) Limited from a traditional stock exchange into a technology-enabled and diversified capital market infrastructure institution. The study evaluates BSE's transformation through its financial performance, technological strategy, market platforms, competitive positioning, human resource management, marketing practices, and emerging strategic challenges. It further aims to identify the factors that support BSE's continued relevance and provide practical suggestions for strengthening its competitive position and institutional leadership in India's evolving capital market.

Methodology: This paper adopts an exploratory qualitative case study approach to examine the strategic and institutional evolution of BSE Limited. Relevant information was collected through keyword-based searches using Google, Google Scholar, publicly available company reports, regulatory publications, and other secondary sources, with AI-assisted tools used to support information organization and interpretation. The collected information was analysed and interpreted in accordance with the objectives of the study using analytical frameworks including SWOC, ABCD, PESTLE, financial analysis, competitor comparison, technological strategy analysis, marketing analysis, and human resource management analysis.

Analysis & Suggestions: The analysis demonstrates that BSE Limited has evolved beyond its traditional role as a stock exchange through technology-enabled trading infrastructure, StAR MF, India INX, SME-market development, index services, and diversified capital-market platforms. Although the institution has achieved substantial financial growth and strengthened its technological and institutional capabilities, it continues to face challenges such as NSE's dominance in equity derivatives, the need to expand India INX's international contribution, increasing fintech disruption, and cybersecurity and technology-reliability requirements. The study therefore suggests strengthening derivatives-market participation, expanding StAR MF into a broader investment ecosystem, increasing the international reach of India INX, improving retail investor engagement, and maintaining continuous investment in technology, cybersecurity, and institutional capabilities.

Originality/Value: This paper provides a multidimensional examination of BSE Limited by viewing its development as an institutional transformation rather than only as a conventional company or stock-exchange performance study. It connects BSE's historical heritage with its technological transformation, platform diversification, financial development, competitive challenges, and evolving role within India's capital-market infrastructure. The study provides useful insights for researchers, financial-market practitioners, regulators, and institutions interested in understanding how established financial-market organizations can adapt to technological disruption while maintaining institutional credibility and long-term relevance.

Type of Paper: Case Study Based on Exploratory Research

Keywords: Trading Floor, Tech Platform, Strategic Evolution, Institutional Evolution, Bombay Stock Exchange, BSE Limited, Capital Market Innovation, Technology Strategy,

Capital Market Infrastructure, SENSEX, StAR MF, India INX, Financial Analysis, Competitive Strategy, Exploratory Case Study

1. INTRODUCTION :

Company analysis is a useful approach for examining organisations within their broader business environments and for developing a systematic understanding of organisational practices, strategic decisions, and performance. In management research, company analysis can be treated as a focused form of case-based inquiry that enables researchers to examine a company in its real-world context and investigate its challenges, opportunities, capabilities, and business environment (Aithal, (2017). [1]). Case-study research is also widely used for developing theoretical understanding from organisational evidence, particularly when researchers seek to examine complex organisational phenomena in depth (Eisenhardt (1989). [2]; Welch et al., (2011). [3]). Therefore, company analysis provides a structured foundation for investigating organisations whose strategies and operating environments involve multiple interconnected factors.

The significance of company analysis lies in its ability to connect theoretical concepts with practical organisational situations. Case-study research enables researchers to examine organisational activities within their contextual settings and to understand how organisational decisions are influenced by surrounding conditions (Stake, (1995). [4]). Strategic analysis further provides a basis for examining competitive environments, industry conditions, and strategic choices, thereby supporting systematic interpretation of a company's position within its business environment (Ghemawat, (2002). [6]). Such an approach is particularly valuable when analysing organisations operating in complex and changing environments, where organisational performance cannot be understood through a single financial or operational indicator. Company analysis can therefore provide a multidimensional perspective on strategic behaviour, competitive positioning, organisational capabilities, and environmental influences. The scholarly relevance of company-based case research is further supported by its capacity to generate contextual and theoretically meaningful insights. Ridder (2017) explains that different case-study designs can contribute to understanding, theory building, theory development, and theory testing when an appropriate methodological design is adopted (Ridder (2017). [8]). Siggelkow (2007) similarly highlights the importance of presenting case-based evidence in a convincing manner so that relationships and mechanisms within organisational contexts can generate meaningful theoretical insights (Siggelkow (2007). [9]). Welch et al. (2011) further demonstrate that case studies can be used for theorising by combining contextualisation with explanation of organisational phenomena (Welch et al. (2011). [3]). These perspectives establish company analysis as more than a descriptive exercise; when systematically designed, it can provide analytical insights into how organisations respond to strategic, technological, institutional, and competitive changes.

A structured company-analysis study generally involves the selection of an appropriate organisation, formulation of research objectives, collection of relevant evidence, examination of the organisational and external context, application of analytical frameworks, interpretation of findings, and development of strategic implications. Voss et al. (2002) emphasise the importance of systematic case-research design, appropriate data collection, and methodological rigour in producing credible case-based findings (Voss et al., (2002). [11]). The use of multiple sources of evidence, such as annual reports, regulatory publications, scholarly literature, industry information, and competitor-related information, can further strengthen the analysis by allowing information to be examined from different perspectives. Such methodological structuring is particularly important in a single-company study because it enables the researcher to develop a comprehensive interpretation while reducing dependence on a single source of information.

Thus, company analysis as an exploratory case-study approach provides a suitable basis for examining the strategic and organisational characteristics of a specific firm within its industry environment. It enables the integration of theoretical perspectives with empirical evidence and provides a basis for examining strategic capabilities, competitive conditions, financial performance, technological development, and institutional influences. This approach is particularly relevant to financial-market infrastructure institutions because their performance and strategic development are influenced by technological innovation, regulation, market competition, investor participation, and changing financial-market requirements. Accordingly, the present study applies an exploratory company-analysis

approach to BSE Limited to examine its strategic evolution, organisational capabilities, financial position, competitive environment, and technological strategy.

2. ABOUT BSE LIMITED :

2.1 Background on BSE Limited:

BSE Limited, formerly known as the Bombay Stock Exchange, was established in 1875 as the Native Share and Stock Brokers' Association and is recognised as one of Asia's oldest organised stock exchanges. Its development has been closely associated with the growth of India's securities market and capital-market infrastructure (BSE Limited [12]). Over the years, BSE evolved from a traditional broker-based institution into a modern financial market infrastructure provider offering a range of securities-market services (BSE Limited (2025). [13]).

A major transformation in BSE's organisational structure occurred through corporatisation and demutualisation. The Securities and Exchange Board of India approved the BSE Corporatisation and Demutualisation Scheme in 2005, which provided for the separation of ownership and management from the trading rights of members and the establishment of BSE as a corporate entity (Securities and Exchange Board of India, (2005). [14]). BSE subsequently moved towards a publicly listed corporate structure, with its shares listed on the stock exchanges in February 2017 (BSE Limited (2017). [15]).

Technological development has been another important aspect of BSE's transformation. The exchange introduced electronic trading through its BOLT system, contributing to greater automation and changes in market efficiency and trading activity (Shah & Thomas 1996). [16]). BSE has continued to invest in high-speed trading infrastructure, with its trading system achieving response times of approximately six microseconds (BSE Limited (2025). [17]).

BSE provides market infrastructure across several segments, including equities, derivatives, debt securities, and mutual funds. Its flagship S&P BSE SENSEX, introduced in 1986, tracks 30 major companies and serves as an important benchmark for the Indian equity market (S&P Dow Jones Indices & BSE (2013). [18]). BSE has also expanded its services through BSE StAR MF, an electronic platform for mutual-fund transactions (BSE Limited (2025). [17]).

The exchange has further expanded its international presence through India International Exchange (India INX), established at GIFT City in Gujarat. India INX provides an international trading platform and forms part of the broader financial-market infrastructure developed at the International Financial Services Centre (India International Exchange (2017). [19]). BSE operates within India's securities-market regulatory framework, which is governed by legislation such as the Securities Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1956, and regulatory oversight by SEBI (Government of India, (1956). [20]; Securities and Exchange Board of India (1992). [21]).

Overall, BSE's long institutional history, transition from a member-based association to a demutualised corporate entity, technological modernisation, diversified market services, and integration with international financial infrastructure demonstrate its continuing significance within India's financial-market system (BSE Limited (2025) [22]).

2.2 Rationale for Selecting BSE Limited as a Case Study in Capital Market Innovation:

BSE Limited offers a distinctive case for studying institutional innovation because it combines a long history in India's financial markets with continuing technological and strategic transformation. BSE Limited (2025) [22] highlights the exchange's extensive institutional history and continuing transformation, while Securities and Exchange Board of India (2005) [23] documents the corporatisation and demutualisation of BSE. This evolution from a member-owned association to a demutualised, regulated, publicly listed, and technology-driven exchange makes BSE an appropriate case for examining how established financial institutions respond to technological and competitive changes while maintaining market integrity and investor protection.

A second reason for selecting BSE is its role in financial product and platform innovation. BSE Limited (2025) [24] reports the continued expansion of the BSE StAR MF platform, which has developed into a large-scale digital infrastructure for mutual fund transactions. The platform had more than 80,000 registered distributors and 237 million investor accounts by March 2025, demonstrating BSE's ability to use technology to expand beyond conventional securities trading and strengthen its position within India's financial ecosystem. India International Exchange [25] further highlights BSE's international

orientation through India INX at GIFT City, which provides an international trading platform within India's International Financial Services Centre framework.

Third, BSE provides an important example of how competitive pressure can influence organisational transformation. Shah and Thomas (1996) [26] examined how competition and automation changed the Bombay Stock Exchange, highlighting the importance of technological development and competitive forces in the evolution of India's stock-market infrastructure. BSE subsequently strengthened its derivatives business, with the index derivatives segment being relaunched in May 2023. BSE Limited (2024) [27] reported significant growth in the exchange's derivatives business, demonstrating the importance of product innovation and strategic execution in responding to competitive pressures.

Finally, BSE is relevant to the study because of its contribution to India's broader capital-market development. BSE Limited (2026) [28] highlights the exchange's continuing role in supporting listed companies and the SME segment, while BSE Limited (2025) [29] documents its wider operations and institutional ecosystem. Its relationship with SEBI, role in facilitating capital raising and price discovery, and educational initiatives therefore position BSE at the intersection of commercial activity and financial-market development. This makes BSE a useful case for examining institutional sustainability, innovation, competitive adaptation, and stakeholder value creation.

2.3 Scope and Relevance of Exploratory Research in Evaluating Financial Market Firms:

Exploratory inquiry is particularly suitable for evaluating financial market infrastructure firms because their operational environments, including trading technology, regulatory frameworks, and competitive conditions, are continuously evolving. Eisenhardt (1989) [30] explains that case-study research can support theory building through an iterative process between empirical evidence and emerging concepts, while Edmondson and McManus (2007) [31] emphasise the importance of methodological fit between research questions, existing theory, research design, and theoretical contribution. Flyvbjerg (2005) [32] further demonstrates the value of detailed case studies in understanding complex organisational and institutional phenomena that may not be adequately captured through purely quantitative approaches.

Such an approach is particularly relevant to financial-market firms because their performance is influenced by multiple interconnected factors, including competition, liquidity, transaction costs, governance, and technological development. Ramos and von Thadden (2008) [33] demonstrate how competition between stock exchanges can influence transaction costs and cross-border trading activity. Similarly, O'Hara (2003) [34] highlights the importance of market microstructure in understanding liquidity and price discovery. These perspectives support the use of exploratory analysis to examine how different institutional and market factors interact within financial exchanges.

Exploratory research can also help examine organisational transformation and strategic adaptation that may not be fully reflected in conventional financial-performance indicators. Yin (2018) [35] explains that case-study research is particularly useful for investigating contemporary phenomena within their real-world contexts, especially when the boundaries between the phenomenon and its context are not clearly defined. In the context of financial exchanges, this approach allows researchers to examine technological transformation, competitive responses, governance changes, and strategic initiatives together rather than treating them as isolated variables.

Finally, exploratory research is relevant for examining the broader contribution of financial-market institutions to economic development. Levine and Zervos (1998) [36] demonstrate the relationship between stock-market development and economic growth, highlighting the wider economic significance of financial-market institutions. Therefore, an exploratory case study of BSE Limited can provide a contextual understanding of how technological innovation, regulatory requirements, competitive pressures, market development, and institutional strategy interact in shaping the evolution of a major financial-market firm.

3. REVIEW OF LITERATURE :

3.1 Previous Research on Capital Market Development, Exchange Business Models, and Case Study Methodology:

The literature on exchange business models has evolved from early structural analyses of trading mechanisms to increasingly fine-grained empirical work on demutualization, technology adoption, and revenue diversification. Research on demutualization indicates that the transformation of traditional

member-owned exchanges into demutualized and corporatized organizations can influence operational efficiency and exchange performance, although the outcomes may differ across institutional settings (Serifsoy, (2008). [37]). Studies of the Indian stock-exchange environment further demonstrate that corporatization and demutualization represented important changes in the ownership and governance structures of exchanges, with BSE undergoing this transformation within India's regulatory framework (BSE Limited, (2025). [38]). This provides an important foundation for examining how changes in governance structures influence the strategic development and competitive positioning of financial-market institutions.

Research on capital-market microstructure provides foundational context for evaluating BSE's competitive positioning against NSE. The liquidity externalities literature demonstrates that trading volume and liquidity are closely interconnected, with the concentration of trading activity potentially reinforcing the liquidity advantages of established markets (Pagano (1989). [39]). Market microstructure research further emphasizes the importance of trading mechanisms, information, liquidity, price formation, and market organization in determining the functioning and efficiency of financial markets (Madhavan (2000). [40]). These findings are relevant to understanding the competitive relationship between BSE and NSE, particularly in terms of differences in trading activity, liquidity, market participation, and price discovery.

Technology investment research provides another important dimension for evaluating the evolution of modern stock exchanges. Empirical evidence from high-frequency trading research demonstrates that technological improvements in trading speed can contribute to price discovery and market efficiency by enabling information to be incorporated into prices more rapidly (Brogaard et al. (2014). [41]). This is relevant to BSE's continuing investment in trading technology and digital infrastructure. BSE's annual report documents the development and modernization of its technology platforms and its continued investment in digital infrastructure, demonstrating that technology has become an important component of the exchange's operational and strategic development (BSE Limited (2025). [38]). Therefore, technological infrastructure can be viewed not merely as an operational requirement but also as a strategic capability that supports scalability, efficiency, and competitiveness.

The mutual-fund distribution and digital-platform dimension provides additional context for understanding BSE's business diversification. BSE StAR MF has developed as an electronic mutual-fund transaction-processing platform and represents an extension of BSE's activities beyond conventional securities trading (BSE Limited (2025). [38]). The development of digital financial infrastructure has also contributed to the increasing accessibility and efficiency of financial-market services by reducing transaction-related frictions and facilitating electronic participation (Madhavan (2000). [40]). However, increases in transaction volumes should not automatically be interpreted as evidence of a direct causal relationship between digitalisation and investor participation. Rather, the expansion of platforms such as StAR MF demonstrates the increasing operational and strategic importance of technology-enabled financial services within the exchange business model (BSE Limited (2025). [38]).

