

Rediscovering Strategic Leadership: What Ancient Indian Statecraft Teaches Modern Executives and Policymakers

P. S. Aithal ¹ & Ramanathan Srinivasan ²

¹ Professor, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, Volakadu, Udupi - 576101, India,
OrchID: 0000-0002-4691-8736; E-mail: psaithal@gmail.com

² Emeritus Professor, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, Udupi - 576101, India,
OrchID: 0009-0003-9896-9943; E-mail: drsramnath2k21@outlook.com

Area/Section: IKS in Management & Technology.

Type of the Paper: Exploratory Research.

Number of Peer Reviews: Two.

Type of Review: Peer Reviewed as per [\[C|O|P|E\]](#) guidance.

Indexed in: OpenAIRE.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22713108>

Google Scholar Citation: [PIJPL](#)

How to Cite this Paper:

Aithal, P. S. & Ramanathan, S. (2026). Rediscovering Strategic Leadership: What Ancient Indian Statecraft Teaches Modern Executives and Policymakers. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Philosophy & Languages (PIJPL)*, 3(2), 67-84. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22713108>

Poornaprajna International Journal of Philosophy & Languages (PIJPL)

A Refereed International Journal of Poornaprajna Publication, India.

ISSN: 3107-4634

Crossref DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64818/PIJPL.3107.4634.0057>

Received on: 17/07/2026

Published on: 13/09/2026

© With Authors.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International License](#), subject to proper citation to the publication source of the work.

Disclaimer: The scholarly papers as reviewed and published by Poornaprajna Publication (P.P.), India, are the views and opinions of their respective authors and are not the views or opinions of the PP. The PP disclaims of any harm or loss caused due to the published content to any party.

Rediscovering Strategic Leadership: What Ancient Indian Statecraft Teaches Modern Executives and Policymakers

P. S. Aithal¹ & Ramanathan Srinivasan²

¹ Professor, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, Volakadu, Udupi - 576101, India,
OrchID: 0000-0002-4691-8736; E-mail: psaithal@gmail.com

² Emeritus Professor, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, Volakadu, Udupi - 576101,
India, OrchID: 0009-0003-9896-9943; E-mail: drsramnath2k21@outlook.com

ABSTRACT

Purpose: *The contemporary global leadership crisis — characterised by endemic institutional corruption, short-term decision-making driven by quarterly earnings cycles, the collapse of trust between organisations and their stakeholders, and the widespread failure of leaders under pressure — has generated a searching re-examination of whether the foundational assumptions of modern Western management theory are adequate for the leadership challenges of the twenty-first century. This deep-study research paper argues that the ancient Indian tradition of statecraft offers a remarkably sophisticated, philosophically coherent, and practically tested alternative framework for understanding and developing leadership — one that addresses precisely those dimensions of leadership that modern theory consistently neglects: inner character formation, philosophical training as a prerequisite for strategic thinking, the integration of ethics and governance, the practice of non-attached action, and the orientation of all leadership activity toward the welfare of those being led.*

Methodology: *In this paper, the exploratory qualitative research method is used. The relevant information is collected using keyword-based search in Google search engine, Google Scholar search engine, and AI-driven GPTs. This information is analysed and interpreted as per the objectives of the paper.*

Analysis/ Results: *Drawing upon the four principal classical sources of ancient Indian leadership thought — Kautilya's Arthashastra, the Bhagavad Gita, Thiruvalluvar's Thirukkural, and Kamandaka's Nitisastra — alongside secondary sources from the Mahabharata, Ramayana, and Panchatantra, this paper systematically analyses six foundational dimensions of ancient Indian leadership theory: the concept of the Raja-rishi or sage-king as the ideal leader; the conquest of the Shadripus (six internal enemies) as the prerequisite for effective leadership; the four-subject educational curriculum (Anvikshiki, Trayi, Varta, Dandaniti) prescribed for aspiring leaders; the art of counsel, decision-making, and task completion; the communication principles of the Thirukkural; and the ethical governance framework of Rajadharma and Yogakshema. Each dimension is examined both in its classical historical context and in its contemporary management application, with specific attention to what modern leadership theory and practice miss by ignoring these ancient sources.*

Originality/ Values: *The paper concludes that ancient Indian statecraft offers not a romanticised alternative to modern management theory but a deeper and more demanding foundation for it — one that begins where modern theory rarely begins, with the leader's own inner life, and ends where modern theory rarely arrives, with the genuinely selfless orientation of leadership toward collective welfare.*

Type of Paper: *Exploratory Research.*

Keywords: Arthashastra, Kautilya, Rajadharma, Yogakshema, Shadripus, Anvikshiki, Raja-rishi, Thirukkural, Nitisastra, Ancient Indian Leadership, Indian Knowledge Systems, Management Studies, NEP 2020.

1. INTRODUCTION :

Throughout the last century, the field of leadership has shown great enthusiasm, especially in the social sciences and management. Schemas, models, and a myriad of empirical studies have flooded the research. However, the investment has not paid off. By most metrics, the quality of leadership in organizations and governments has declined. Trust in leaders has hit an all-time low, and the iterative scandals and failures of the corporate world and systems have made abusive governance the norm and good governance the exception. The distance between the promises of leadership development and the actual practice of leadership has never been wider [1-8].

This paper does not blame the gap on the lack of knowledge of leadership systems and management techniques. Rather, it is the absence of fundamentals, such as the inner character of leaders, the ethical and philosophical components of the leaders, and the long-term perspective of leaders. These components and elements of leadership have a dominant place in ancient Indian statecraft. It is their neglect in leadership practice and theory that explains the leadership deficit the world is facing today.

India's rich, developed thoughts on leadership and governance are some of the bests in the world. The Arthashastra of Kautilya (4th Century BCE) is one the earliest, most sophisticated, and most comprehensive writings on governance. Management scholars have viewed the Nishkama Karma framework of the Bhagavad Gita as an almost unique and powerful form of leadership [9-14].

There are chapters dedicated to ministers and counsel in Thiruvalluvar's Thirukkural. Furthermore, Thirukkural's advice on communication is a major contribution to the field of leadership communication and is cited in modern communication theory. The course of royal duties and the relationship of character to politics is documented in the Nitisara of Kamandaka and Shantiparva of Mahabharata. Collectively, these traditions of leadership theory have value and significance of their own and should not be viewed as an interesting addition to western leadership theory.

The aim of this paper is to examine each of the six pillars of ancient Indian leadership theory in depth and construct an analysis based on the classical, theoretical, and logical foundations of each pillar and their relevance to contemporary management. Along with a analysis of ancient and modern leadership theories, the paper aims to present modern leadership educators and practitioners with constructive insights.

2. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY :

This research paper is guided by the following specific objectives:

- (1) To provide a rigorous, textually grounded exposition of the principal frameworks for leadership, statecraft, and governance in the classical ancient Indian sources — with particular emphasis on Kautilya's Arthashastra, the Bhagavad Gita, Thiruvalluvar's Thirukkural, and Kamandaka's Nitisara.
- (2) To analyse the concept of the Raja-rishi or sage-king as the archetypal leadership ideal in ancient Indian thought, examining its philosophical foundations, its prescriptive content, and its practical implications for the formation of leaders.
- (3) To examine the doctrine of the Shadripus — the six internal enemies (Kama, Krodha, Lobha, Moha, Mada, Matsarya) — as a systematic framework for understanding the internal psychological dimensions of leadership failure, and to evaluate its relevance for contemporary leadership development practice.
- (4) To document and analyse the four-subject leadership education curriculum prescribed in the Arthashastra (Anvikshiki, Trayi, Varta, Dandaniti), with particular attention to the role of Anvikshiki — the science of philosophical inquiry — as the foundation of sound leadership judgement.
- (5) To examine the ancient Indian frameworks for counsel, decision-making, and task completion — including the Panchatantra's counsel tradition, the Arthashastra's evidence-based decision-making model (Pratyaksha), and the Mantri Parishad advisory council system.
- (6) To analyse the communication principles of the Thirukkural, with particular attention to its teachings on audience assessment, brevity, persuasion, and the relationship between communication competence and leadership effectiveness.
- (7) To examine the ethical governance framework of Rajadharma and Yogakshema as the normative foundation of ancient Indian statecraft, evaluating its implications for contemporary corporate governance, public administration, and organisational leadership.
- (8) To construct a systematic comparative analysis of ancient Indian leadership principles and contemporary management theory, identifying the specific lacunae in modern approaches that the

ancient tradition addresses and articulating a framework for integrating these insights into contemporary leadership development.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY :

This study adopts a qualitative, secondary-data-driven research design. Relevant literature and data were systematically retrieved through targeted keyword queries across academic databases, search engines, and AI-assisted search tools, including Google Scholar and GPT platforms. The compiled material underwent critical review, thematic analysis, and interpretive synthesis to address the objectives of the paper [15-25].

4. THE RAJA-RISHI IDEAL: LEADERSHIP AS THE INTEGRATION OF POWER AND WISDOM :

4.1 The Concept of Leadership in Classical Indian Texts:

Ancient Indian texts approached leadership not as a set of behavioural competencies to be acquired through training but as a quality of being to be cultivated through sustained inner work and disciplined intellectual formation. The Bhagavad Gita, Mahabharata, Ramayana, Arthashastra, and Thirukkural — and supplementary sources including Kamandaka's Nitisara, the Panchatantra, and the Bhoja Prabandha — each contributed distinct perspectives on what leadership means, what qualities it requires, and what purposes it should serve. Kamandaka's Nitisara, the Panchatantra, and the Bhoja Prabandha together shape India's political-ethical imagination, blending statecraft, moral reasoning, and narrative wisdom to guide rulers through strategy, virtue, and exemplary conduct across changing historical contexts. These were not abstract philosophical musings, but practical frameworks designed to create effective governance systems for complex, diverse, and often turbulent societies. Their continued relevance to contemporary leadership challenges derives precisely from the fact that they were tested in conditions of real political and administrative complexity [26-35].

Kautilya's Arthashastra stands as the most comprehensive and analytically sophisticated of these sources. Written as guidance for rulers — specifically for the young Chandragupta Maurya, who would go on to create the largest empire in Indian history — the text functions simultaneously as a manual of statecraft, an account of administrative organisation, a theory of international relations, a handbook of economic management, and a philosophy of governance. Its approach to leadership is distinctive in two respects: it is relentlessly practical, providing detailed guidance on specific situations from the management of the palace household to the conduct of military campaigns, and it is deeply philosophical, grounding all this practical guidance in a coherent theory of the relationship between the leader's inner character, the quality of his decision-making, and the welfare of those he governs [36-40].

4.2 The Raja-Rishi: The Sage-King Archetype:

The highest leadership ideal in the Arthashastra is the Raja-rishi — the sage-king who combines the political authority of the ruler with the inner mastery and philosophical wisdom of the sage. This figure represents Kautilya's understanding that the requirements of genuinely effective governance cannot be met by technical competence alone. The ruler who knows strategy but lacks self-control will use strategy for personal advantage. The ruler who possesses authority but lacks wisdom will exercise authority arbitrarily. Only the ruler who has achieved genuine inner mastery — who has conquered the six internal enemies, who has cultivated philosophical understanding, who has oriented his life toward the welfare of others rather than personal gratification — is capable of governing well over an extended period and under the full range of conditions that governance inevitably presents.

The Raja-rishi is described in the Arthashastra as one who possesses self-control and does not fall prey to sensory temptations. He fosters his learning while avoiding false or flattering advisors. He associates with accomplished and truthful elders rather than with those who tell him what he wishes to hear. These qualities are not incidental to his effectiveness as a ruler; they are its foundation. The leader who can be flattered can be manipulated. The leader who is attached to sensory pleasure becomes a vehicle for the interests of those who provide it. The leader who surrounds himself with sycophants loses contact with reality and makes decisions on the basis of distorted information [41-44].

Lord Krishna as depicted in the Mahabharata provides the most vivid narrative embodiment of this ideal. As strategist, guide, and crisis manager, Krishna demonstrates the sage-king's capacity for

adaptive leadership — functioning as different kinds of leader in response to different situations, people, and moments. His ability to maintain inner equanimity while functioning at the highest level of strategic and tactical intelligence, to act with total commitment while remaining personally unattached to outcomes, and to orient every action toward dharma rather than personal advantage represents the ancient Indian tradition's highest conception of leadership in action.

4.3 Leadership as Self-Mastery Before Managing Others:

The Arthashastra's insistence that self-regulation forms the starting point of leadership reflects a profound understanding of the relationship between inner character and outer effectiveness that modern psychology and neuroscience are only now beginning to confirm.

The research literature on executive decision-making under stress consistently demonstrates that emotional dysregulation impairs cognitive performance, increases risk-taking propensity, and narrows the range of options considered. Leaders who lack emotional self-regulation consistently make worse decisions under pressure — precisely the conditions when good decisions matter most.

The ancient texts identified six specific internal enemies that every leader must recognise and overcome: Kama (desire or craving that drives impulsive decisions), Krodha (anger that distorts perception and triggers reactive rather than considered responses), Lobha (greed that corrupts the alignment between the leader's interests and those of the people served), Moha (delusion or attachment that prevents clear seeing of realities that threaten comfortable assumptions), Mada (arrogance that closes the leader to feedback and alternative perspectives), and Matsarya (jealousy that undermines cooperation and poisons relationships with talented subordinates). These were not abstract philosophical categories but specific patterns of leadership failure whose dynamics ancient Indian thinkers had observed with extraordinary precision [45-47].