Finally, methodological scholarship underlines why exploratory, theory-building case studies remain relevant for examining complex exchange business models and capital-market institutions. Eisenhardt's classic guidelines for inductive theory construction advocate within-case pattern seeking and systematic comparison to develop theoretical insights from empirical evidence (Eisenhardt (1989). [42]), while Eisenhardt and Graebner extend this argument by demonstrating how case studies can generate theoretical constructs and propositions from rich organizational evidence (Eisenhardt & Graebner (2007). [43]). Edmondson and McManus emphasize methodological fit between research questions, existing theory, research design, and the nature of the research domain, particularly where theoretical understanding is still developing (Edmondson & McManus (2007). [44]). Baxter and Jack further explain that qualitative case studies are appropriate for examining complex phenomena within their real-world contexts and emphasize the importance of using multiple sources of evidence to strengthen case-study findings (Baxter & Jack (2008). [45]). Applied to BSE, these methodological contributions support an exploratory research design that triangulates annual reports, regulatory documents, financial information, technological developments, and academic literature to produce a comprehensive assessment of BSE's business model, strategic evolution, and institutional role.

3.2 Scholarly References on Capital Market Regulation, Financial Innovation, and Performance Benchmarking:

The literature on capital market regulation highlights the importance of balancing market efficiency, competition, innovation, and investor protection. Macey and O'Hara argue that the emergence of alternative trading systems and technological changes in securities markets creates important regulatory challenges, requiring regulatory frameworks that preserve fairness, efficiency, and transparency while allowing innovation and competition (Macey & O'Hara (1999). [46]). The importance of investor protection for capital-market development is further established by La Porta et al., who find that stronger legal protection of investors is associated with broader and more developed capital markets (La Porta et al. (1997). [47]). Similarly, La Porta et al. demonstrate that differences in legal rules and enforcement mechanisms influence the development of securities markets and the ability of firms to obtain external finance (La Porta et al. (1998). [48]). These findings provide an important theoretical basis for examining the regulatory environment within which stock exchanges such as BSE operate, particularly with respect to investor protection, market integrity, transparency, and regulatory oversight.

A related strand of literature examines how financial-market regulation interacts with market structure and competition. Madhavan's review of market microstructure demonstrates that trading mechanisms, information asymmetry, liquidity, and market design are central to the functioning of securities markets (Madhavan (2000). [49]). O'Hara further emphasizes that liquidity and price discovery are fundamental functions of financial markets because trading processes determine how information is incorporated into asset prices (O'Hara (2003). [50]). These studies are particularly relevant to exchange operators because regulatory arrangements cannot be evaluated independently of the market mechanisms through which trading, liquidity, and price formation occur. Consequently, the effectiveness of an exchange's regulatory environment should be considered alongside its ability to maintain orderly trading, efficient price discovery, and adequate market liquidity.

Financial innovation represents a second major theme in the literature. Gennaioli, Shleifer, and Vishny explain that financial innovation allows intermediaries to create securities that respond to investor preferences, but they also caution that innovation can introduce risks that investors may underestimate, potentially increasing financial fragility (Gennaioli et al. (2012). [51]). This perspective is relevant to stock exchanges because the introduction of new financial products and trading platforms can expand market participation and create new sources of activity while simultaneously increasing the complexity of risk management and regulatory supervision. Research on stock-market development also indicates that well-functioning and liquid stock markets contribute to capital accumulation and productivity growth, demonstrating the broader economic significance of financial-market innovation (Levine & Zervos (1998). [52]).

Technological innovation constitutes another important component of financial innovation. Hendershott, Jones, and Menkveld find that algorithmic trading can improve liquidity by narrowing spreads and reducing adverse selection, indicating that technological development can influence measurable aspects of market quality (Hendershott et al. (2011). [53]). Brogaard, Hendershott, and Riordan similarly demonstrate that high-frequency trading contributes to the price-discovery process, showing how technological capabilities can influence the efficiency with which information is incorporated into market prices (Brogaard et al. (2014). [54]). These findings are relevant to the evaluation of technology-driven exchange businesses because electronic trading systems, algorithmic participation, and low-latency infrastructure can affect liquidity, execution quality, and price discovery. Therefore, technological innovation should be evaluated not merely as an operational investment but also in terms of its contribution to market quality and competitive performance.

The third theme concerns performance benchmarking. Traditional financial measures such as revenue, profitability, and market capitalization provide important information about the commercial performance of financial-market firms, but they do not fully capture the quality of the markets they operate. O'Hara emphasizes that liquidity and price discovery are central dimensions of market functioning, suggesting that market-quality indicators should complement conventional financial measures when evaluating financial-market institutions (O'Hara (2003). [50]). Similarly, Levine and Zervos demonstrate that stock-market liquidity is associated with capital accumulation and productivity growth, indicating that market performance can have implications beyond the financial performance of individual institutions (Levine & Zervos (1998). [52]). Accordingly, the performance of an exchange such as BSE can be assessed through a combination of financial indicators and market-based indicators,

including trading activity, liquidity, price discovery, technological efficiency, investor participation, and market development. This multidimensional approach provides a more comprehensive basis for evaluating financial-market firms and their contribution to the wider capital-market ecosystem.

3.3 Current Status:

Table 1: Current Status of Published Scholarly Research on BSE Limited

S. No.	Key Issues	Current Status	Reference
1	Stock Exchange Governance & Market Quality	Research on Indian stock exchanges has examined the relationship between exchange governance structure and market quality. Comparative evidence involving BSE and NSE indicates that organisational structure and governance can influence the quality and efficiency of trading markets.	Krishnamurti et al. (2003) [56]
2	Market Microstructure, Liquidity & Trading Efficiency	Studies comparing BSE and NSE examine trading mechanisms, market efficiency, liquidity, and volatility. The findings highlight differences in the trading characteristics and market quality of the two major Indian exchanges.	Goel et al. (2021) [57]
3	Liquidity & Ownership Structure	Research using BSE data has examined the relationship between liquidity, stock returns, and ownership structure. The findings indicate that ownership characteristics and trading activity are important factors associated with liquidity and stock-market performance.	Eleswarapu & Krishnamurti (1995) [58]
4	Derivatives & Spot Market Volatility	Research on the introduction of index futures and options in the Indian market examines their implications for spot-market volatility. The findings provide evidence on how derivatives development can influence the behaviour and stability of the underlying equity market.	Selvam et al. (2009) [59]
5	BSE SME Platform & SME Financing	Research on BSE SME initial public offerings examines listing-day price performance and its determinants. The findings indicate that the BSE SME platform provides an important avenue for smaller enterprises to access equity capital markets while also creating opportunities for studying SME IPO performance.	Singh & Anand (2020) [60]
6	IPO Pre-opening Mechanism & Price Discovery	Research using Indian IPO data examines price discovery during pre-opening auctions. The findings show that the pre-opening mechanism plays an important role in incorporating information into prices and improving the quality of price discovery around IPO listings.	Bhurjee & Saragur Ramanna (2024) [61]
7	SENSEX & Market Efficiency	The BSE SENSEX has been examined extensively in studies of market efficiency. Research testing weak-form efficiency using SENSEX data provides evidence on whether historical price information is reflected in current market prices.	Ryaly et al. (2017) [62]
8	Investor Behaviour & Trading Activity	Behavioural-finance research based on BSE data has examined investor overconfidence and its relationship with trading activity. The findings suggest that behavioural biases can influence investment decisions and contribute to excessive trading in the stock market.	Mushinada & Veluri (2018) [63]

Overall, the existing scholarly literature on BSE Limited covers a broad range of issues, including exchange governance, market microstructure, liquidity, derivatives, SME financing, price discovery, market efficiency, and investor behaviour. Collectively, these studies demonstrate the relevance of BSE as an empirical setting for examining important dimensions of India's capital market, including market microstructure, liquidity, derivatives, IPOs, market efficiency, and investor behaviour. However, there remains scope for further research on BSE's recent technological developments, diversification of business activities, competitive position, and evolving role within India's financial-market ecosystem.

4. OBJECTIVES OF THE PAPER :

- (1) To explore the business evolution and strategic direction of BSE Limited.
- (2) To examine the technological strategy and innovation initiatives of BSE Limited.
- (3) To analyze the financial performance, revenue structure, and cost dynamics of BSE Limited.
- (4) To conduct a SWOC (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Challenges) and ABCD (Advantages, Benefits, Constraints, and Disadvantages) analysis of BSE Limited.
- (5) To assess BSE Limited's alignment with regulatory requirements and financial inclusion objectives.
- (6) To compare BSE Limited's performance with that of competitors offering similar exchange and capital-market services.
- (7) To provide strategic suggestions for enhancing customer satisfaction, stakeholder engagement, and the long-term competitiveness of BSE Limited.

5. METHODOLOGY :

5.1 Exploratory Case Study Method :

The present study adopts an exploratory case study approach to examine BSE Limited within its real-world organizational and financial-market context. Case study research is appropriate for investigating contemporary organizational phenomena where the interaction between the organization and its surrounding environment is important (Yin (2018) [64]). The exploratory approach provides flexibility to examine emerging strategic issues, identify relevant variables, and develop a comprehensive understanding of organizational practices (Stebbins (2001) [65]). This approach is particularly suitable for BSE Limited because its business environment is influenced by technological developments, regulatory changes, market competition, and evolving capital-market requirements. Exploratory case studies can also be useful for examining emerging organizational practices where existing theoretical explanations may be limited or fragmented (Helo & Hao (2022) [66]).

The study is based primarily on secondary data collected from BSE annual reports, financial statements, regulatory disclosures, official corporate publications, industry reports, and relevant scholarly literature. The use of multiple sources of evidence strengthens the contextual understanding and analytical depth of a case study (Sibbald et al. (2021) [67]). In addition, exploratory case studies can support the identification and refinement of important variables and research themes for subsequent investigation (Monteiro et al. (2016) [68]). The collected information is systematically reviewed to analyse BSE Limited's business evolution, technological strategy, financial performance, regulatory environment, competitive position, and strategic challenges. The findings are further examined using SWOC and ABCD frameworks to provide an integrated strategic assessment of the company.

5.2 Qualitative and Quantitative Data Sources:

In constructing a comprehensive strategic analysis of BSE Limited, this study uses both quantitative and qualitative secondary data obtained from corporate documents, regulatory publications, financial disclosures, industry sources, and academic literature. Quantitative information is derived primarily from BSE's annual reports, audited financial statements, financial results, and other official disclosures, including data on revenue, trading activity, transaction volumes, segment performance, and costs. Qualitative information is obtained from annual-report narratives, management discussions, regulatory disclosures, investor presentations, and other relevant corporate documents. Previous research demonstrates that textual analysis of corporate financial disclosures can provide information about tone and other characteristics of financial narratives and can be associated with important corporate outcomes such as trading activity, volatility, and earnings (Loughran & McDonald (2011) [69]). Similarly, research on annual-report narratives indicates that corporate disclosures can provide useful

information for understanding the relationship between narrative content and business performance (Qian & Sun (2021) [70]). Document analysis is therefore used to systematically examine these sources and identify recurring themes, strategic priorities, and organizational developments (Bowen (2009) [71]).

The study also incorporates an outside perspective through relevant financial-market publications, regulatory communications, industry reports, financial news, and scholarly research. These sources are used to understand BSE's competitive environment, regulatory developments, market trends, and broader changes in the capital-market ecosystem. Where relevant, publicly available media and social-media information can provide additional perspectives on market developments and stakeholder perceptions; research has demonstrated the potential of sentiment analysis of social-media data as an input to strategic planning (Grande-Ramírez et al. (2022) [72]). The collected qualitative and quantitative evidence is subsequently compared and interpreted through source triangulation to improve the reliability and contextual depth of the analysis. The exploratory research perspective adopted in this study also supports the use of multiple analytical approaches, including strategic frameworks such as SWOC and ABCD analysis (Aithal & Aithal (2023) [73]).

5.3 Use of Strategic Business Analysis Frameworks:

This study uses three complementary strategic analysis frameworks—SWOC, ABCD, and PESTLE—to examine BSE Limited from internal, organizational, and external environmental perspectives. SWOC analysis identifies Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Challenges and has been applied in company-level case studies to assess internal capabilities and external business conditions (Barreto & Mayya (2022) [74]). ABCD analysis, comprising Advantages, Benefits, Constraints, and Disadvantages, provides a structured approach for examining the positive and negative factors associated with an organization and has been applied as a methodology for company case studies (Aithal (2017) [75]). PESTLE analysis provides a systematic approach for examining Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Legal, and Environmental factors affecting an organization's strategic environment (Çitilci, & Akbalık (2020) [76]). The use of multiple strategic frameworks allows the study to examine BSE Limited from different analytical perspectives and provides a broader basis for strategic interpretation.

The study follows an exploratory qualitative approach, with relevant secondary information collected through keyword-based searches of Google Search, Google Scholar, official BSE and SEBI websites, academic publications, annual reports, financial disclosures, and other credible sources. Document analysis provides a systematic method for examining written and documentary sources and identifying relevant themes and patterns (Bowen (2009) [77]). The collected information is organized and interpreted according to the objectives of the study, while the exploratory research approach supports the integration of different analytical perspectives and research models (Aithal & Aithal (2023) [78]). The findings from SWOC, ABCD, and PESTLE are subsequently integrated with the financial, technological, regulatory, and competitive analysis to develop a comprehensive strategic assessment of BSE Limited.

6. COMPANY PROFILE: BSE LIMITED :

6.1 History and Founding:

BSE Limited was established in 1875 in Bombay, now Mumbai, as the Native Share & Stock Brokers' Association and is recognized as Asia's oldest stock exchange. Its origins can be traced to the nineteenth-century securities market in Bombay, where brokers initially conducted transactions informally before establishing a more structured association. BSE's historical records identify 1875 as the year in which the Native Share and Stock Brokers' Association was formed, marking the institutional beginning of the exchange (Chauhan & Jain (2018) [79]). BSE subsequently received recognition under the Securities Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1956 [80], with the relevant government notification issued in 1957. A major institutional transformation occurred with the BSE Corporatisation and Demutualisation Scheme, approved by SEBI in May 2005. The scheme separated ownership and management from members' trading rights and provided for BSE to operate as a corporate entity. SEBI approved the BSE Corporatisation and Demutualisation Scheme in May 2005, providing for the separation of ownership, management, and trading rights of members (Securities and Exchange Board of India (2005) [81]). The corporatisation and demutualisation process was subsequently completed in

2007. BSE later became a publicly listed company when its equity shares were listed on the National Stock Exchange of India in February 2017 following its initial public offering [82].

BSE's technological transformation became particularly significant with the introduction of the BSE Online Trading (BOLT) system in 1995, which supported the transition from traditional floor-based trading to electronic trading. The exchange subsequently continued to upgrade its trading and connectivity infrastructure, including BOLT Plus and web-based trading facilities [83]. BSE also expanded its product portfolio beyond the traditional equity market. It introduced equity derivatives in 2000 and subsequently developed additional derivatives and currency products, including cross-currency derivatives and weekly USD-INR futures and options in 2018 [84]. The S&P BSE SENSEX, introduced in 1986, became an important benchmark for the Indian equity market and continues to represent the performance of major listed companies [85]. BSE also developed the StAR MF platform as part of its diversification into mutual-fund distribution infrastructure. In FY2025, the platform processed 66.3 crore transactions and generated revenue of ₹230.7 crore, demonstrating its growing importance within BSE's broader business portfolio [86]. Thus, BSE's historical development reflects a transition from a traditional broker-led exchange to a diversified and technology-oriented capital-market infrastructure institution.

6.2 Vision and Mission:

BSE Limited's vision and strategic direction reflect its role as a financial-market infrastructure institution focused on facilitating efficient market access, capital formation, price discovery, and investor participation (BSE Limited (2025) [87]). As a regulated market infrastructure institution, BSE's activities involve balancing commercial objectives with requirements relating to market integrity, investor protection, transparency, and orderly market functioning. Its long institutional history and continuing technological development reflect an organizational emphasis on maintaining a trusted marketplace while expanding its products and services to meet changing market requirements (BSE Limited (2025) [87]). BSE's broader development also incorporates financial inclusion through initiatives such as the StAR MF platform, the BSE SME platform, and investor-education activities, which extend access to capital-market services beyond large institutional participants. These initiatives support BSE's role not only as a securities trading platform but also as a broader capital-market infrastructure provider (BSE Limited (2025) [88]).

BSE's strategic direction also emphasizes technology, product diversification, and international market participation. Its activities include the development of derivatives and index products and the expansion of international market access through India INX at GIFT City. The launch of SENSEX derivatives on India INX in February 2025 further illustrates the exchange's efforts to extend its benchmark products to international participants (India International Exchange (2025) [89]). From a broader financial-market perspective, exchanges perform important economic functions by facilitating capital raising, trading, price discovery, and risk management, while their governance and regulatory arrangements influence the efficiency and stability of financial-market infrastructure (Lee (2011) [90]). The World Federation of Exchanges similarly emphasizes that regulated market infrastructures contribute to fair, transparent, stable, and efficient markets and support capital formation and economic growth (World Federation of Exchanges (2024) [91]). Therefore, BSE's mission and strategic direction can be understood as an attempt to combine its historical institutional role with technological innovation, product expansion, financial inclusion, and the development of India's domestic and international capital-market ecosystem.

6.3 Key Products and Milestones (SENSEX, StAR MF, India INX, BSE SME):

BSE's flagship product, the S&P BSE SENSEX, is a benchmark index comprising 30 large and liquid companies and has been an important indicator of the Indian equity market since its introduction in 1986 (BSE Limited (2025) [92]). The SENSEX has subsequently been complemented by a wider range of indices covering different market segments, investment themes, and strategies through BSE's index business and Asia Index Private Limited. BSE's index portfolio has expanded beyond the SENSEX to include indices covering different market segments, sectors, themes, and investment strategies (Asia Index Private Limited (2025) [93]). SENSEX futures and options further extend the use of the benchmark by providing instruments for hedging and gaining exposure to the Indian equity market.

BSE StAR MF represents an important diversification of BSE's business into digital mutual-fund distribution infrastructure. BSE StAR MF represents an important diversification of BSE's business into digital mutual-fund distribution infrastructure. The platform has developed into a large-scale transaction platform serving mutual-fund distributors and investors. In FY2025, BSE StAR MF processed approximately 66.3 crore transactions and generated revenue of ₹230.7 crore, demonstrating its increasing contribution to BSE's business operations (BSE Limited (2025) [94]). India International Exchange (India INX), located at GIFT City International Financial Services Centre, represents BSE's international-market initiative and provides trading and listing services across products such as equity derivatives, currency derivatives, interest-rate derivatives, and debt securities. The launch of SENSEX derivatives on India INX in February 2025 further expanded the international availability of BSE's flagship benchmark products (India International Exchange (2025) [95]). BSE's SME platform, launched in 2012, provides a dedicated market for smaller enterprises seeking access to equity capital. The platform has developed into an important source of capital-market access for SMEs, with companies able to raise funds and subsequently graduate to the mainboard when they satisfy the applicable requirements (BSE Limited (2025) [96]). These products and platforms collectively demonstrate BSE's diversification from a traditional equity exchange into a broader capital-market infrastructure group encompassing index services, mutual funds, international markets, and SME financing.

6.4 Organizational Structure and Regulatory Relationship with SEBI:

BSE Limited operates as a publicly listed market infrastructure institution regulated by the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). Its governing board includes public interest directors, shareholder/non-independent directors, and the Managing Director & CEO, with the composition governed by the regulatory framework applicable to recognized stock exchanges. SEBI regulations require the governing board of a recognized stock exchange to include shareholder directors, public interest directors, and a managing director, while public interest directors must constitute at least the same number as shareholder directors (Securities and Exchange Board of India (2024) [97]). BSE's current board includes its Chairman, public interest directors, a non-independent director, and Managing Director & CEO Sundararaman Ramamurthy (BSE Limited (2025) [98]). The Managing Director & CEO is responsible for the day-to-day management of the exchange, while the board and its committees provide oversight of governance, risk, compliance, and strategic matters. BSE's organizational structure also extends through subsidiaries, joint ventures, and associate companies involved in clearing, technology, international markets, index services, and other financial-market activities. Its group structure includes India International Exchange, Indian Clearing Corporation, BSE Technologies, BSE Investments, Asia Index Private Limited, and its associate relationship with Central Depository Services (India) Limited (BSE Limited (2025) [87]).

BSE's relationship with SEBI is central to its operations because the exchange functions within a regulatory framework governing market operations, risk management, investor protection, surveillance, and governance. SEBI's regulatory framework also requires the appointment of directors and key management personnel of recognized stock exchanges to follow specified eligibility, approval, and governance requirements, thereby strengthening regulatory oversight of exchange management and board-level decision-making (Securities and Exchange Board of India (2024) [99]). SEBI's regulatory framework for stock exchanges and clearing corporations establishes requirements relating to governance, risk management, compliance, and operational matters (Securities and Exchange Board of India (2024) [97]). SEBI's regulatory framework also provides for oversight of key management personnel, public interest directors, and governance mechanisms of recognized stock exchanges, reinforcing the separation between commercial activities and market-integrity responsibilities. In addition, SEBI's surveillance framework requires recognized stock exchanges to maintain systems for monitoring securities-market activities and identifying potentially irregular or manipulative trading patterns (Securities and Exchange Board of India (2024) [100]). Consequently, BSE's organizational structure reflects a combination of commercial management and regulatory oversight, in which business expansion and technological development operate within requirements designed to maintain fair, orderly, transparent, and investor-protective markets.

7. BUSINESS MODEL OF BSE LIMITED & COMPETITORS :

(1) Transaction-Based Revenue Model

BSE's business model includes transaction-based income generated from activities across equity, derivatives, currency, debt, and other market segments. Revenue from these activities is influenced by trading volumes, turnover, market participation, volatility, product mix, and competitive conditions, particularly in relation to NSE. BSE's growing activity in equity and index derivatives has strengthened the importance of transaction-based business within its overall revenue structure. The expansion of new products and trading opportunities also provides scope for increasing participation from retail and institutional investors.

(2) Mutual-Fund Transaction-Processing Model – BSE StAR MF

BSE StAR MF represents an important diversification of BSE's business into digital mutual-fund transaction-processing infrastructure. The platform enables mutual-fund distributors, financial intermediaries, and other participants to process transactions such as systematic investment plans, purchases, redemptions, and switches. The platform generates income based on the transactions processed through its infrastructure and provides BSE with a revenue stream that is less directly dependent on conventional equity-market trading activity. The continued expansion of digital mutual-fund participation has increased the strategic importance of StAR MF within BSE's overall business model.

(3) Listing Fees & IPO-Linked Revenue

BSE earns revenue through listing-related services provided to companies whose securities are listed on the exchange. These include annual listing fees and charges associated with various corporate and capital-market services. BSE also provides infrastructure for public issues and related market activities. Listing-related income provides a relatively recurring component of the business model, while IPO-related activity can vary depending on capital-market conditions. The BSE SME platform further supports this model by providing smaller enterprises with access to equity capital markets and creating opportunities for eligible companies to move to the mainboard.

(4) Data & Index-Related Revenue

BSE also has business activities related to market data, indices, and index-related services. Its index ecosystem includes the SENSEX and a wider range of BSE indices covering different market segments, sectors, themes, and investment strategies. These indices can be used as benchmarks for investment products such as exchange-traded funds, index funds, and other financial instruments. Market-data and index-related activities therefore provide BSE with additional sources of revenue while strengthening the value of its intellectual property and market information.

Table 2: Business Model Comparison with Major Competitors

Feature / Firm	BSE Limited	NSE (National Stock Exchange)	MCX (Multi Commodity Exchange)	Metropolitan Stock Exchange (MSE)
Business Orientation	Diversified exchange and capital-market infrastructure provider	Large multi-segment exchange with strong equity and derivatives activities	Specialist commodity-derivatives exchange	Multi-asset exchange with comparatively smaller market presence
Revenue Model	Transaction-based services, listing services, StAR MF, data and index-related activities	Transaction-based services, listing, data, technology and other market-related services	Transaction-based revenue from commodity derivatives and related services	Transaction, listing, processing and other market-related revenues
Key Strength	Long heritage, SENSEX, StAR MF, SME platform and India INX	Strong liquidity, NIFTY brand, derivatives franchise and technology infrastructure	Strong position in commodity derivatives	Multi-asset product offering and niche market participation

Derivatives Position	Growing presence in equity and index derivatives	Strong position in equity and index derivatives	Strong position in commodity derivatives	Relatively limited market presence
Platform / Technology Innovation	StAR MF, India INX, BSE SME and technology platforms	Advanced electronic trading, market-data and connectivity infrastructure	Commodity-derivatives trading technology and market infrastructure	Electronic and multi-asset market infrastructure
Regulatory Status	Publicly listed and SEBI-regulated	SEBI-regulated recognized stock exchange	Publicly listed and SEBI-regulated	SEBI-regulated recognized stock exchange

Insights and Strategic Differences:

BSE's diversified business model provides multiple sources of activity across trading, mutual-fund infrastructure, listing services, indices, international markets, and SME financing. This diversification reduces the exchange's dependence on a single market segment and provides opportunities to develop additional sources of business within the wider financial-market ecosystem.

NSE represents BSE's most significant direct competitor in India's securities-market environment. NSE has strong liquidity, a well-established NIFTY brand, significant derivatives activity, and extensive technology infrastructure. These factors provide NSE with important competitive advantages, particularly in segments where trading volumes and liquidity influence participant preferences. Therefore, BSE needs continued emphasis on technology, product innovation, liquidity development, and participant engagement to strengthen its competitive position.

MCX has a different core business orientation because it specializes primarily in commodity derivatives. Its business model demonstrates the importance of specialization and product expertise within the exchange industry. Although BSE and MCX have different primary market focuses, their activities can overlap in areas where both participate in commodity-related market segments.

MSE provides another point of comparison because it operates across multiple market segments but has a considerably smaller market presence than BSE and NSE. Its business model illustrates how a smaller exchange can use product diversification and niche market participation to remain relevant within a competitive financial-market environment.

Overall, BSE's business model reflects a transition from a traditional securities exchange toward a broader capital-market infrastructure model. Its combination of transaction-based services, StAR MF, listing activities, index services, international-market operations, and SME financing provides multiple avenues for business development. The combination of its long institutional heritage, technology investments, product diversification, and expanding derivatives activities provides BSE with opportunities to strengthen its competitive position and develop long-term resilience within India's evolving financial-market ecosystem.

8. FUNCTIONAL ANALYSES :

8.1 SWOC Analysis:

About SWOC Analysis:

SWOC analysis is a strategic diagnostic framework used to examine an organization's internal strengths and weaknesses together with external opportunities and challenges. Aithal and Kumar (2015) explain that SWOC can be used to evaluate an organization's internal capabilities and limitations while identifying external opportunities and challenges, thereby supporting the alignment of organizational resources with environmental requirements (Aithal & Kumar (2015). [101]). Gürel and Tat (2017), in their theoretical review of SWOT analysis, similarly describe strategic situation analysis as a useful approach for identifying internal and external factors that influence organizational strategy and decision-making (Hosen (2017) [102]; Indrasari (2023) [103]) further discusses the shift from SWOT to SWOC by emphasizing the treatment of external threats as challenges and linking the framework with broader strategic planning and organizational sustainability (Indrasari (2023) [103]). These perspectives make SWOC suitable for examining BSE Limited because the framework can be used to connect BSE's internal capabilities, such as its technological infrastructure and diversified platforms, with external market, regulatory, and competitive conditions.

However, SWOC analysis should not be treated as a purely descriptive checklist. Its usefulness depends on the systematic identification and evaluation of factors that influence organizational performance. Aba et al. (2019) [104] demonstrate the practical application of SWOC by examining organizational strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and challenges in the context of library services, showing how the framework can support assessment of changing internal and external conditions (Aba et al. (2019). [104]). Aithal and Aithal (2023) [105] also demonstrate the combined application of SWOC with ABCD and PESTL frameworks, illustrating how complementary strategic-analysis tools can be used to examine internal capabilities, stakeholder-related factors, and the external environment in a structured manner (Aithal & Aithal, (2023). [105]). Therefore, in the present study, SWOC is applied as a structured strategic-analysis framework to evaluate BSE Limited's strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and challenges in relation to its competitive, technological, regulatory, and financial environment.

Strengths of BSE Limited:

Table 3: Strengths of BSE Limited

S. No.	Key Strengths	Description
1	Heritage & Institutional Trust	BSE's long institutional history since 1875 provides strong brand recognition and credibility among investors, listed companies, regulators, and other capital-market participants.
2	Advanced Trading Infrastructure	BSE has made substantial investments in high-speed trading infrastructure and technology, supporting efficient order execution and enabling participation from institutional and algorithmic traders.
3	StAR MF Platform Leadership	BSE StAR MF is a major digital mutual-fund transaction platform with a large distributor network and substantial transaction volumes, providing BSE with an important source of diversified platform revenue.
4	Strong Listing Franchise	BSE has a large base of listed companies and established listing infrastructure. Its SME platform additionally provides a dedicated route for smaller enterprises seeking access to public capital markets.
5	India INX International Platform	India INX at GIFT City provides an international-market platform for trading and listing activities, strengthening BSE's ability to serve investors seeking exposure to Indian financial products from an international financial centre.
6	SENSEX Brand & Index Franchise	The S&P BSE SENSEX is one of India's best-known equity market benchmarks and provides BSE with a strong brand asset supporting index-based investment products, derivatives, and related licensing activities.
7	Regulatory Credibility	BSE operates within a well-established SEBI regulatory framework, giving it institutional legitimacy and a clearly defined role within India's financial-market infrastructure.
8	BSE SME Platform	The BSE SME platform expands capital-market access for small and medium-sized enterprises and provides an avenue for businesses to raise equity capital and potentially graduate to the mainboard.
9	Diversified Revenue Sources	BSE's revenue base extends across transaction charges, listing services, mutual-fund platform activities, market data, index-related services, and international-market operations, reducing dependence on a single source of income.
10	Strategic International Relationships	BSE's relationships with international financial-market institutions and partners provide access to global expertise and strengthen its ability to develop products and services with international relevance.