Kama in a leader produces the corruption of institutional resources for personal gratification — the most common form of governance failure across all cultures and historical periods. Krodha produces the reactive governance that substitutes punitive responses for analytical ones — the leader who responds to criticism with suppression rather than with engagement. Lobha produces the enrichment of the leader and his circle at the expense of those served — the privatisation of institutional advantage for personal gain. Moha produces the inability to acknowledge changed circumstances that requires abandonment of prior commitments — the leader who clings to failing strategies because acknowledging their failure would require admitting error. Mada produces the isolation of the leader from ground-level reality — the gradual insulation from honest feedback that turns capable leaders into tyrants. Matsarya produces the suppression or departure of the talented — the leader who feels threatened by competence and systematically eliminates it from the organisation.

5. LEARNING AND CONTINUOUS EDUCATION: THE ANCIENT CURRICULUM FOR LEADERSHIP :

5.1 The Four-Subject Leadership Curriculum:

Educating Rulers stands out as a sophisticated pre-modern leadership development model. Unlike many contemporary executive education programs, Kautilya's model captures intellectual coherence and builds theory into practice, progressing from basic to advanced knowledge. The four branches of knowledge combined with Anvikshiki (philosophical inquiry and logical reasoning), Trayi (Vedic studies and the foundations of dharma), Varta (the study of the economy and the arts of agriculture, trade, and productive activity), and Dandaniti (the integrated study of political science, law, and governance), were seen as an integrated system of interrelated parts.

The elements of the curriculum were developed in a particular order and with a particular philosophy in mind. The first subjects the prince studied were Trayi and Anvikshiki, followed by Varta, and then Dandaniti. This order illustrates that Kautilya was aware of the need to build the lower levels of the curriculum before the higher levels. For example, a leader without a grounding in Anvikshiki, or philosophy, will understand economics, but will create inequitable outcomes. Conversely, a leader without a grounding in Varta will understand the mechanisms of governance but will create politically sophisticated yet economically unviable governance structures. Neither the study of economics nor the study of governance can be undertaken in a truly discerning way without a grounding in Anvikshiki [48-51].

5.2 Anvikshiki: As the Basis for Leadership:

Anvikshiki, which has a number of different translations including the science of inquiry, critical reasoning, philosophical analysis, or the science of thought, occupies a singularly foundational position in the Arthashastra's epistemology. Kautilya calls it the lamp of all sciences and the means of all actions, the ground of all dharmas. This is not an ornamental flourish. It is a precise philosophical statement. Through the disciplined cultivation of philosophical inquiry, a leader is able to see the difference between the real and the apparent, the genuine and the sophistic, the real interests of the served and the rational self-interest disguised as public interest by the sophisticated.

Anvikshiki comes from the Sanskrit root *īkṣ*, which means 'to see, to look, to perceive.' With *anu* as a prefix, the sense of the word is altered to indicate following through or pursuing to the end. This reflects the idea of carefully and critically. Anvikshiki thus represents thorough and disciplined inquiry. This system of thought is composed of three philosophical traditions: Samkhya, Yoga, and Lokayata. Samkhya represents a rationalistic analysis of systematic categorization of phenomena; Yoga, in the context of the fourth century BCE, represents the logical tradition later known as Nyaya, or systematic formal reasoning; and lastly, the school of Lokayata represents a direct observation neither of speculative reasoning. The combination of these three traditions outlines the importance of systematic categorization, formal reasoning, and empirical observation in epistemology [52-55].

The most prestigious executive education programs strive to combine critical thinking, evidence-based decision-making, and philosophical reflection, similar to what Anvikshiki encompasses. Two of the most concerning Modern approaches are understanding the battlefield of internal enemies and the restraints of petulance and ignorance. Moha-driven leaders will avoid conclusions that threaten their complacent assumptions and will avoid arguments that challenge their petulance. Philanthropic and Psychological training will facilitate philosophical reflection. A leader driven by Moha cannot follow an argument to its conclusion if that conclusion threatens comfortable assumptions; a leader driven by Mada cannot genuinely consider perspectives that challenge his own. Third, Anvikshiki's explicitly anti-dogmatic stance, which demands that judgments be made based on a logical argument rather than a blind faith, requires a level of supreme philosophical and intellectual reflection often absent from most hierarchical organizations. Here, the leader's judgments and opinions have the habitual effect of consensus decisions and conclusions.

5.3 The Integration of Knowledge: Against Compartmentalised Thinking:

An example of conventional education that the Arthashastra criticizes (for good reason) is compartmentalized thinking. Kautilya saw that the big questions of policy that leaders face are definitely multi-disciplinary. They are economic, political, ethical, social, and strategic, among others. An economist will see the problems of governance in economic terms and will deal with the social, political, and ethical issues of governance in a peripheral manner. An economist will see policy problems in legal terms and will deal with the social and economic issues in a peripheral manner. This is why the Arthashastra insists on an integrated curriculum of four subjects.

Modern management education has also elaborated on this critique in terms of T-shaped and I-shaped knowledge, the need for cross-functional perspectives in senior management, and the problems of functional specialization at the level of the enterprise. The ancient solution — exposure to the integrated curriculum of philosophy, economics, political science, and ethics, with philosophy as the integrating discipline — is a more extensive and comprehensive solution, than any of the current business education reforms. It addresses the same basic fundamental problem, but from a position of greater intellectual coherence [56-57].

6. THE ART OF COUNSEL, DECISION-MAKING, AND TASK COMPLETION :

6.1 The Mantri Parishad: Collective Intelligence in Governance:

Kautilya's observation that a king can reign only with help from others — that one wheel alone cannot move a chariot — encapsulates one of the central organisational insights of ancient Indian statecraft: no individual leader, however talented or well-educated, possesses the breadth of knowledge, perspective,

and experience required for genuinely sound governance. The Arthashastra's prescription for a structured council of ministers (Mantri Parishad) was not a concession to political necessity, but a positive organisational principle based on the understanding that collective intelligence systematically outperforms individual judgement across the range of complex decisions that governance requires.

The Panchatantra — the greatest collection of political fables in any world literature — illustrates this principle with characteristic vividness. In its story of the war between crows and owls, the crows triumph over a numerically and physically superior enemy because they heed the counsel of their wisest advisor, while the owls are defeated precisely because they ignore wise counsel in favour of the brash advice of those who tell the king what he wishes to hear. This narrative captures an organisational pathology — the sycophantic council that reinforces the leader's existing preferences rather than challenging them — that is as common in contemporary boardrooms as it was in the courts of ancient India.

The Arthashastra's specifications for the ideal council member are demanding and counter-intuitive from the perspective of conventional institutional practice. Ministers should be chosen for competence, loyalty, and ethical foundations — not for pedigree, family connection, or flattery. They should possess the courage to tell the ruler what he needs to hear rather than what he wishes to hear. They should represent diverse perspectives and areas of expertise rather than a homogeneous bloc that reinforces the ruler's own views. And the council should function through genuine deliberation — with individual members encouraged to express dissent and alternative views — rather than through consensus-seeking processes that systematically suppress minority perspectives [58-59].

6.2 Pratyaksha: Evidence-Based Decision-Making:

Kautilya's insistence on Pratyaksha — direct observation, empirical evidence, and logical analysis as the basis of decision-making — represents an ancient formulation of evidence-based management that anticipates contemporary debates about data-driven decision-making with remarkable precision. The principle is simple but demanding: leaders should not decide on the basis of assumption, tradition, or desire but on the basis of what is actually observable about the situation they face. They should seek empirical evidence before acting, subject that evidence to logical analysis, and remain willing to revise their conclusions when new evidence warrants.

This principle had profound institutional implications in ancient Indian statecraft. The ruler was expected to maintain a comprehensive intelligence network — not primarily for purposes of control but for the more fundamental purpose of maintaining accurate knowledge of conditions in every part of the kingdom. Officials who submitted false reports were subject to severe penalties. The ruler was expected to travel incognito through his kingdom to observe conditions directly rather than relying solely on officially mediated information. And the Arthashastra devoted substantial attention to the problem of detecting dishonest officials — recognising that the greatest threat to accurate information is the systematic incentive of officials to report what their superiors wish to hear rather than what is actually the case.

The contemporary parallel is the evidence-based management movement, which has documented with empirical precision the systematic biases and information distortions that afflict organisational decision-making. The Arthashastra's solutions — independent intelligence gathering, mandatory transparency, direct observation by the ruler, and severe penalties for false reporting — address the same fundamental problem of information integrity that evidence-based management researchers have identified as the central challenge of sound institutional decision-making. The ancient approach is in some respects more radical than its contemporary counterpart: where evidence-based management advocates for better use of available data, the Arthashastra recognised that the integrity of the data itself requires institutional protection [60-61].

6.3 Strategic Analysis: The Ancient Roots of SWOT:

The ninth book of the Arthashastra presents what scholars of management have recognised as a proto-SWOT analysis framework: a systematic assessment of internal strengths and weaknesses alongside external opportunities and threats as a prerequisite for strategic decision-making in military campaigns and diplomatic engagements. Kautilya specifies that before any major strategic initiative, the ruler should assess: the strength of his own forces and resources (analogous to internal strengths), the weaknesses and vulnerabilities of his own position (internal weaknesses), the opportunities presented

by conditions in the external environment (external opportunities), and the threats posed by adversaries and environmental conditions (external threats). This systematic pre-decisional analysis was required not merely as a bureaucratic exercise but as a genuine intellectual discipline — a structured method for ensuring that strategic decisions were grounded in honest assessment of reality rather than in wishful thinking about one's own capabilities or in unfounded fears about adversarial capacities [62-63].

The Arthashastra's strategic analysis framework is in several respects more sophisticated than its contemporary SWOT counterpart. It explicitly integrates time — the analysis should consider not only current conditions but likely future developments — and recognises that the appropriate strategic response to a given situation depends not only on the structural assessment of strengths and weaknesses but on the timing of any action, the specific capabilities and intentions of adversaries, and the likely responses of neutral parties to different courses of action. This multi-dimensional, dynamic approach to strategic analysis anticipates the most sophisticated contemporary frameworks in competitive strategy and game theory.

7. COMMUNICATION AND PERSUASION: LEADERSHIP LESSONS FROM THE THIRUKKURAL :

7.1 The Thirukkural's Theory of Leadership Communication;

Thiruvalluvar's Thirukkural — composed in Tamil around the 3rd century BCE to 3rd century CE and containing 1,330 couplets arranged in 133 chapters — devotes ten chapters specifically to ministers and the arts of counsel and communication. This substantial allocation of the text's attention to communication reflects Thiruvalluvar's understanding that the ability to convey knowledge, inspire action, persuade stakeholders, and maintain productive relationships through language is not merely a supplementary skill for leaders but one of their core competencies. The leader who possesses wisdom but cannot communicate it effectively is functionally no different from the leader who lacks wisdom; neither can mobilise others toward the achievement of worthy goals [64-67].

Effective communication in Thirukkural means speaking useful, pleasant, and gentle words. Key kural stress: speak only beneficial words, avoid harsh speech, and greet others with a kind face and sweet words. Thiruvalluvar's theory of communication is notable for its sophistication and its practicality. He was among the first thinkers in any tradition to articulate what modern communication theory calls the problem of the communication model — the recognition that effective communication requires not merely the transmission of a message but its reception and comprehension by an audience that brings its own existing understanding, assumptions, and capacities to the exchange. Communication succeeds when the sender and receiver share sufficient common ground for the message to be accurately decoded; it fails when that common ground is absent or when the sender assumes a level of shared understanding that does not exist.

7.2 The Three Principles of Effective Communication from the Kural:

Three aphorisms from the Thirukkural, interpreted by C. Rajagopalachari as the cardinal principles of effective communication, encapsulate an approach to persuasive leadership communication that is as applicable in the boardroom and the public forum as in the ancient councils for which they were composed. The first principle — that good speaking should hold fast the convinced while remaining pleasing to the unconvinced — addresses the fundamental challenge of communication in any setting where the audience contains both supporters and sceptics. This requires not only clarity of argument but the emotional intelligence to understand why sceptics are sceptical and to frame the message in ways that engage rather than alienate those whose agreement is most needed. The modern equivalent would be stakeholder communication strategy — the recognition that different audiences require different approaches to the same underlying message.

The second principle — that neither right conduct nor worldly benefit results from speaking above the heads of those addressed — is a precise statement of audience-centred communication: the value of communication is measured not by its intellectual sophistication but by its actual impact on the understanding and behaviour of those addressed. This principle has profound implications for how leaders communicate across organisational hierarchies: the communication strategy appropriate for briefing the board of directors is categorically different from the communication strategy appropriate for engaging frontline teams, and effectiveness requires the leader to adapt across these different registers without losing the substantive content of the message.

The third principle — that only those who have not learned to speak briefly and correctly indulge in much speaking — champions brevity as a marker of genuine leadership communication competence. Thiruvalluvar recognised that verbosity in communication is typically a symptom of intellectual confusion rather than of intellectual richness: the speaker who requires many words to convey a simple idea typically does so because the idea is not yet clearly enough understood to be stated simply. The ability to say in a hundred words what requires a thousand words from a less disciplined communicator is a genuine intellectual achievement that requires mastery of both the subject matter and the medium of expression.