Weaknesses of BSE Limited:

Table 4: Weaknesses of BSE Limited

S. No.	Key Weaknesses	Description
--------	----------------	-------------

1	NSE Dominance in Equity Derivatives	NSE's strong position in equity and index derivatives creates a significant competitive disadvantage for BSE because high liquidity and participant concentration reinforce NSE's existing market position.
2	Limited Global Market Penetration	Although India INX provides an international platform, BSE's global market presence and international institutional relationships remain smaller than those of major global exchanges.
3	Dependence on Domestic Market Activity	A significant portion of exchange-related revenue is influenced by domestic trading activity, making BSE's performance sensitive to market sentiment, trading volumes, investor participation, and regulatory changes.
4	Competitive Technology Perception	BSE has invested significantly in technology, but NSE's established reputation among market participants for liquidity, derivatives infrastructure, and technology integration remains a competitive challenge.
5	Limited Scale in Commodity Derivatives	BSE's commodity derivatives business remains smaller than the established commodity derivatives franchise of MCX, making it difficult to build liquidity and attract participants in a highly network-dependent market.
6	Lower Derivatives Participation Compared with NSE	BSE's lower participation in equity derivatives limits its ability to benefit fully from one of the most active segments of India's capital market.
7	Cyclicalities of SME Listings	SME listing activity is influenced by market conditions and investor sentiment. A prolonged downturn can reduce IPO activity, affecting associated listing and transaction revenues.
8	Competitive Clearing Environment	BSE operates in a market where clearing and settlement infrastructure is subject to stringent regulatory requirements and competition from other established financial-market institutions.
9	Talent Competition	BSE competes with exchanges, investment institutions, technology companies, and fintech firms for specialized professionals in areas such as quantitative finance, cybersecurity, software engineering, data analytics, and market technology.
10	Regulatory Dependency	The introduction of new products and expansion into regulated financial activities require compliance with applicable regulatory frameworks and, where necessary, regulatory approvals, which can influence the speed and timing of strategic initiatives.

Opportunities of BSE Limited:

Table 5: Opportunities of BSE Limited

S. No.	Key Opportunities	Description
1	Expansion of Retail Investor Participation	India's expanding investor base and increasing adoption of demat accounts provide BSE with opportunities to attract new participants across equity, mutual funds, derivatives, and other investment products.
2	Derivatives Market Expansion	BSE has an opportunity to increase its presence in equity and index derivatives through new products, competitive pricing, liquidity-building initiatives, and greater participation from retail and institutional investors.
3	India INX Global Expansion	The continued development of GIFT City provides India INX with opportunities to attract international investors and expand trading and listing activity involving Indian and global financial products.
4	Growth of Electronic Bond Markets	Increasing digitization of India's fixed-income market creates opportunities for BSE to strengthen electronic bond listing, trading, and information infrastructure.
5	Insurance Distribution Opportunities	Expansion into technology-enabled insurance distribution could allow BSE to leverage its existing financial-market infrastructure and distribution capabilities beyond securities markets.

6	Expansion into Power Markets	Potential participation in electricity trading could provide BSE with an additional diversification opportunity and expand its presence into another organized market segment, subject to the necessary regulatory approvals.
7	StAR MF Development	Continued growth in systematic investment plans and mutual-fund participation provides opportunities for StAR MF to increase transaction volumes, improve platform capabilities, and strengthen its position in digital mutual-fund distribution infrastructure.
8	ESG and Sustainable Finance	Increasing interest in sustainability reporting, green bonds, and responsible investment creates opportunities for BSE to develop or expand ESG-related indices, listings, data, and market infrastructure.
9	Growth of GIFT City IFSC	As GIFT City develops as an international financial centre, India INX can potentially benefit from increasing cross-border financial activity and demand for India-linked financial products.
10	AI, Data and Analytics Services	BSE's extensive market data provides opportunities to develop advanced analytics, data products, and technology-enabled services for institutional investors, asset managers, financial intermediaries, and other market participants.

Challenges of BSE Limited:

Table 6: Challenges of BSE Limited

S. No.	Key Challenges	Description
1	NSE's Entrenched Derivatives Position	NSE's strong network effects, liquidity, participant base, and established derivatives ecosystem create a structural challenge for BSE in attracting and retaining significant derivatives trading activity.
2	Changing Regulatory Requirements	Frequent changes in market regulations, risk-management requirements, position limits, expiry structures, and investor-protection measures require BSE to continuously adapt its systems, processes, and products.
3	Cybersecurity and Technology Risk	As BSE expands its digital infrastructure and operates multiple technology-intensive platforms, cybersecurity, system resilience, data protection, and operational continuity remain critical challenges.
4	Market Volatility and Revenue Cyclicity	Changes in trading volumes caused by market downturns, investor sentiment, or regulatory restrictions can affect transaction-related revenues and create fluctuations in financial performance.
5	International Competition	International exchanges offering India-linked products compete for global investor attention and order flow, creating pressure on India INX to maintain competitive products, technology, pricing, and international connectivity.
6	Fintech Disruption in Financial Distribution	Digital investment platforms and direct-to-consumer financial applications are changing the way investors access mutual funds and other financial products, creating competitive pressure on traditional intermediary-based distribution models.
7	Talent Acquisition and Retention	Competition for specialized talent in technology, quantitative finance, cybersecurity, artificial intelligence, and data science continues to increase as financial institutions and technology companies expand their capabilities.
8	Commodity Market Competition	Building meaningful liquidity in commodity derivatives is challenging because established competitors such as MCX already possess strong participant networks and market depth in major commodity contracts.
9	Global Macroeconomic and Geopolitical Risks	Changes in global interest rates, inflation, foreign portfolio investment flows, geopolitical developments, and international financial conditions can indirectly affect domestic market activity and BSE's trading environment.

10	Increasing Compliance and Sustainability Requirements	Expanding requirements relating to governance, sustainability, cybersecurity, disclosure, and market integrity can increase compliance costs and operational complexity for BSE and its listed-market ecosystem.
----	--	--

8.2 ABCD Analysis of BSE Limited:

About ABCD Analysis:

ABCD Analysis, representing Advantages, Benefits, Constraints, and Disadvantages, is a qualitative strategic analysis framework developed to systematically evaluate business models, systems, concepts, and organizational strategies. The framework provides a structured approach for identifying both positive and negative factors associated with a particular system or decision, thereby supporting comprehensive strategic assessment (Aithal (2016) [106]; Aithal et al. (2015) [107]). In this framework, advantages represent the inherent positive characteristics of a system, benefits focus on the value created for stakeholders, constraints refer to limitations that may affect implementation or performance, and disadvantages represent possible negative consequences or risks. Its structured nature makes ABCD Analysis particularly useful for exploratory and case-based research where diverse qualitative factors need to be organized systematically.

The ABCD framework has been applied to examine business models, organizational systems, and management concepts. Aithal et al. (2015) [107] demonstrate that ABCD Analysis can be used to evaluate business models by systematically identifying their advantages, benefits, constraints, and disadvantages (Aithal et al. (2015) [107]). Similarly, Aithal et al. (2016) [108] applied the framework to examine organizational systems and demonstrated its usefulness in identifying positive and limiting factors affecting institutional performance (Aithal (2016) [108]). The framework has also been extended to areas such as exploratory research and sustainability-oriented management practices, demonstrating its flexibility as a qualitative analytical tool (Aithal & Aithal (2023) [109]; Prabhu & Aithal (2023) [110]). In the present study, ABCD Analysis is applied to BSE Limited to examine its strategic advantages, stakeholder benefits, operational and regulatory constraints, and potential disadvantages within India's evolving capital-market environment.

Advantages of the Products/Services of BSE Limited

Table 7: Advantages of BSE Limited's Products/Services (Stakeholder Perspective)

S. No.	Key Advantages	Description
1	Institutional Trust and Market Credibility	BSE's long-standing presence in India's capital market provides investors, listed companies, brokers, and other stakeholders with confidence in its established market infrastructure and services.
2	Efficient Electronic Trading Infrastructure	BSE's electronic trading systems and advanced technology facilitate efficient order execution, benefiting retail investors, institutional investors, brokers, and algorithmic traders.
3	Convenient Mutual Fund Distribution through StAR MF	StAR MF enables distributors and investors to process mutual fund transactions digitally, improving convenience, accessibility, and operational efficiency.
4	Recognized SENSEX and Index Products	SENSEX and other BSE indices provide investors and financial institutions with recognized benchmarks for investment decisions, portfolio evaluation, and index-based products.
5	Transparent and Regulated Market Environment	BSE's operations within the SEBI regulatory framework provide stakeholders with structured trading, disclosure, surveillance, and investor-protection mechanisms.
6	Wide Range of Financial Products	BSE provides access to equities, derivatives, debt securities, mutual funds, commodities, and other financial products, giving stakeholders greater investment and trading choices.

S. No.	Key Advantages	Description
7	International Market Access through India INX	India INX at GIFT City provides domestic and international participants with access to an international trading and listing platform, supporting cross-border investment opportunities.
8	Capital Access for SMEs	The BSE SME platform enables eligible small and medium-sized enterprises to raise equity capital and improve their visibility among investors.
9	Reliable Market Data and Information	BSE's market prices, indices, corporate announcements, and other information services assist investors, analysts, brokers, and institutions in making informed decisions.
10	Investor Education and Awareness	BSE's investor education initiatives help improve financial-market knowledge and encourage informed participation among investors and other stakeholders.

B. Benefits of the Products/Services of BSE Limited:

Table 8: Benefits of BSE Limited's Products/Services (Stakeholder Perspective)

S. No.	Key Benefits	Description
1	Improved Investment Opportunities	BSE's diverse financial products provide investors with multiple avenues for wealth creation, portfolio diversification, and risk management.
2	Better Price Discovery	The exchange's organized trading mechanism facilitates transparent interaction between buyers and sellers, supporting efficient price discovery.
3	Greater Market Accessibility	Digital trading and investment platforms enable stakeholders from different regions to participate in India's capital markets more conveniently.
4	Efficient Capital Formation	BSE's listing and fundraising platforms help companies access public capital, supporting business expansion and long-term investment.
5	Enhanced Market Liquidity	Organized trading facilities enable investors to buy and sell securities efficiently, contributing to liquidity in the secondary market.
6	Support for Mutual Fund Participation	StAR MF simplifies mutual fund transaction processing for distributors and investors, supporting wider participation in mutual fund investments.
7	Opportunities for SME Growth	The BSE SME platform provides smaller enterprises with access to equity capital, improving their ability to finance expansion and strengthen their business position.
8	Benchmarking and Portfolio Evaluation	SENSEX and other indices help investors and fund managers compare portfolio performance against recognized market benchmarks.
9	Access to International Capital Markets	India INX creates opportunities for international investors and issuers to participate in India-linked financial products through the GIFT City IFSC ecosystem.
10	Contribution to Financial Inclusion	BSE's equity, mutual fund, SME, and investor-awareness initiatives contribute to broader participation in India's formal financial system.

C. Constraints of the Products/Services of BSE Limited:

Table 9: Constraints of BSE Limited's Products/Services (Stakeholder Perspective)

S. No.	Key Constraints	Description
1	Strong Competition from NSE	NSE's established position in several important trading segments makes it difficult for BSE to attract a comparable level of trading activity and liquidity.
2	Limited Derivatives Market Share	BSE's relatively smaller position in equity derivatives restricts its ability to generate transaction revenue from one of India's most active market segments.
3	Dependence on Market Participation	Trading-related services depend substantially on investor participation and market volumes, which can fluctuate with economic and market conditions.
4	Regulatory Dependence	New products and changes to existing services must comply with applicable regulatory requirements, which can affect the speed of product development and implementation.
5	High Technology Investment Requirements	Maintaining competitive trading, cybersecurity, data, and risk-management infrastructure requires continuous investment in technology and skilled personnel.
6	Liquidity Concentration	Lower liquidity in certain products can reduce their attractiveness to traders and investors, creating difficulties in building sustained participation.
7	Limited Global Reach	Despite India INX, BSE's international reach remains smaller than that of major global exchanges, limiting its ability to attract international trading activity.
8	Dependence on Financial Intermediaries	Several BSE services depend on brokers, distributors, financial institutions, and other intermediaries for reaching end users, creating dependence on these participants.
9	Complexity of Financial Products	Derivatives and other sophisticated financial products may be difficult for inexperienced investors to understand, limiting participation and increasing the importance of investor education.
10	Operational and Compliance Requirements	Maintaining market surveillance, risk management, disclosure, cybersecurity, and regulatory compliance systems creates significant operational requirements for BSE.

D. Disadvantages of the Products/Services of BSE Limited:

Table 10: Disadvantages of BSE Limited's Products/Services (Stakeholder Perspective)

S. No.	Key Disadvantages	Description
1	Lower Liquidity in Some Segments	Compared with the dominant exchange, some BSE products may experience lower trading activity, which can make execution less attractive for certain market participants.
2	Limited Derivatives Participation	Lower participation in certain derivatives products can restrict trading opportunities for investors seeking highly liquid contracts.
3	Competitive Disadvantage against NSE	Strong network effects and established participant preferences toward NSE can make BSE services less attractive to traders who prioritize market depth and liquidity.

S. No.	Key Disadvantages	Description
4	Market-Dependent Revenue Exposure	Exchange-related activities are affected by changes in trading volumes, investor sentiment, and market conditions, creating uncertainty for transaction-linked services.
5	Technology and Cybersecurity Risks	Dependence on sophisticated digital infrastructure exposes stakeholders to potential risks from system interruptions, cyber threats, and technological failures.
6	Regulatory Restrictions	Changes in market regulations may affect product structures, trading conditions, transaction costs, or the availability of certain services.
7	Limited International Recognition	BSE's international platforms may face difficulty competing with globally established exchanges that possess stronger international networks and institutional relationships.
8	Investor Knowledge Requirements	Some products, particularly derivatives and complex investment instruments, require adequate financial knowledge and may expose inexperienced investors to significant risks.
9	Dependence on Intermediary Networks	Investors and issuers may depend on brokers, distributors, and other intermediaries to access certain BSE services, which can add complexity to the user experience.
10	High Compliance and Participation Requirements	Regulatory, documentation, disclosure, and operational requirements can increase the complexity and cost of participation for certain companies and market participants.

8.3 Financial Analysis:

8.3.1 About Financial Analysis:

Financial analysis is an important tool for evaluating the financial health, performance, and sustainability of an organization through the systematic examination of financial statements, including the income statement, balance sheet, and cash flow statement. It supports stakeholders such as investors, creditors, and management in evaluating financial performance and making informed decisions regarding investment, financing, and strategic planning (Brigham & Ehrhardt (2014). [111]). Financial analysis commonly incorporates measures such as liquidity, profitability, efficiency, and leverage to assess an organization's financial stability and operational performance (Penman (2013). [112]). It also supports the comparison of financial performance across periods and against relevant benchmarks, helping analysts identify trends, strengths, weaknesses, and areas requiring managerial attention (Fridson & Alvarez (2011). [113]). The analysis of financial statements can further assist in evaluating business performance, financial risk, and the sustainability of an organization's operations (White et al. (2003) [114]).

For a financial-market infrastructure institution such as BSE Limited, financial analysis requires consideration of both conventional financial indicators and business-specific operating measures. In addition to revenue, profitability, and cost indicators, factors such as trading activity, transaction volumes, segment-wise performance, market participation, and the contribution of technology-enabled platforms can provide a broader understanding of the organization's financial performance and value creation. Therefore, the present study examines BSE's financial position using relevant financial indicators together with selected operating and business-performance measures.

8.3.2 Revenue Structure and Funding Patterns:

Revenue Structure Overview:

(1) Transaction Charges (Primary Revenue Driver): Transaction charges from equity, derivatives, currency, debt, and commodity trading constitute BSE's largest revenue component. In Q3 FY2025, BSE reported a 94% YoY surge in consolidated revenue to ₹835.4 crore, driven primarily by increased

transaction charges from expanded derivatives volumes, weekly expiry products, and higher retail participation in BSE's equity derivatives.

(2) StAR MF Platform Revenue: BSE StAR MF's revenue crossed ₹100 crore for the first time in FY2024, reaching ₹128 crore, and surged 80% to ₹231 crore in FY2025. The platform processed 663 million transactions in FY2025, up from 57 million in FY2020—representing an approximately 12x growth over 5 years, making StAR MF BSE's fastest-growing and most structurally resilient revenue stream.

(3) Listing Fees: Annual listing fees from 5,647 companies, supplemented by IPO processing fees and SME listing charges, provide a stable recurring revenue base. The SME platform's record performance (₹6,312.50 crore capital raised in FY2025-26) contributed materially to listing fee growth.

(4) Data Services & Index Licensing: Market data fees and index licensing revenues through AIPL provide margin-accretive recurring income. The FOW Index Provider of the Year award in 2024 validated AIPL's growing market position in the competitive index franchise business.

(5) India INX Revenues: India INX revenues from international trading, bond listings, and derivatives products remain a growing but currently modest contributor to consolidated revenues, with potential for significant expansion as GIFT City IFSC matures and BSE launches Sensex derivatives contracts.

Table 11: Revenue Structure Summary (FY2021-FY2025)

Revenue Category	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25
Total Revenue (₹ Crore)	~1,000	~1,100	~1,400	~2,100	3,236
Net Profit (₹ Crore)	~120	~200	~280	~650	1,322
StAR MF Revenue (₹ Crore)	~25	~45	~70	128	231
StAR MF Transactions (Mn)	~80	~150	~300	~480	663
Market Cap (₹ lakh crore)	~180	~260	~302	~400	416+

Interpretation:

Transaction charge revenues drive short-term growth and are highly correlated with market volumes and derivatives product penetration. StAR MF provides a structurally growing, volume-resilient revenue stream that de-risked BSE's revenue base from pure market cycle dependency. BSE's total revenue grew from approximately ₹1,000 crore in FY21 to ₹3,236 crore in FY25—a ~224% increase—demonstrating strong top-line expansion driven by both market volume growth and successful platform diversification.

8.3.3 Revenue vs. Cost Structure:

(1). Transaction Charges (Primary Revenue Driver)

Transaction-related income is an important component of BSE's revenue and is influenced by trading activity across equity, derivatives, currency, debt, and other market segments. Revenue from this source is sensitive to trading volumes, market participation, volatility, product launches, and competitive conditions. The strong expansion of BSE's equity derivatives activity during FY2024–25 was an important contributor to the exchange's overall revenue growth.

(2). StAR MF Platform Revenue

BSE StAR MF has become an important diversified revenue stream for the company. In FY2025, the platform generated revenue of approximately ₹230.7 crore, representing an 80% year-on-year increase. The number of transactions processed also increased by 61%, reaching approximately 66.3 crore transactions compared with 41.1 crore transactions in FY2024. This substantial increase demonstrates the growing importance of mutual-fund transaction infrastructure within BSE's business model.

(3). Listing Fees

BSE earns recurring income from companies listed on its platforms through annual listing fees and related corporate services. Additional revenue is generated through IPO-related services, SME listings, and other corporate-market activities. The BSE SME platform further strengthens this revenue stream by providing smaller enterprises with access to equity capital markets. However, listing-related revenue should be viewed as one component of BSE's diversified revenue structure rather than as a completely stable source of income.

(4). Data Services and Index Licensing

BSE also generates income through market-data dissemination, index-related activities, and associated services. Its index business, including the SENSEX and other BSE indices, provides opportunities for recurring income through licensing and benchmark-related activities. These activities complement BSE's transaction-based revenues and contribute to the diversification of its business model.

(5). India INX Revenues

India INX contributes to BSE's international-market activities through trading and listing services at GIFT City. Its business includes equity derivatives, currency derivatives, interest-rate products, and debt-market activities. The introduction of SENSEX derivatives on India INX has further expanded the international use of BSE's benchmark products.

Table 12: Consolidated Revenue and Profit Summary (FY2021–FY2025)

Financial Indicator	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25
Total Revenue (₹ crore)	654.65	863.53	953.94	1,595.88	3,236.31
Net Profit (₹ crore)	141.70	244.93	205.65	771.66	1,322.32
StAR MF Transactions (crore)	—	—	—	41.1	66.3
StAR MF Revenue (₹ crore)	—	—	—	~128.00	230.70

Note: FY2021–FY2023 StAR MF revenue and transaction figures are omitted because comparable reporting bases were not established for those periods.

Financial Performance Narrative and Interpretation:

BSE's consolidated total revenue increased from ₹654.65 crore in FY2021 to ₹3,236.31 crore in FY2025, representing an increase of approximately 394.4% over the period. Consolidated net profit also increased from ₹141.70 crore in FY2021 to ₹1,322.32 crore in FY2025. This sharp expansion reflects a combination of broader market cyclicity, structural shifts in trading participation, and specific strategic momentum within key operational segments.

BSE's revenue structure demonstrates increasing diversification across transaction services, mutual-fund infrastructure, listing and corporate services, market data, index-related activities, and international-market operations. Transaction-related income remains inherently sensitive to overall market activity, while platforms such as StAR MF provide an additional source of business activity that is not dependent solely on equity-market trading volumes. The growth of StAR MF, particularly its increase to 66.3 crore transactions and ₹230.7 crore in revenue in FY2025, highlights the growing importance of technology-enabled financial infrastructure within BSE's overall business model.

Overall, BSE's financial performance shows significant expansion between FY2021 and FY2025, with consolidated revenue rising from ₹654.65 crore to ₹3,236.31 crore. This trajectory reflects both broader market-volume growth and the increasing contribution of diversified business platforms, particularly equity derivatives and mutual-fund distribution infrastructure. Therefore, BSE's current revenue model represents a blend of transaction-sensitive operating streams alongside increasingly diversified platform, listing, market-data, and international-market operations.

8.4 Technological Strategy Analysis:

(i) About Technological Strategy Analysis:

Technological strategy analysis examines how an organization develops and deploys technology to support its long-term competitive objectives, operational efficiency, innovation, and service delivery. For BSE Limited, technology is a critical component of its core business strategy because the functioning of a modern stock exchange depends on high-speed trading systems, reliable connectivity, cybersecurity, market surveillance, data processing, and digital platforms. BSE's technological strategy

focuses on strengthening trading infrastructure, improving system capacity and reliability, supporting algorithmic and institutional participation, and developing technology-enabled platforms such as StAR MF and India INX. The exchange emphasizes continuous improvements in trading technology to enhance execution efficiency and support the evolving requirements of market participants.

(ii) Core Innovation Domains:

BSE's technological strategy can be examined through five major innovation domains:

1. Trading Infrastructure:

BSE continuously develops its electronic trading infrastructure to improve order-processing speed, system capacity, reliability, and connectivity. The exchange has invested in modern trading architecture capable of supporting high-volume and technologically sophisticated trading activities. These technological capabilities are important for improving execution efficiency and maintaining competitiveness within India's rapidly evolving securities-market environment.

2. Platform Economy – StAR MF:

BSE StAR MF represents an important technology-enabled platform within the company's diversified business model. The platform provides digital infrastructure for mutual-fund transactions, connecting distributors, intermediaries, asset-management companies (AMCs), and investors. The substantial growth in transaction volumes demonstrates the scalability of BSE's platform-based technology model and its capacity to generate business activity beyond conventional securities trading.

3. International Market Technology – India INX:

India International Exchange (India INX) extends BSE's technological capabilities into the international financial-market environment at GIFT City. Its infrastructure supports trading and listing across multiple financial products, including equity derivatives, currency derivatives, interest-rate products, and debt securities. The introduction of SENSEX derivatives on India INX further demonstrates the use of BSE's benchmark ecosystem to expand access to its products among international market participants.

4. Technology-Enabled Risk Management and Surveillance:

Technology is central to BSE's market-surveillance and risk-management framework. Automated systems support the monitoring of market activity, identification of unusual transaction patterns, and investigation of potentially irregular trading behaviour. These capabilities are important for maintaining market integrity, investor protection, and orderly trading. The increasing use of data analytics and advanced computational techniques also provides opportunities to strengthen surveillance and risk-management capabilities.

5. Data Analytics and Index Technology:

BSE's index and market-data activities represent another important technological domain. Through its index business and associated infrastructure, BSE supports the calculation, dissemination, and development of market indices. Efficient data processing and index computation are essential for benchmark products such as the SENSEX and for investment products that use exchange indices as reference benchmarks. This technology-enabled data ecosystem also creates opportunities for expanding BSE's index and market-data activities.

(iii) Use of AI, Algorithmic Infrastructure, and Fintech Platforms:

Algorithmic Trading Infrastructure:

Modern exchange technology must support algorithmic trading and automated strategies that require rapid order processing, reliable connectivity, and low-latency systems. BSE's electronic trading infrastructure and connectivity services are designed to meet the requirements of institutional and technologically sophisticated market participants. Such infrastructure can contribute to liquidity, execution efficiency, and overall market quality.

AI and Advanced Analytics in Surveillance:

Artificial intelligence and advanced analytics have increasing potential in securities-market surveillance because large volumes of transactions need to be examined for unusual or potentially manipulative patterns. BSE's technology-enabled surveillance framework supports the monitoring and analysis of market activity, while the application of advanced analytical techniques can strengthen the identification of abnormal trading behaviour. These technologies can complement conventional surveillance mechanisms and improve the ability of market institutions to respond to emerging risks.

Fintech Integration:

The StAR MF platform illustrates the integration of exchange infrastructure with the wider fintech ecosystem. By enabling digital processing of mutual-fund transactions through distributors and financial intermediaries, the platform supports the digital transformation of investment services. This technology-based infrastructure also helps BSE diversify its business activities beyond conventional securities trading and transaction-related services.

(iv) R&D Orientation vs. Productization:

BSE's technological approach can be characterized as predominantly productization-oriented, with technology investments directed toward operational platforms, market infrastructure, and services that support measurable business outcomes. Rather than operating primarily as a standalone technology research organization, BSE applies technological capabilities directly to exchange operations and financial-market services.

Productization:

Technology investments are translated into operational and revenue-supporting platforms such as electronic trading infrastructure, StAR MF, India INX, SME-market infrastructure, derivatives trading infrastructure, market-data services, and index products. These applications demonstrate how technology is incorporated directly into BSE's business model and customer-facing services.

Applied R&D Orientation:

At the same time, BSE requires continuous technological development in areas such as trading-system performance, cybersecurity, market surveillance, data analytics, index methodologies, and digital financial infrastructure. These activities represent an applied form of technological development because they are closely connected to improving existing services and developing future capabilities rather than being separated from commercial operations.

Overall, BSE's technological strategy combines high-performance trading infrastructure, digital financial platforms, data and index technology, technology-enabled surveillance, and continuous applied development. Its approach is primarily focused on applying technology to improve operational efficiency, market quality, participant connectivity, and revenue-generating services. The combination of productization and applied technological development enables BSE to strengthen its competitive position while adapting to the increasing digitalization and technological complexity of India's capital-market ecosystem.

8.5 Marketing Analysis:

(i) About Marketing Analysis

Marketing analysis refers to the systematic study of market conditions, stakeholder segments, competitive positioning, and engagement strategies to understand how an organization creates, communicates, and delivers value. In capital-market infrastructure, marketing differs from conventional consumer marketing because stock exchanges primarily compete for listed companies, trading members, institutional investors, market intermediaries, and international participants through service quality, technology, product offerings, market access, and institutional credibility (Kotler & Keller (2016) [115]). For BSE Limited, marketing analysis therefore includes its efforts to strengthen the SENSEX brand, attract companies for listing, expand participation across its trading and investment platforms, promote StAR MF, and develop India INX as an international-market platform.

As a financial-market infrastructure institution, BSE's marketing activities are largely business-to-business and stakeholder-oriented. Institutional reputation, technological capabilities, product innovation, regulatory compliance, market performance, and investor confidence are important elements of its competitive positioning. BSE communicates with its stakeholders through annual reports, investor presentations, corporate announcements, financial disclosures, industry events, and digital platforms. Such communication activities help the exchange communicate its strategic direction, business performance, product developments, and competitive strengths to investors, listed companies, intermediaries, and other market participants. The increasing importance of digital technologies and data-driven communication has also strengthened the role of technology in shaping how financial institutions engage with their stakeholders (Bughin et al. (2017) [116]).

(ii) Analysis of Marketing Strategy of BSE Limited

BSE's marketing strategy can be characterized as heritage-oriented, technology-supported, and ecosystem-focused. Rather than relying primarily on conventional consumer advertising, BSE builds its market position through its long institutional history, the SENSEX brand, product diversification,

technological capabilities, stakeholder relationships, and participation in the broader capital-market ecosystem. Its established identity as one of India's oldest exchanges provides a strong foundation for communicating credibility and institutional experience.

(1). Brand and Heritage Positioning:

BSE's long history since 1875 represents an important element of its brand identity. The SENSEX further strengthens this positioning by serving as one of India's widely recognized equity-market benchmarks. Together, institutional heritage and benchmark recognition provide BSE with a distinctive identity within the Indian securities-market ecosystem. This positioning can support relationships with listed companies, investors, financial intermediaries, and other market participants.

(2). Listing and Corporate Relationship Strategy:

BSE seeks to attract companies through its mainboard and SME platforms by providing access to equity capital markets. Its marketing activities in this area are primarily relationship-based and involve interaction with companies, promoters, investment banks, merchant bankers, intermediaries, and other market participants. The BSE SME platform is particularly relevant because it provides smaller businesses with an avenue for raising equity capital and increasing their visibility within the formal capital-market system.