7.3 Judging Audiences: The Art of Communication Calibration:

Chapter 72 of the Thirukkural focuses specifically on the skill of judging an audience — the ability to accurately assess the knowledge, receptivity, and capacity of those addressed before determining the form, content, and register of communication. Thiruvalluvar's comparison of communicating learned discourse to an unreceptive audience with pouring nectar into the gutter captures with vivid economy the waste that results from communication mis calibrated to its audience: the value of the content is lost because it cannot be received by those to whom it is directed.

This principle has important contemporary applications in fields ranging from executive communication to public policy engagement. Research on health communication, for example, consistently demonstrates that technically accurate information about health risks fails to change behaviour when it is communicated in language calibrated to expert audiences rather than to those whose behaviour is to be changed. Political communication research shows that voters respond to messages not primarily based on their factual accuracy but based on whether those messages speak to their existing concerns, values, and framings. And organisational communication research documents the consistent failure of top-down information cascades to produce the understanding and behaviour change they intend, precisely because they are calibrated to the sender's perspective rather than to the receivers [68-69].

8. ETHICAL GOVERNANCE: RAJADHARMA, YOGAKSHEMA, AND THE PURPOSE OF LEADERSHIP :

8.1 Rajadharma: The Ethical Constitution of Governance:

Rajadharma — the dharma of kings — represents the most fundamental normative framework in ancient Indian statecraft. Unlike the positive law that modern governance systems rely upon as their primary constraint on power, Rajadharma was understood as a pre-political moral order that bound the ruler absolutely, without exception, and without any institutional mechanism of enforcement other than the ruler's own conscience, the counsel of learned advisors, the judgement of posterity, and the ultimate sanction of karmic consequence. The term 'Raja' itself derives etymologically from the Sanskrit root *raj*, meaning 'one who illumines' or 'one who delights' — the king is defined not by his capacity to dominate but by his capacity to serve and to bring flourishing to those he governs.

The Mahabharata's Shantiparva — the 'Book of Peace' — contains the most comprehensive account of Rajadharma in any ancient Indian source. Its central teaching is unambiguous: the ruler's happiness consists entirely in the happiness of his subjects; his welfare is constituted by their welfare; what pleases his subjects is beneficial, and what does not please them is not beneficial regardless of what it may do for the ruler personally. This is not a counsel of populist deference to popular opinion but a deeper and more demanding principle: the ruler's entire orientation of self-interest must be fundamentally transformed so that the welfare of others becomes intrinsically motivating rather than instrumentally pursued. This transformation is precisely what the conquest of the Shadripus, the practice of Nishkama Karma, and the cultivation of the Raja-rishi ideal are designed to affect [70-73].

Rajadharma had specific institutional expressions. The ruler was expected to be accessible to subjects — to hear grievances, to observe conditions directly, and to maintain the quality of information that sound governance requires. He was expected to maintain justice without favour — to apply the same standards to the powerful and the powerless, to his own family and to strangers. He was expected to protect rather than exploit — to enrich his subjects and to provide security rather than to extract surplus for personal aggrandisement. And he was expected to maintain accountability — through councils, advisors, and institutional checks that prevented the arbitrary exercise of power even by a well-intentioned ruler.

8.2 The Concept of Yogakshema: Acquisition and Preservation as Complementary Duties:

The concept of Yogakshema — appearing in the Bhagavad Gita (9.22) and elaborated in the Arthashastra — provides an elegant framework for understanding the dual responsibility of governance that contemporary organisational theory struggles to articulate: the responsibility for both creating value (yoga — acquisition, gain, growth) and for protecting and preserving what has been created (kshema — safety, security, welfare).

These two functions are often presented in contemporary management theory as being in tension: the aggressive growth orientation necessary for value creation is said to conflict with the risk-averse protection orientation necessary for preservation. Ancient Indian statecraft rejected this framing and insisted on the complementarity of the two functions.

Kautilya's analysis of the relationship between yoga and kshema is particularly instructive. He argued that protection (kshema) is more important than economic prosperity (yoga) because when protection is lacking, prosperity is as good as non-existent even when it exists. This insight has direct contemporary relevance: an organisation that generates strong financial returns but exposes employees, customers, or communities to serious harm has not achieved genuine prosperity but has merely shifted costs onto those least able to bear them [74-75]. The ancient framework demands that both dimensions be addressed simultaneously — that leaders ask not only 'Are we growing?' but 'Are we safe? Are those who depend on us protected? Is what we have created preserved for future generations?'

8.3 Accountability and Anti-Corruption Mechanisms:

One of the Arthashastra's most practically important contributions to governance theory is its systematic treatment of official corruption as a structural problem requiring structural solutions rather than merely a moral failure requiring moral exhortation. Kautilya recognised — with the realism that characterises the text throughout — that officials who administer public resources will face constant temptation to divert those resources for personal benefit, and that the relevant question for institutional design is not whether to trust officials but how to design systems that make corruption difficult to conceal and reliably detected when it occurs.

The Arthashastra prescribes a comprehensive system of checks, monitoring, and accountability that operates at every level of the administrative hierarchy. No official, however senior, is immune from scrutiny. Inspectors audit the auditors. Multiple independent channels of information give the ruler access to ground-level reality independently of the official reporting hierarchy. Financial accounts are systematically cross-checked against physical inventories. And the penalties for discovered corruption are calibrated to be deterrent — severe enough to make the expected cost of corruption outweigh its expected benefit. This is not a system built on trust, but a system built on an honest assessment of institutional incentives and a determination to align those incentives with the public interest through structural rather than moral means.

The Arthashastra also prescribes what we would today call the 'tone at the top': the ruler is expected to demonstrate through personal conduct the standards he requires from officials.

A ruler who is himself subject to Lobha (greed) or who enriches himself at public expense cannot credibly demand incorruptibility from those below him. This principle — that institutional integrity begins with the personal conduct of the most senior leader — is confirmed by contemporary organisational research on ethical culture: nothing undermines an organisation's ethical standards more quickly or more thoroughly than the perception that senior leaders do not observe those standards themselves [76-78].

8.4 Duty-Based Leadership: Kartavya Over Adhikara:

The ancient Indian governance framework's orientation toward kartavya (duty) rather than adhikara (rights or entitlements) as the primary organising principle of leadership represents one of its most profound and practically significant contributions to contemporary governance thought. Rights-based frameworks — which have dominated Western political philosophy since Locke and provide the theoretical foundation for most contemporary governance systems — define the relationship between leaders and those they govern primarily in terms of what leaders are entitled to demand from citizens. Duty-based frameworks invert this relationship: they define leadership primarily in terms of what leaders owe to those they govern [79-80].

This inversion has concrete institutional consequences. A leader operating within a rights-based framework asks: 'What can I legitimately do with the authority I have been granted?' A leader operating within a duty-based framework asks: 'What am I obligated to do for those I serve?' The first question permits whatever is not explicitly prohibited. The second question demands whatever is necessary for the welfare of those served. The first framework produces the minimum level of governance that can be defended against legal challenge. The second framework demands the maximum level of service that the leader's capacities allow. Ancient Indian statecraft was unambiguous about which framework should govern the conduct of those entrusted with public authority.