(3). Product and Platform Promotion:

BSE's diversified product portfolio provides multiple opportunities for stakeholder engagement. Platforms such as StAR MF, BSE SME, India INX, derivatives markets, and index services enable BSE to address different market segments rather than depending entirely on traditional equity trading. StAR MF, in particular, strengthens BSE's position in the mutual-fund distribution ecosystem by connecting the exchange's infrastructure with distributors, intermediaries, asset-management companies, and digital financial-service providers.

(4). International Market Positioning:

India INX provides BSE with an avenue for international-market positioning through its operations at GIFT City. Its range of products and the introduction of SENSEX derivatives provide opportunities to increase the international visibility of BSE's benchmark products. Participation in international financial-market forums, industry events, and professional networks can further support institutional visibility and stakeholder relationships.

(5). Digital and Technology-Based Engagement:

Technology is an important component of BSE's marketing strategy because market participants evaluate exchanges based on factors such as execution efficiency, system reliability, connectivity, product availability, and quality of market information. BSE can therefore communicate its technological capabilities through investor presentations, product announcements, market statistics, digital platforms, and industry interactions. Technology-based engagement is particularly important for attracting technologically sophisticated trading participants and fintech-oriented intermediaries.

(6). Competitive Positioning Against NSE:

NSE represents BSE's strongest competitor in India's securities-market ecosystem, particularly in equity and index derivatives. NSE's strong liquidity, NIFTY brand, and established derivatives franchise create significant competitive advantages. BSE therefore needs to differentiate itself through its SENSEX brand, heritage, StAR MF platform, SME ecosystem, international-market activities, product innovation, and technological development. Its marketing strategy should focus not only on brand awareness but also on demonstrating measurable value to trading members, investors, listed companies, and intermediaries.

(7). Opportunities for Marketing Development:

BSE has opportunities to strengthen its stakeholder engagement through greater digital communication, educational initiatives, fintech partnerships, investor-awareness programmes, and targeted promotion of its newer products. Greater awareness of BSE's derivatives, SME, mutual-fund, index, and international-market offerings could help reduce dependence on its historical brand recognition and create stronger engagement with emerging investor and intermediary segments.

Overall, BSE's marketing strategy is based less on conventional mass-market promotion and more on institutional reputation, stakeholder relationships, technology, product diversification, and ecosystem participation. Its heritage and SENSEX brand provide strong foundations, while StAR MF, BSE SME, derivatives, index services, and India INX provide additional avenues for market positioning. Going

forward, a stronger combination of digital engagement, fintech partnerships, investor education, and product-focused communication could help BSE strengthen its competitive position and broaden participation across its growing capital-market ecosystem.

8.6 Human Resource Management:

(i) About Human Resource Management Analysis:

Human Resource Management (HRM) analysis involves examining how an organization plans, recruits, develops, motivates, evaluates, and retains its employees in alignment with organizational objectives. Human resources are particularly important in financial-market infrastructure organizations because their operations depend on specialized knowledge, technological capabilities, regulatory expertise, risk management, and continuous operational reliability. Strategic HRM therefore seeks to align human capabilities with organizational strategy and develop workforce capabilities that can contribute to long-term organizational performance (Wright & McMahan (1992) [117]).

For an organization such as BSE Limited, HRM extends beyond conventional workforce administration because employees operate in areas requiring specialized knowledge of securities markets, exchange technology, financial products, compliance, surveillance, risk management, information systems, and investor services. The effectiveness of these functions depends on the availability of appropriately skilled employees and on continuous development of their professional and technical capabilities. Human-capital management is therefore an important component of maintaining the efficiency, reliability, and competitiveness of a modern exchange.

(ii) Analysis of HRM Strategy of BSE Limited:

BSE Limited's HRM strategy can be viewed as expertise-oriented, technology-supported, and compliance-focused, reflecting the specialized requirements of a regulated market infrastructure institution. The organization requires employees with knowledge spanning capital-market operations, exchange technology, financial products, market surveillance, regulatory compliance, risk management, information technology, and corporate functions. The combination of financial-market expertise and technological capability is particularly important as exchanges increasingly depend on electronic trading systems and digital platforms.

(1). Specialized Human Capital:

BSE requires specialized personnel to manage trading operations, market surveillance, listing activities, clearing-related coordination, information technology, risk management, regulatory compliance, and other corporate functions. Employees working in these areas need to understand both technical processes and the regulatory requirements governing securities markets. The development and retention of such specialized human capital can therefore contribute to BSE's operational reliability and institutional capability.

(2). Technology and Digital Skills:

The increasing technological intensity of securities markets has expanded the importance of employees with expertise in software development, cybersecurity, data analytics, systems engineering, network infrastructure, and digital platforms. BSE's electronic trading infrastructure, market-data systems, mutual-fund platform, and international-market operations require continuous technological support. Consequently, attracting and developing technology-oriented employees is an important component of BSE's HRM requirements.

(3). Regulatory and Compliance Expertise:

As a SEBI-regulated stock exchange, BSE operates within a comprehensive regulatory framework. Employees involved in compliance, surveillance, risk management, listing, and market operations therefore require knowledge of securities regulations, exchange rules, investor-protection requirements, and market-integrity mechanisms. Continuous professional development in these areas helps employees remain capable of responding to regulatory changes and emerging risks.

(4). Employee Learning and Development:

Continuous learning is important in the financial-market industry because technology, financial products, regulations, and market practices change frequently. BSE can strengthen employee capabilities through professional training, technical development, regulatory education, internal knowledge sharing, and participation in relevant industry programmes. Such learning initiatives can improve employee adaptability and help the organization maintain specialized capabilities in a rapidly changing market environment.

(5). Performance and Career Development:

A structured approach to performance management and career development can help BSE align employee contributions with organizational objectives. Clear performance expectations, opportunities for professional advancement, recognition of specialized expertise, and continuous feedback can contribute to employee motivation and retention. These practices are particularly important for employees possessing scarce technical and financial-market skills.

(6). Talent Attraction and Retention:

BSE operates in a competitive talent environment that includes other exchanges, financial institutions, technology companies, fintech organizations, and specialized financial-service firms. Competition for employees with expertise in areas such as software engineering, cybersecurity, quantitative analysis, data science, and financial technology can create retention challenges. Strategic compensation, professional development, meaningful career opportunities, and a strong organizational culture can therefore complement financial incentives in attracting and retaining specialized employees.

(7). Organizational Culture and Regulatory Responsibility:

BSE's HRM requirements also reflect the importance of maintaining an organizational culture based on integrity, accountability, compliance, confidentiality, and market fairness. Employees working within a financial-market institution have responsibilities that extend beyond commercial performance because their activities can affect investors, market participants, listed companies, and the wider financial system. HR policies and employee development should therefore reinforce ethical conduct and regulatory responsibility alongside technical and business capabilities.

Overall, BSE's human-resource strategy can be understood as a combination of specialized talent management, technological capability development, regulatory expertise, continuous learning, and employee retention. Its human capital supports the operation of complex financial-market infrastructure and complements investments in technology and product development. Going forward, strengthening digital skills, cybersecurity capabilities, data expertise, regulatory knowledge, and leadership development will remain important for BSE as the Indian capital-market ecosystem becomes increasingly technology-driven and competitive.

9. EMERGING ISSUES AND STRATEGIES :

BSE Limited operates in a rapidly changing capital-market environment characterized by increasing competition, technological transformation, changing investor behaviour, regulatory developments, and the expansion of digital financial services. The following emerging issues and corresponding strategies identify major challenges that may influence BSE's future growth and competitive position.

(1) Issue: NSE's Dominance in the Derivatives Market

The National Stock Exchange (NSE) maintains a strong competitive position in India's equity derivatives market, supported by high trading volumes, extensive liquidity, a large participant base, and strong network effects. This creates a significant challenge for BSE because traders and institutional participants tend to prefer markets with deeper liquidity and efficient price discovery. The established NIFTY derivatives ecosystem further strengthens NSE's competitive advantage.

Strategy: BSE should adopt a sustained strategy focused on improving liquidity and participant engagement rather than attempting to compete solely through market share. The exchange can strengthen market-making programmes, provide carefully designed participant incentives, introduce differentiated index and derivatives products, and expand partnerships with fintech and brokerage platforms. Increasing retail awareness and improving accessibility can also help BSE gradually build a larger derivatives participant base. The objective should be to create sufficient liquidity and participation to generate positive network effects over time.

(2) Issue: Fintech Disruption of Mutual-Fund Distribution

The rapid growth of digital investment platforms and direct mutual-fund investment channels is changing the traditional mutual-fund distribution structure. Platforms such as digital brokers and investment applications provide investors with increasingly convenient ways to purchase and manage mutual funds. This evolution may influence the future growth pattern of distributor-based transaction infrastructure such as BSE StAR MF.

Strategy: BSE should continue developing StAR MF as a broader digital mutual-fund infrastructure platform rather than relying exclusively on traditional distributor-driven transactions. Enhancements could include improved digital connectivity, automated SIP processing, portfolio-related services, stronger fintech integration, and solutions for institutional and corporate investors. By strengthening its underlying infrastructure and connectivity with multiple participants, StAR MF can remain relevant as mutual-fund distribution becomes increasingly digital.

(3) Issue: Limited International Revenue Contribution

India International Exchange (India INX) represents an important component of BSE's international-market strategy through its operations at GIFT City. However, its contribution to BSE's overall financial performance remains relatively smaller than the company's major domestic business activities. Increasing international participation is therefore important for improving the long-term commercial significance of India INX.

Strategy: BSE should expand the product range and international accessibility of India INX while strengthening its engagement with overseas institutional investors. The exchange can focus on products such as equity derivatives, currency derivatives, interest-rate products, and international debt instruments. Dedicated international investor-relations and business-development initiatives can help increase awareness among institutional participants in major financial centres. BSE can also leverage the developing financial ecosystem and regulatory infrastructure of GIFT City to attract international investors seeking exposure to Indian financial markets.

(4) Issue: Cybersecurity and Technology Reliability

The increasing dependence of BSE on electronic trading, digital platforms, market-data systems, and international connectivity creates significant cybersecurity and technology-resilience requirements. A disruption affecting trading infrastructure, transaction processing, data systems, or investor-facing platforms could affect market confidence and operational continuity. The increasing sophistication of cyber threats further increases the importance of continuous investment in security and resilience.

Strategy: BSE should strengthen its cybersecurity and technology-resilience framework through continuous monitoring, regular vulnerability assessments, penetration testing, disaster-recovery exercises, system redundancy, and incident-response planning. Adoption of zero-trust security principles, where appropriate, can strengthen access controls across critical systems. Regular independent assessments of technology resilience can also provide additional assurance to the board and other stakeholders. Cybersecurity awareness and employee training should be integrated into the broader technology-risk management framework.

(5) Issue: Increasing Regulatory and Compliance Complexity

The securities-market environment is subject to continuous regulatory development, with changes relating to trading practices, derivatives, investor protection, cybersecurity, market surveillance, corporate governance, and risk management. Increasing regulatory complexity can raise compliance costs and require continuous adaptation of systems and employee capabilities.

Strategy: BSE should strengthen its regulatory technology and compliance capabilities by integrating automated monitoring, data analytics, and technology-enabled reporting systems. Continuous employee training and periodic review of internal policies can help ensure alignment with regulatory requirements. BSE can also strengthen coordination among technology, compliance, surveillance, risk-management, and legal functions so that regulatory changes are incorporated into operational systems efficiently.

(6) Issue: Changing Retail Investor Behaviour

The increasing participation of retail investors is transforming India's capital markets. Younger investors increasingly rely on mobile applications, digital brokers, simplified investment interfaces, and real-time information. If BSE does not sufficiently adapt its communication and digital engagement strategies, it may face difficulties in converting growing retail participation into sustained activity across its products.

Strategy: BSE should strengthen its digital engagement with retail investors through investor education, simplified product communication, digital content, fintech partnerships, and user-friendly access to market information. Greater awareness of BSE's derivatives, SME, index, and other market

products can help broaden its retail participant base while maintaining appropriate investor-protection standards.

(7) Issue: Intensifying Technology and Fintech Competition

Financial technology companies are increasingly providing services that connect investors, intermediaries, asset managers, and market infrastructure through highly integrated digital ecosystems. This creates pressure on traditional financial institutions to continuously improve technology, connectivity, speed, and user experience.

Strategy: BSE should strengthen its technology ecosystem through API-based connectivity, partnerships with fintech companies, scalable digital infrastructure, data-driven services, and continuous improvement of participant interfaces. Strategic collaboration with technology and financial-service providers can allow BSE to expand its reach without having to develop every customer-facing capability internally.

Overall Strategic Direction

The emerging issues facing BSE are interconnected. Competition in derivatives, fintech-led changes in financial distribution, international expansion, cybersecurity, regulatory complexity, and changing investor behaviour all require continuous investment in technology, human capabilities, participant relationships, and product innovation. BSE's strategic response should therefore focus on building liquidity, diversifying revenue sources, strengthening digital infrastructure, expanding international participation, maintaining regulatory resilience, and developing long-term stakeholder relationships.

Rather than competing with established market leaders solely through scale, BSE can strengthen its position by leveraging its historical brand, SENSEX franchise, StAR MF platform, SME ecosystem, India INX presence, technology capabilities, and diversified capital-market infrastructure. A combination of differentiated products, ecosystem partnerships, technological resilience, and sustained participant engagement can support BSE's long-term objective of becoming a more comprehensive capital-market infrastructure group.

10. COMPARISON OF PERFORMANCE WITH COMPETITORS :

BSE Limited has undergone substantial financial and strategic development over the five-year period from FY2020–21 to FY2024–25. Its performance can be evaluated in relation to key institutions within India's market-infrastructure ecosystem, specifically the National Stock Exchange of India (NSE), Multi Commodity Exchange of India (MCX), and Central Depository Services (India) Limited (CDSL). While these organizations operate with differing business mandates, comparing them provides useful insights into BSE's financial growth, competitive positioning, technological evolution, and product diversification. The analysis evaluates four major dimensions: (i) financial performance, (ii) market activity and competitive positioning, (iii) product and platform innovation, and (iv) governance and regulatory alignment.

10.1 Financial Performance Comparison:

BSE recorded significant financial growth during the five-year observation period. Consolidated total revenue expanded from ₹654.65 crore in FY2020–21 to ₹3,236.31 crore in FY2024–25, representing a 394.4% increase. Consolidated profit after tax (PAT) similarly experienced a substantial upward trajectory, supported by increased trading activity, equity derivatives expansion, mutual-fund transaction processing, and listing-related activities (BSE Limited (2025) [120]). This trajectory demonstrates that BSE has strengthened its financial position through a combination of market-cycle effects and structural expansion across selected business segments.

NSE operates at a significantly larger operational scale within India's market infrastructure. Its FY2024–25 annual reporting demonstrates the substantial scale of its cash-equity and derivatives operations, market-data activities, and technology infrastructure (National Stock Exchange of India Limited (2025) [122]). Consequently, while BSE has achieved strong growth in selected segments, NSE continues to maintain a substantial scale advantage in core transaction-led activities.

MCX presents a more specialized financial profile focused primarily on commodity derivatives. Its performance reflects commodity-market trading activity, contract innovation, and participation across major commodity segments rather than broad securities-market exposure (Multi Commodity Exchange of India Limited (2025) [123]). CDSL, in contrast, operates as a securities depository, generating revenue from depository-related services and providing infrastructure for the electronic holding and

settlement of securities. Its business model therefore functions as a complementary layer of India's financial-market infrastructure rather than as a direct trade-execution competitor to BSE (Central Depository Services (India) Limited (2025) [124]).

10.2 Market Activity and Competitive Position:

BSE's principal competitive challenge remains NSE's established liquidity position in cash equity and equity derivatives. Published market statistics demonstrate the continued concentration of trading activity on NSE, particularly in index and stock derivatives (Securities and Exchange Board of India (2025) [121]). Higher liquidity can create network effects because greater trading activity attracts additional traders, market makers, and institutional participants, further strengthening the depth of the exchange's order book.

Nevertheless, BSE has developed competitive strengths in selected segments:

- **Derivatives Expansion:** Changes in contract structures, expiry schedules, and product offerings have enabled BSE to strengthen its presence in equity-index derivatives, particularly through SENSEX and BANKEX contracts.
- **Listing and SME Ecosystem:** BSE maintains a significant primary-market presence through its mainboard and SME platforms, providing companies with access to equity capital markets.
- **Mutual Fund Distribution – StAR MF:** BSE StAR MF has developed into a large-scale mutual-fund transaction-processing platform. The platform processed approximately 66.3 crore transactions in FY2025, providing BSE with a scalable source of platform-based business activity in addition to conventional exchange trading (BSE Limited (2025) [120]).

These developments demonstrate that BSE's competitive strategy is not restricted to challenging NSE directly in every market segment but also involves developing specialized areas in which it can establish stronger market positions.

10.3 Product and Platform Innovation Comparison:

BSE's product strategy emphasizes multi-asset diversification and technology-enabled ecosystem development. Its major strategic growth platforms include StAR MF, India International Exchange (India INX) at GIFT City, index products, the SME platform, and equity derivatives.

- **NSE:** NSE has developed a broad ecosystem covering equity and derivatives trading, market data, index products, technology infrastructure, and international-market activities through its GIFT City operations. Its principal competitive strength remains the depth and liquidity of its domestic trading markets (National Stock Exchange of India Limited (2025) [122]).
- **MCX:** MCX concentrates primarily on commodity derivatives and continues to develop products and trading infrastructure within the commodity-market segment (Multi Commodity Exchange of India Limited (2025) [123]).
- **CDSL:** CDSL focuses on depository infrastructure, digital investor services, electronic securities holding, and related market-infrastructure services. Its innovation therefore supports the custody and settlement ecosystem rather than exchange-based trade execution (Central Depository Services (India) Limited (2025) [124]).

BSE's distinctive advantage is therefore its combination of exchange operations with mutual-fund infrastructure, SME financing, index services, and international-market platforms. This diversification provides multiple avenues for business development and reduces dependence on a single market segment.

10.4 Governance and Regulatory Alignment:

As a publicly listed entity, BSE operates under extensive disclosure and governance requirements in addition to the regulatory framework applicable to recognized stock exchanges. Its annual reporting provides information concerning financial performance, governance, risk management, and strategic activities (BSE Limited (2025) [120]).

NSE operates under the same broad SEBI regulatory framework applicable to recognized stock exchanges, including requirements relating to market operations, risk management, governance, surveillance, and investor protection (National Stock Exchange of India Limited (2025) [122]). Therefore, the competitive comparison between BSE and NSE should not be based on a simple

difference in regulatory oversight. Instead, their competitive differentiation is primarily associated with market liquidity, technology, product development, participant engagement, and operational execution. MCX and CDSL also operate within India's regulated market-infrastructure framework, although their respective business functions differ from those of BSE. MCX focuses on commodity derivatives, while CDSL provides depository infrastructure. Their regulatory environments therefore reflect their specific roles within the securities and financial-market ecosystem (Securities and Exchange Board of India (2025) [121]).

10.5 Overall Strategic Synthesis:

The five-year comparative evaluation demonstrates that BSE Limited has achieved substantial financial and strategic progress. Consolidated revenue increased from ₹654.65 crore in FY2020–21 to ₹3,236.31 crore in FY2024–25, while the company simultaneously expanded its presence across derivatives, mutual-fund infrastructure, SME capital formation, index services, and international markets (BSE Limited (2025) [120]).

NSE continues to maintain a substantial advantage in overall trading liquidity and derivatives market activity (Securities and Exchange Board of India (2025) [121]). However, BSE has developed a more diversified ecosystem through StAR MF, its SME platform, index products, and India INX. MCX remains a specialized competitor in commodity derivatives, whereas CDSL functions primarily as a complementary market-infrastructure institution.

Overall, BSE's performance during the period can be characterized by strong financial growth, increasing business diversification, technological development, and strengthening participation in selected derivatives segments. Its future competitive performance will depend on its ability to deepen liquidity, sustain derivatives growth, expand international-market activities, strengthen technology-enabled platforms, and continue developing diversified sources of revenue.

11. SUGGESTIONS BASED ON THE STUDY :

Based on the comprehensive company analysis of BSE Limited, the following suggestions are proposed to strengthen its competitive position, diversify revenue sources, improve technological capabilities, and enhance long-term growth:

(1) Accelerate Derivatives Market Share Recovery: BSE should implement multi-tiered market-maker incentive programmes with graduated fee rebates for liquidity providers across index and single-stock derivatives. The exchange can also strengthen partnerships with major fintech and brokerage platforms to expand retail access to BSE derivatives. Developing differentiated products, attractive contract structures, and competitive transaction costs can help BSE gradually increase its derivatives market share.

BSE should also expand investor education through BSE Institute by introducing structured programmes on options, futures, risk management, and responsible derivatives trading. A BSE-branded options trading simulator could help new investors understand derivatives before participating in live markets.

(2) Evolve StAR MF into a Full Investment Ecosystem: BSE should continue developing StAR MF beyond conventional mutual-fund transaction processing. The platform could gradually integrate additional investment products such as ETFs, bonds, government securities, and other regulated investment instruments, subject to applicable regulations and business feasibility.

StAR MF can also strengthen its integration with corporate treasury platforms, wealth-management firms, fintech applications, and institutional distributors. This would enable BSE to expand the platform's reach beyond individual distributors and create additional recurring revenue opportunities.

(3) Maximize India INX Revenue Contribution: BSE should strengthen the international positioning of India INX by targeting institutional investors, asset managers, family offices, and other international participants interested in India-focused investment opportunities.

The exchange should also continue expanding its international product portfolio, including SENSEX-linked products, equity derivatives, fixed-income instruments, and other India-focused financial products. Greater international outreach and product diversification can improve the financial contribution of India INX to the BSE group.

(4) Create Transparent KPIs for Market Quality and Financial Inclusion: BSE should establish and regularly disclose measurable indicators relating to market quality and investor services. These

could include trading liquidity, bid-ask spreads, market impact, settlement efficiency, system uptime, investor grievance resolution, and participation across different investor categories.

Publishing such indicators in annual reports and investor communications would strengthen transparency and allow stakeholders to assess BSE's operational and market-development performance more effectively.

(5) Develop Targeted Products for Retail Investor Engagement: BSE should develop products and services that make capital-market participation more accessible to retail investors. Particular attention can be given to ETFs, SME-related investment opportunities, bonds, and other diversified investment products.

BSE can also strengthen its investor-education initiatives through digital learning modules covering derivatives, ETFs, bonds, mutual funds, and SME investing. Gamified educational content and practical simulations could help convert general awareness of the SENSEX brand into broader participation across BSE's product ecosystem.

(6) Strengthen Cybersecurity and Technology Resilience: Given the increasing dependence on digital trading infrastructure, BSE should continuously strengthen its cybersecurity framework. The exchange should invest in advanced threat detection, zero-trust security architecture, real-time monitoring, disaster-recovery systems, and regular independent cybersecurity assessments.

BSE should also conduct periodic technology-resilience exercises to ensure that trading, clearing, data, and investor-facing platforms can continue operating during major cyber incidents or technological disruptions.

(7) Expand Data and Index-Based Revenue Streams: BSE should further commercialize its market-data and index capabilities by developing customized indices for asset managers, ETFs, institutional investors, and other financial-product providers.

The exchange can also expand real-time market-data products, historical datasets, analytical services, and licensing arrangements. This would increase recurring, technology-enabled revenue while reducing excessive dependence on transaction-based income.

(8) Strengthen the SME and Startup Capital-Market Ecosystem: BSE should further strengthen its SME platform by improving awareness among entrepreneurs, startups, investors, and financial intermediaries. Partnerships with incubators, venture-capital networks, investment banks, and entrepreneurship institutions can increase the pipeline of potential SME listings.

BSE could also provide structured educational and advisory programmes covering IPO preparation, corporate governance, investor communication, and post-listing compliance. This would strengthen its role as a long-term capital-market partner for growing enterprises.

(9) Invest in Specialized Technology and Human Capital: BSE should continue developing specialized talent in areas such as low-latency technology, cybersecurity, artificial intelligence, quantitative finance, market surveillance, data analytics, and financial product development.

Strategic partnerships with universities, technology institutions, and financial-sector organizations can help create a stronger talent pipeline. BSE should also adopt appropriate career-development and retention mechanisms to compete effectively for highly skilled technology and financial-market professionals.

(10) Strengthen BSE's Global Brand and Institutional Positioning: BSE should leverage its long institutional history, SENSEX brand, technological capabilities, and diversified market infrastructure to strengthen its international reputation. Greater participation in global financial-market forums, international investor conferences, and institutional roadshows can improve awareness among global investors and financial institutions.

BSE should position itself not only as India's oldest stock exchange but also as a modern, technology-driven and diversified market-infrastructure institution capable of supporting domestic and international capital-market participation.

Overall Suggestion

Taken together, these suggestions aim to align BSE's long institutional heritage with changing investor preferences, technological developments, regulatory requirements, and increasing international integration. The primary strategic priority should be to strengthen derivatives liquidity while simultaneously expanding diversified platforms such as StAR MF, India INX, market-data services, SME infrastructure, and index products. This balanced approach can reduce dependence on any single

revenue stream and strengthen BSE's long-term competitive position within India's capital-market ecosystem.

12. CONCLUSIONS :

(1) Summary of Key Findings: The comprehensive analysis of BSE Limited demonstrates that the organization has undergone significant strategic and financial transformation within India's capital-market ecosystem. The study highlights BSE's increasing emphasis on diversification through platforms and businesses such as StAR MF, India INX, SME listings, index services, and derivatives. This diversification has enabled BSE to move beyond dependence on conventional equity-trading activities and develop a broader market-infrastructure model. At the same time, the analysis identifies important challenges, particularly NSE's strong position in the equity-derivatives market, the need to increase the contribution of India INX, intensifying competition from fintech platforms, and the continuing requirement for investment in technology, cybersecurity, and specialized human resources. Overall, BSE's development reflects a combination of institutional heritage, technological adaptation, product diversification, and strategic repositioning.

(2) Value of BSE as a Case Study in Capital Market Transformation: BSE provides a valuable case study of how an established financial institution can adapt to changing competitive, technological, and regulatory conditions. Its evolution from a traditional stock-exchange institution into a diversified market-infrastructure organization demonstrates how established financial institutions can use regulatory experience, participant relationships, technological capabilities, and institutional credibility to develop new platforms and services. The growth of StAR MF, the expansion of India INX, the development of the SME platform, and the strengthening of derivatives and index-related activities illustrate how an established exchange can extend its capabilities into adjacent areas of the financial ecosystem. Therefore, BSE offers useful insights for researchers, financial institutions, regulators, and business strategists studying institutional transformation, financial innovation, market infrastructure, and long-term competitive adaptation.

(3) Final Reflections on Sustainable Innovation and Institutional Leadership: BSE's future competitiveness will depend on its ability to combine technological innovation with institutional responsibility and sustainable business development. Continued investment in trading infrastructure, cybersecurity, market surveillance, data analytics, artificial intelligence, and digital financial platforms will be essential for maintaining operational resilience and market relevance. At the same time, BSE must strengthen derivatives liquidity, expand the international potential of India INX, develop StAR MF and other technology-enabled platforms, and deepen its engagement with retail and institutional investors. Its long institutional heritage provides a strong foundation, but sustainable leadership will depend on its ability to continuously adapt to changing investor expectations, fintech disruption, regulatory developments, and the increasing internationalization of India's capital markets. Thus, BSE's long-term success will be determined not simply by trading volumes or financial performance, but by its capacity to create a resilient, inclusive, innovative, and technologically advanced capital-market ecosystem.