9. COMPARATIVE OVERVIEW: ANCIENT INDIAN STATECRAFT VS. MODERN LEADERSHIP THEORY :

The following table summarises the principal contrasts between ancient Indian statecraft's approach to leadership and the dominant frameworks of contemporary management theory [81-82]:

Table 1: Principal contrasts between ancient Indian statecraft's approach to leadership and the dominant frameworks of contemporary management theory

Leadership Principle	Ancient Indian Statecraft	Modern Leadership Practice
Core Goal	Yogakshema: welfare and protection of subjects as primary duty	Shareholder value; quarterly performance metrics
Leader's Inner Life	Conquest of Shadripus (six internal enemies) as prerequisite to leadership	Emotional intelligence recognised but rarely systematised
Decision-Making Basis	Anvikshiki: philosophical inquiry + empirical observation (Pratyaksha)	Data analytics + intuition; philosophy rarely part of executive training
Education for Leadership	Four-subject curriculum: Anvikshiki, Trayi, Varta, Dandaniti	MBA programmes; domain-specific professional certifications
Authority Basis	Rajadharma: duty-based; ruler answerable to dharma, not above it	Position-based; legal accountability but limited moral accountability
Communication	Kural: brevity, audience-matching, persuasion over assertion	Presentation skills training; often verbose and one-size-fits-all
Counsel System	Mantri Parishad: structured council of diverse, independent advisors	Board of Directors; advisory committees; often sycophantic
Strategic Analysis	Pre-campaign situational analysis (proto-SWOT) in Arthashastra Book IX	SWOT, PESTLE, scenario planning frameworks
Delegation	"One wheel alone cannot move a chariot" — structured empowerment	Delegation as management tool; often under-practised
Anti-Corruption	Institutionalised checks at every level; no official immune from scrutiny	Compliance departments; whistleblower policies; often inadequate
Long-Term Vision	Multigenerational thinking: forest planted for future shade	Annual reporting cycles; short-term orientation dominates

10. CONTEMPORARY RELEVANCE AND APPLICATIONS FOR MODERN LEADERS :

10.1 The Emotional Intelligence Connection:

Daniel Goleman's popularisation of the concept of emotional intelligence in the 1990s represented one of the most significant developments in contemporary leadership theory — a corrective to the dominant rational-analytic model of leadership that had neglected the emotional and psychological dimensions of leadership effectiveness. The ancient Indian tradition of the Shadripus and the related concepts of Sthitaprajna (equanimity), Samatva (steadiness in success and failure), and Nishkama Karma (action without attachment to results) represent a far more comprehensive and practically integrated framework for the emotional dimensions of leadership than modern emotional intelligence theory has yet achieved. Samatva — the practice of maintaining the same inner orientation whether experiencing great success or significant failure — is precisely what contemporary positive psychology calls resilience, and what neuroscience identifies as the capacity for emotional regulation under stress. The ancient texts' insistence that this quality must be systematically cultivated through deliberate practice — not merely hoped for as a natural attribute — anticipates the growth mindset research of contemporary developmental psychology. And the Nishkama Karma principle — focusing on the quality of one's engagement with the process rather than on the achievement of specific outcomes — provides a practical psychological framework for the maintenance of performance under conditions of uncertainty and adversity that the contemporary leadership literature lacks.

10.2 What Modern Leaders Specifically Miss:

The central argument of this paper — that modern leaders miss the most foundational dimensions of leadership as understood in ancient Indian statecraft — can be summarised in five specific lacunae. First, modern leadership development focuses overwhelmingly on skill acquisition rather than on character formation. The ancient tradition understood that skills in the hands of a character deficient in self-control, wisdom, and ethical orientation are dangerous rather than beneficial. The conquest of the Shadripus as a prerequisite for leadership development is not a preliminary exercise to be rushed through before getting to the interesting material; it is the most important work that a leader can do, and it is never finished.

Second, modern leadership education neglects philosophical training. The MBA curriculum provides technical skills in finance, marketing, strategy, and operations; it rarely provides the systematic philosophical training in reasoning, discrimination, and ethical analysis that Kautilya prescribed as the foundation of the entire curriculum. Leaders who lack philosophical training cannot reliably distinguish between genuine understanding and sophisticated rationalisation — of which corporate and political life provide a continuous supply. Third, modern governance frameworks are inadequate to the problem of accountability. Compliance-based governance — setting rules and monitoring adherence to them — is necessary but profoundly insufficient. The ancient tradition's insistence on the ruler's personal duty as the ultimate foundation of institutional integrity — not as a supplement to structural accountability but as its precondition — addresses a dimension of governance failure that rule-based systems cannot reach.

Fourth, modern leadership prioritises short-term results over long-term welfare. The Yogakshema framework's insistence on both acquisition and preservation as complementary duties — and its recognition that protection is in some respects more fundamental than growth — provides a corrective to the short-termism that drives so much contemporary institutional failure. The ancient metaphor of planting trees whose shade you will not yourself enjoy captures an orientation toward intergenerational responsibility that is conspicuously absent from most contemporary governance frameworks. Fifth, modern communication training emphasises technique over authenticity. The Thirukkural's communication principles — brevity, audience-calibration, persuasion rather than assertion — remain imperfectly implemented in contemporary practice precisely because they require the kind of inner clarity and genuine concern for the listener that the ancient tradition cultivates through character formation, and that cannot be acquired as techniques independent of the character they presuppose.

11. CONCLUSION :

This deep-study research paper has systematically examined the leadership frameworks of ancient Indian statecraft across six foundational dimensions: the Raja-rishi ideal as the archetypal leadership figure; the Shadripus doctrine as a comprehensive framework for understanding and overcoming the

internal enemies of effective leadership; the four-subject educational curriculum as a model for integrated leadership development; the Mantri Parishad and Pratyaksha principles as frameworks for sound counsel and evidence-based decision-making; the Thirukkural's communication principles as a timeless guide to effective leadership persuasion; and the Rajadharma and Yogakshema frameworks as the normative foundation of ethical governance.

The analysis has demonstrated that these ancient frameworks are not merely of historical interest but address with remarkable precision and comprehensiveness precisely those dimensions of leadership that contemporary theory and practice most consistently neglect. The inner life of the leader, the philosophical training that makes sound judgement possible, the ethical orientation that makes power a vehicle for service, the long-term perspective that makes genuine welfare rather than short-term popularity the criterion of success — these are the dimensions that ancient Indian statecraft placed at the centre of its leadership theory, and their neglect in contemporary practice accounts for much of the contemporary leadership deficit.