REFERENCES :

- [1] Aithal, P. S., (2017). Company Analysis – The Beginning Step for Scholarly Research. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT and Education (IJCSBE)*, 1(1), 1-18 (PDF) *Company Analysis – The Beginning Step for Scholarly Research*. Available from: [Google Scholar](#)
- [2] Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532–550. [Google Scholar](#)
Important: I recommend using 1989, not 2009, for the original and widely cited article. The 2009 item you may find online is a later posting/republication of the work. [Google Scholar](#)
- [3] Welch, C., Piekkari, R., Plakoyiannaki, E., & Paavilainen-Mäntymäki, E. (2011). Theorising from case studies: Towards a pluralist future for international business research. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 42(5), 740–762. [Google Scholar](#)
- [4] Stake, R. E. (1995). The art of case study research. [Google Scholar](#)
- [6] Ghemawat, P. (2002). Competition and business strategy in historical perspective. *Business history review*, 76(1), 37-74. [Google Scholar](#)

- [8] Ridder, H. G. (2017). The theory contribution of case study research designs. *Business research*, 10(2), 281-305. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [9] Siggelkow, N. (2007). Persuasion with case studies. *Academy of management journal*, 50(1), 20-24. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [11] Voss, C., Tsikriktsis, N., & Frohlich, M. (2002). Case research in operations management. *International journal of operations & production management*, 22(2), 195-219. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [12] BSE Limited. (n.d.). *About BSE – Our history*. BSE Limited. BSE official history page [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [13] BSE Limited. (2025). *20th annual report FY2024–25*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [14] Securities and Exchange Board of India. (2005). *BSE (Corporatisation and Demutualisation) Scheme, 2005*. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [15] BSE Limited. (2017). *Red herring prospectus: Initial public offering of BSE Limited*. Securities and Exchange Board of India. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [16] Shah, A., & Thomas, S. (1996). How competition and automation have changed the Bombay Stock Exchange. Available at SSRN 7239. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [17] BSE Limited. (2025). *20th annual report FY2024–25*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [18] S&P Dow Jones Indices & BSE. (2013, February 19). *BSE and S&P Dow Jones Indices announce strategic partnership in India* [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [19] India International Exchange. (2017). *About India INX*. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [20] Government of India. (1956). *Securities Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1956* (Act No. 42 of 1956). [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [21] Securities and Exchange Board of India. (1992). *Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992*. SEBI. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [22] BSE Limited. (2025). *20th annual report FY2024–25*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [23] Securities and Exchange Board of India. (2005). *BSE (Corporatisation and Demutualisation) Scheme, 2005*. Securities and Exchange Board of India. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [24] BSE Limited. (2025). *20th annual report FY2024–25*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [25] India International Exchange. (n.d.). *About India INX*. India International Exchange. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [26] Shah, A., & Thomas, S. (1996). *How competition and automation have changed the Bombay Stock Exchange*. SSRN Working Paper. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [27] BSE Limited. (2024). *Earnings call transcript Q4 FY2024*. BSE Investor Relations. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [28] BSE Limited. (2026). *21st annual report FY2025–26*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [29] BSE Limited. (2025). *20th annual report FY2024–25*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [30] Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532–550. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [31] Edmondson, A. C., & McManus, S. E. (2007). Methodological fit in management field research. *Academy of management review*, 32(4), 1246-1264. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [32] Flyvbjerg, B. (2005). Five misunderstandings about case-study research. *Studia Socjologiczne*, (2 (177)), 41-69. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [33] Ramos, S. B., & von Thadden, E. L. (2008). Stock exchange competition in a simple model of capital market equilibrium. *Journal of Financial Markets*, 11(3), 284-307. [Google Scholar↗](#)

- [34] O'Hara, M. (2003). Presidential address: Liquidity and price discovery. *The journal of Finance*, 58(4), 1335-1354. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [35] Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications* (Vol. 6, pp. 200-224). Thousand Oaks, CA: sage. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [36] Levine, R., & Zervos, S. (1998). Stock markets, banks, and economic growth. *American economic review*, 537-558. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [37] Serifsoy, B. (2008). Demutualization, outsider ownership, and stock exchange performance: empirical evidence. *Economics of Governance*, 9(4), 305-339. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [38] BSE Limited. (2025). *20th annual report FY2024–25*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [39] Pagano, M. (1989). Trading volume and asset liquidity. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 104(2), 255-274. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [40] Madhavan, A. (2000). Market microstructure: A survey. *Journal of financial markets*, 3(3), 205-258. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [41] Brogaard, J., Hendershott, T., & Riordan, R. (2014). High-frequency trading and price discovery. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 27(8), 2267-2306. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [42] Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532–550. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [43] Eisenhardt, K. M., & Graebner, M. E. (2007). Theory building from cases: Opportunities and challenges. *Academy of management journal*, 50(1), 25-32. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [44] Edmondson, A. C., & McManus, S. E. (2007). Methodological fit in management field research. *Academy of management review*, 32(4), 1246-1264. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [45] Baxter, P., & Jack, S. (2008). Qualitative case study methodology: Study design and implementation for novice researchers. *The qualitative report*, 13(4), 544-559. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [46] Macey, J. R., & O'hara, M. (1999). Regulating exchanges and alternative trading systems: A law and economics perspective. *The Journal of Legal Studies*, 28(1), 17-54. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [47] La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1997). Legal determinants of external finance. *The journal of finance*, 52(3), 1131-1150. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [48] Porta, R. L., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1998). Law and finance. *Journal of political economy*, 106(6), 1113-1155. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [49] Madhavan, A. (2000). Market microstructure: A survey. *Journal of financial markets*, 3(3), 205-258. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [50] O'Hara, M. (2003). Presidential address: Liquidity and price discovery. *The journal of Finance*, 58(4), 1335-1354. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [51] Gennaioli, N., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. (2012). Neglected risks, financial innovation, and financial fragility. *Journal of financial economics*, 104(3), 452-468. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [52] Levine, R., & Zervos, S. (1998). Stock markets, banks, and economic growth. *American economic review*, 537-558. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [53] Hendershott, T., Jones, C. M., & Menkveld, A. J. (2011). Does algorithmic trading improve liquidity?. *The Journal of finance*, 66(1), 1-33. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [54] Brogaard, J., Hendershott, T., & Riordan, R. (2014). High-frequency trading and price discovery. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 27(8), 2267-2306. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [55] Demsetz, H. (1968). The cost of transacting. *The quarterly journal of economics*, 82(1), 33-53. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [56] Krishnamurti, C., Sequeira, J. M., & Fangjian, F. (2003). Stock exchange governance and market quality. *Journal of banking & finance*, 27(9), 1859-1878. [Google Scholar↗](#)

- [57] Goel, A., Tripathi, V., & Agarwal, M. (2021). Market microstructure: a comparative study of Bombay stock exchange and national stock exchange. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 18(3), 414-442. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [58] Eleswarapu, V., & Krishnamurti, C. (1995). *Liquidity, stock returns and ownership structure: an empirical study of the BSE* (No. 9507005). University Library of Munich, Germany. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [59] Selvam, M., Babu, M., Indhumathi, G., & Krithiga, S. (2009). Impact of Index Futures and Options Introduction: A Case of Spot Market Volatility in BSE. *Asia Pacific Business Review*, 5(3), 97-105. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [60] Singh, A. K., & Anand, A. (2020). A study on listing day price performance of BSE SME IPOs and its determinants. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 44-61. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [61] Bhurjee, K., & Ramanna, V. S. (2024). Preopening auctions and price discovery in initial public offerings. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 165, 107196. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [62] Ryaly, V. R., Raju, G. S., & Urlankula, B. (2017). Testing the weak-form market efficiency in the Indian stock market: Evidence from the Bombay Stock Exchange Index (BSE) Sensex. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 26-40. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [63] Mushinada, V. N. C., & Veluri, V. S. S. (2018). Investors overconfidence behaviour at Bombay stock exchange. *International Journal of Managerial Finance*, 14(5), 613-632. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [64] Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications* (Vol. 6, pp. 200-224). Thousand Oaks, CA: sage. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [65] Stebbins, R. A. (2001). *Exploratory research in the social sciences*. SAGE Publications. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [66] Helo, P., & Hao, Y. (2022). Artificial intelligence in operations management and supply chain management: An exploratory case study. *Production planning & control*, 33(16), 1573-1590. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [67] Sibbald, S. L., Paciocco, S., Fournie, M., Van Asseldonk, R., & Scurr, T. (2021, September). Continuing to enhance the quality of case study methodology in health services research. In *Healthcare management forum* (Vol. 34, No. 5, pp. 291-296). Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA: SAGE Publications. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [68] Monteiro, C. V., da Silva, F. Q., & Capretz, L. F. (2016, September). A pilot case study on innovative behaviour: Lessons learned and directions for future work. In *Proceedings of the 10th ACM/IEEE International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering and Measurement* (pp. 1-6). [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [69] Loughran, T., & McDonald, B. (2011). When is a liability not a liability? Textual analysis, dictionaries, and 10-Ks. *The Journal of finance*, 66(1), 35-65. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [70] Qian, Y., & Sun, Y. (2021). The correlation between annual reports' narratives and business performance: A retrospective analysis. *Sage Open*, 11(3), 21582440211032198. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [71] Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative research journal*, 9(2), 27-40. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [72] Grande-Ramírez, J. R., Roldán-Reyes, E., Aguilar-Lasserre, A. A., & Juárez-Martínez, U. (2022). Integration of sentiment analysis of social media in the strategic planning process to generate the balanced scorecard. *Applied Sciences*, 12(23), 12307. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [73] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2023). New research models under exploratory research method. *a Book "Emergence and Research in Interdisciplinary Management and Information Technology" edited by PK Paul et al. Published by New Delhi Publishers, New Delhi, India*, 109-140. [Google Scholar↗](#)

- [74] Barreto, N., & Mayya, S. (2022). SWOC Analysis of Marriott International-A Case Study. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education*, 6(2), 877-889. [Google Scholar](#)
- [75] Aithal, P. S. (2017). ABCD analysis as research methodology in company case studies. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 2(2), 40-54. [Google Scholar](#)
- [76] Çitilci, T., & Akbalık, M. (2020). The importance of PESTEL analysis for environmental scanning process. In *Handbook of research on decision-making techniques in financial marketing* (pp. 336-357). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. [Google Scholar](#)
- [77] Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative research journal*, 9(2), 27-40. [Google Scholar](#)
- [78] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2023). New research models under exploratory research method. *a Book "Emergence and Research in Interdisciplinary Management and Information Technology" edited by PK Paul et al. Published by New Delhi Publishers, New Delhi, India*, 109-140. [Google Scholar](#)
- [79] Chauhan, A. K., & Jain, A. (2018). *The temple of wealth creation: A 143-year journey, 1875–2018*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar](#)
- [80] Government of India. (1956). *The Securities Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1956*. Ministry of Finance. [Google Scholar](#)
- [81] Securities and Exchange Board of India. (2005, May 20). *Order in respect of BSE (Corporatisation and Demutualisation) Scheme, 2005*. SEBI. [Google Scholar](#)
- [82] BSE Limited. (2016). *Notice of Extra-ordinary General Meeting: Proposed initial public offering of equity shares*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar](#)
- [83] BSE Limited. (2017). *Investor presentation*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar](#)
- [84] BSE Limited. (2019). *Annual report 2018–19*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar](#)
- [85] Press Information Bureau. (2010, January 6). *BSE SENSEX is now 25*. Government of India. [Google Scholar](#)
- [86] BSE Limited. (2025, May 6). *BSE Limited financial results for the quarter and year ended March 31, 2025*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar](#)
- [87] BSE Limited. (2025). *Annual report 2024–25*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar](#)
- [88] BSE Limited. (2025). *Annual report 2024–25*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar](#)
- [89] India International Exchange (India INX). (2025, February 3). *BSE launches SENSEX derivatives on India INX*. India INX. [Google Scholar](#)
- [90] Lee, R. (2010). Running the world's markets: the governance of financial infrastructure. [Google Scholar](#)
- [91] World Federation of Exchanges. (2024). *The World Federation of Exchanges announces priorities for 2024*. World Federation of Exchanges. [Google Scholar](#)
- [92] BSE Limited. (2025). *BSE SENSEX: India's leading equity benchmark*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar](#)
- [93] Asia Index Private Limited. (2025). *BSE indices methodology*. Asia Index Private Limited. [Google Scholar](#)
- [94] BSE Limited. (2025). *Annual report 2024–25*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar](#)
- [95] India International Exchange (India INX). (2025). *SENSEX derivatives launched on India INX*. India International Exchange. [Google Scholar](#)
- [96] BSE Limited. (2025). *Annual report 2024–25*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar](#)

- [97] Securities and Exchange Board of India. (2024). *Master circular for stock exchanges and clearing corporations*. SEBI. [Google Scholar](#)
- [98] BSE Limited. (2025). *Board of directors*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar](#)
- [99] Securities and Exchange Board of India. (2024). *Securities Contracts (Regulation) (Stock Exchanges and Clearing Corporations) Regulations, 2018, as amended*. SEBI. [Google Scholar](#)
- [100] Securities and Exchange Board of India. (2024). *Master circular on surveillance of securities market*. SEBI. [Google Scholar](#)
- [101] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2016). *Applying SWOC analysis to an institution of higher education*. SSRN. [Google Scholar](#)
- [102] Hosen, M. (2017). SWOT analysis: A theoretical review. *Journal of International Social Research*. [Google Scholar](#)
- [103] Indrasari, M. (2023). Shifting from SWOT to SWOC: A combination of strategic planning theory and financial strategy approaches for organizational sustainability performance. *Atestasi: Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi*, 6(1), 36-52. [Google Scholar](#)
- [104] Aba, J. I., Danjuma, O. A., & Makinde, O. T. (2019). Awareness of SWOC analysis for library services in universities in Edo State, Nigeria: Opportunities, and Challenges (SWOC), Universities and Library Services. *Gateway Information Journal*, 20(2). [Google Scholar](#)
- [105] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2023). Incubationship—A systematic analysis of recently announced super innovation in higher education using SWOC, ABCD, and PESTL frameworks. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education (IJCSBE)*, 7(4), 48-90. [Google Scholar](#)
- [106] Aithal, P. S. (2016). Study on ABCD analysis technique for business models, business strategies, operating concepts & business systems. *International Journal in Management and Social Science*, 4(1), 95-115. [Google Scholar](#)
- [107] Aithal, P. S., Shailashree, V., & Kumar, P. M. (2015). A new ABCD technique to analyze business models & concepts. *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*, 5(4), 409-423. [Google Scholar](#)
- [108] Aithal, S. (2016). Application of ABCD Analysis Framework on Private University System in India. [Google Scholar](#)
- [109] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2023). New research models under exploratory research method. *a Book "Emergence and Research in Interdisciplinary Management and Information Technology" edited by PK Paul et al. Published by New Delhi Publishers, New Delhi, India*, 109-140. [Google Scholar](#)
- [110] Prabhu, N., & Aithal, P. S. (2023). Inbound corporate social responsibility model for selected Indian banks and their proposed impact on attracting and retaining customers—A case study. *International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML)*, 7(3), 55-74. [Google Scholar](#)
- [111] Brigham, E. F., & Ehrhardt, M. C. (2014). *Financial management: Theory & practice* (14th ed.). Cengage Learning. [Google Scholar](#)
- [112] Penman, S. H. (2013). *Financial statement analysis and security valuation* (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education. [Google Scholar](#)
- [113] Alvarez, F., & S Fridson, M. (2011). *Financial Statement Analysis A Practitioner's Guide*. Wiley professional banking and finance series. [Google Scholar](#)
- [114] White, G. I., Sondhi, A. C., & Fried, D. (2003). *The analysis and use of financial statements* (3rd ed.). Wiley. [Google Scholar](#)
- [115] Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing management* (15th ed.). Pearson Education. [Google Scholar](#)

- [116] Bughin, J., Seong, J., Manyika, J., Chui, M., & Joshi, R. (2017). *Artificial intelligence: The next digital frontier?* McKinsey Global Institute. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [117] Wright, P. M., & McMahan, G. C. (1992). Theoretical perspectives for strategic human resource management. *Journal of management*, 18(2), 295-320. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [118] Snell, S. A., Morris, S. S., & Bohlander, G. W. (2015). *Managing human resources* (17th ed.). Cengage Learning. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [119] Barney, J. B., & Wright, P. M. (1998). On becoming a strategic partner: The role of human resources in gaining competitive advantage. *Human Resource Management: Published in Cooperation with the School of Business Administration, The University of Michigan and in alliance with the Society of Human Resources Management*, 37(1), 31-46. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [120] BSE Limited. (2025). *Annual report 2024–25*. BSE Limited. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [121] Securities and Exchange Board of India. (2025). *SEBI Bulletin and market statistics*. Securities and Exchange Board of India. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [122] National Stock Exchange of India Limited. (2025). *Integrated annual report 2024–25*. National Stock Exchange of India Limited. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [123] Multi Commodity Exchange of India Limited. (2025). *Annual report 2024–25*. Multi Commodity Exchange of India Limited. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [124] Central Depository Services (India) Limited. (2025). *Annual report 2024–25*. Central Depository Services (India) Limited. [Google Scholar↗](#)