The paper argues for three concrete implications. For leadership educators, the ancient Indian curriculum offers a model for integrating philosophical, ethical, and practical dimensions of leadership development in ways that contemporary management education consistently fails to achieve. The conquest of the Shadripus should be a prerequisite for any serious leadership development programme, not an optional wellness supplement. For governance designers, the Arthashastra's structural approach to accountability — building institutional systems that make corruption difficult and its detection reliable — combined with the Rajadharma tradition's insistence on the ruler's personal duty as the ultimate foundation of institutional integrity, offers a more comprehensive framework than either pure structuralism or pure moralism can provide alone. And for individual leaders, the ancient tradition offers a demanding but deeply rewarding alternative to the technical-skill-focused approach that dominates contemporary leadership development: the path not of acquiring more tools but of becoming the kind of person whose character makes effective leadership possible.

The integration of these ancient insights into contemporary leadership education and practice is not a sentimental journey into the past but an urgent intellectual and practical project for the present. India's National Education Policy 2020, with its mandate for the integration of Indian Knowledge Systems into higher education, provides an institutional framework for this project at the national level. The paper's analysis demonstrates that this framework is not merely culturally valuable — enriching the curriculum with India's civilisational heritage — but intellectually essential: these ancient insights address gaps in contemporary leadership theory that Western management science has not yet found adequate means to fill.

*In the happiness of his subjects lies the king's happiness; in their welfare his welfare.
He shall not consider as good only that which pleases him but shall treat as beneficial
whatever pleases his subjects. — Kautilya, Arthashastra, Book I*

REFERENCES :

- [1] Kautilya. (c. 4th century BCE). Arthashastra (R. Shamasastri, Trans.). Government Press, Bangalore. (Reprinted by Penguin Books India, 1992). [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [2] Rajoura, C., & Rajoura, N. (2022). Corporate lessons from Indian knowledge system: learning from the glorious past for building a strong India. *Sachetas*, 1(3), 78-83. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [3] Rangarao, J. P., & Stephen, G. (2026). A Study on Indian Knowledge System as a Foundation of Management Thought. *United International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 3(2), 19-23. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [4] Sharma, D. Balancing Dharma and Ledgers: Accounting as the Cornerstone of the Ancient Indian Knowledge System. *Indian Knowledge System: Studies and Applications*. R.P. Publications, Dehli. 215-226. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [5] Thomas, A. S., & Philip, A. (1994). India: Management in an ancient and modern civilization. *International Studies of Management & Organization*, 24(1-2), 91-115. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [6] Tatake, V., Gulgunde, L., & Rajput, V. S. (2025). Business Model based on Indian Knowledge System. *Anveshak International Journal of Management (AIJM)*, 14(2), 162. [Google Scholar↗](#)

- [7] Bhattacharjee, A. (2011). Modern management through ancient Indian wisdom: Towards a more sustainable paradigm. *PURUSHARTHA-A journal of Management, Ethics and Spirituality*, 4(1), 14-37. [Google Scholar](#)
- [8] Sathish, M., Bhat, V. R., Varma, S., & Balasudarsun, N. L. (2019). Leadership lessons from Indian knowledge system. *PURUSHARTHA-A journal of Management, Ethics and Spirituality*, 12(2), 1-13. [Google Scholar](#)
- [9] Mamatha, H. K. (2026). Revitalizing Modern Management: A Framework for Integrating Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS) into Corporate Governance and Leadership. *Journal of Animal Environment*, 975-990. [Google Scholar](#)
- [10] Sharma, S. (2022). Indian models of management and leadership with roots in ancient wisdom. In *Global perspectives on Indian spirituality and management: The legacy of SK Chakraborty* (pp. 251-262). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. [Google Scholar](#)
- [11] Aithal, P. S., & Ramanathan, S. Ancient Indian Knowledge Systems as Catalysts for Technological Innovation: Bridging Tradition with Digital Transformation. *Book: Relevance of Ancient Indian Knowledge to Management of the 21st Century*, 1, 39-46. [Google Scholar](#)
- [12] Mishra, P. K., & Raval, V. (2019). Leadership and management in ancient Indian texts. *Journal of Defence Studies*, 13(1), 55–80. [Google Scholar](#)
- [13] Ramanathan S. & Aithal P. S. (2026). From Tradition to Transformation: The Contemporary Value of Indian Knowledge Systems. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Philosophy & Languages (PIJPL)*, 3(2), 15-33. [Google Scholar](#)
- [14] Aithal, P. S., & Ramanathan, S. (2025). Ethical Leadership in the Light of the Bhagavad Gita: Exploring Dharma-Centered Management Practices for the 21st Century. *Book: Relevance of Ancient Indian Knowledge to Management of the 21st Century. Volume-1*, PP. 22-30. ISBN: 978-93-48763-73-0. [Google Scholar](#)
- [15] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2023). New Research Models under the Exploratory Research Method. *A Book "Emergence and Research in Interdisciplinary Management and Information Technology" edited by P. K. Paul et al. Published by New Delhi Publishers, New Delhi, India*, 109-140. [Google Scholar](#)
- [16] Ramanathan Srinivasan & Aithal P. S. (2025). Arthaśāstra and Corporate Strategy: From Mauryan Governance to Modern Boardrooms. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Management, Education & Social Science, (PIJMESS)*, 2(2), 168-200. [Google Scholar](#)
- [17] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2023). Use of AI-based GPTs in experimental, empirical, and exploratory research methods. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education (IJCSBE)*, 7(3), 411-425. [Google Scholar](#)
- [18] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2024). Redefining Experimental, Empirical, and Exploratory Research in AI Era. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Emerging Technologies (PIJET)*, 1(1), 90-136. [Google Scholar](#)
- [19] Olawale, S. R., Chinagozi, O. G., & Joe, O. N. (2023). Exploratory research design in management science: A review of literature on conduct and application. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 7(4), 1384-1395. [Google Scholar](#)
- [20] Haile, Z. T. (2023). Power analysis and exploratory research. *Journal of Human Lactation*, 39(4), 579-583. [Google Scholar](#)
- [21] Lehmann, H. (2010). Research method: Grounded theory for descriptive and exploratory case studies. In *The Dynamics of International Information Systems: Anatomy of a Grounded Theory Investigation* (pp. 53-65). Boston, MA: Springer US. [Google Scholar](#)
- [22] Swaraj, A. (2019). Exploratory research: Purpose and process. *Parisheelan Journal*, 15(2), 665-670. [Google Scholar](#)

- [23] Adarsh Aithal (2026). Exploratory Analysis on Computer-Assisted Smart Spine Surgery using AR/VR Technology through Remote Telesurgery via 5G/6G. (2026). *Poornaprajna International Journal of Basic & Applied Sciences (PIJBAS)*, 3(1), 29-64. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [24] Adarsh Aithal (2026). The Internet of Orthopaedic Things (IoOT): A Multi-Framework Strategic Analysis of Smart Implants, Market Dynamics, and Future Research Frontiers. (2026). *Poornaprajna International Journal of Basic & Applied Sciences (PIJBAS)*, 3(1), 1-28. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [25] Adarsh Aithal (2026). Strategic Evaluation of Bio-Active Composite Therapy (BACT) and Stem Cell Augmentation in Regenerative Orthopedics: A Multi-Framework Exploratory Review for 2026 and beyond. (2025). *Poornaprajna International Journal of Basic & Applied Sciences (PIJBAS)*, 2(2), 100-118. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [26] Ranjan Chatterjee, S. (2009). Managerial ethos of the Indian tradition: relevance of a wisdom model. *Journal of Indian Business Research*, 1(2-3), 136-162. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [27] Sathish, M., Bhat, V. R., Varma, S., & Balasudarsun, N. L. (2019). Leadership lessons from Indian knowledge system. *PURUSHARTHA-A journal of Management, Ethics and Spirituality*, 12(2), 1-13. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [28] Gautam, P. K. (2019). Leadership and management theories in Indic traditions. *Journal of Defence Studies*, 13(1), 33-49. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [29] Muniapan, B., & Dass, M. (2009). An Indian leadership perspective from literature works of Poet Kannadasan. *International Journal of Indian Culture and Business Management*, 2(3), 326-340. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [30] Sharma, S., & Kapoor, S. Leadership Lessons from Indian Epics. *Indian Knowledge System and the Present Competitive Business Scenario*, 47. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [31] Aithal, P. S., Bharath, V., & Ramanathan, S. (2024). Instances of delegation and empowerment by leaders observed in indian epics and puranas that inspires new generation researchers. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Management, Education & Social Science (PIJMESS)*, 1(1), 51-90. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [32] Srinivasan, R., & Aithal, P. S. (2026). Kautilya's Arthashastra and Modern Corporate Governance: A Comparative Analysis. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Management, Education & Social Science (PIJMESS)*, 3(1), 197-219. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [33] Aithal, P. S., & Ramanathan, S. Integrating Ancient Indian Wisdom with Contemporary Management Practices: A Study of Sustainable Leadership Models for the 21st Century. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [34] Srinivasan, R., & Aithal, P. S. (2025). Ethics and Governance in the Upanishads: A Framework for Modern Leadership. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Management, Education & Social Science (PIJMESS)*, 2(1), 65-80. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [35] Srinivasan, R., & Aithal, P. S. (2026). Vaishya Dharma and Mercantile Ethics in Classical Indian Texts: Implications for Contemporary Business Culture. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Management, Education & Social Science (PIJMESS)*, 3(1), 178-196. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [36] Aithal, P. S., & Ramanathan, S. (2023). Skill in Action: An Exploratory Study of Karma Yoga as a Framework for Conscious Leadership in the 21st-Century Organization. Book: *Relevance of Ancient Indian Knowledge to Management of the 21st Century*. Volume-1, PP. 11-21. ISBN: 978-93-48763-73-0, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18352150> [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [37] Aithal, P. S., & Ramanathan, S. (2026). The Puruṣottama Yoga Paradigm: Leveraging Chapter 15 of Bhagavad Gita's World-Tree Metaphor for Global Systems Thinking and Transcendent Ethical Leadership. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Philosophy & Languages (PIJPL)*, 3(1), 217-249. [Google Scholar↗](#)

- [38] Shyam B. R. & Aithal P. S. (2023). Stimulating Constructive and Destructive Leadership Behaviours through the Emperor of Lanka and Villain of Ramayana. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 8(3), 262-279. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [39] Aithal, P. S., & Ramanathan, S. Modern Applications of the First Six Chapters of the Bhagavad Gita. Book Chapter 8, The Journey Within: Karma Yoga to Dhyana Yoga — An Analytical Study of the First Six Chapters of the Bhagavad Gita. PP. 209-231. Poornaprajna Publication, India ISBN: 978-93-48763-89-1, [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [40] Aithal, P. S., & Ramanathan, S. (2026). The Kṣetra-Kṣetrajña Vibhāga Yoga Framework of the Thirteenth Chapter of Bhagavad Gita for Conscious Leadership and Systemic Ethical Transformation in the 21st Century. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Philosophy & Languages (PIJPL)*, 3(1), 149-181. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [41] Chakraborty, S. K. (1995). Wisdom leadership: Leading self by the SELF. *Journal of Human Values*, 1(2), 205-220. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [42] Kumar, D., Singh, D., Kritika, K., & Bhasin, N. K. (2024). Attributes of Rajarshi leadership: learning from Ramayana. *Cogent Business & Management*, 11(1), 2316588. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [43] Pillai, R. (2019). Kautilya's Rajarshi: An ideal leader. In *Inclusive Leadership* (pp. 66-80). Routledge India. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [44] Roy, R., Paira, A., Mahato, A. K., Kumbhakar, A., & Gope, L. (2025). Implication of "Kautilya's Arthashastra" in Educational Leadership. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 7(5) 01-12. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [45] Dhiman, S. (2017). Self-mastery: Mastering the "me" in leadership. In *Holistic Leadership: A New Paradigm for Today's Leaders* (pp. 43-63). New York: Palgrave Macmillan US. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [46] Dhiman, S. (2007). Personal Mastery: Our Quest for Self-Actualization, Meaning, and Highest Purpose. *Interbeing*, 1(1), 25. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [47] Dhiman, S. (2018). Prolegomena: The Bhagavad Gītā: A Timeless Manual for Self-Mastery and Leadership. In *Bhagavad Gītā and Leadership: A Catalyst for Organizational Transformation* (pp. 1-22). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [48] DiCicco, J. M. (2003). The development of leaders in ancient China, Rome, and Persia. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 27(1), 6-40. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [49] Christensen, J., & Embleton, E. (2016). Ancient narrative therapy for leadership: the classical world and the modern leadership classroom. *Classical Journal*, 112(1), 78-100. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [50] Watt, W. M. (2003). Effective leadership education: Developing a core curriculum for leadership studies. *Journal of Leadership Education*, 2(1), 13-26. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [51] Srinivasan, R., & Aithal, P. S. (2026). The Gurukula System and Experiential Learning: Implications for Modern Higher Education. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Philosophy & Languages (PIJPL)*, 3(1), 546-570. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [52] Gautam, P. K. (2019). Leadership and management theories in Indic traditions. *Journal of Defence Studies*, 13(1), 33-49. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [53] Parikh, M. (2020). Leadership lessons from Shukraniti: a post-Vedic perspective. *International Journal of Indian Culture and Business Management*, 21(3), 410-434. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [54] Timsina, B. (2025). The Timeless Strategist: Reinterpreting Kautilya's Arthashastra for Ethical Leadership, Governance, and Strategy in Modern Business. *American Journal of STEM Education: Issues and Perspectives*. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [55] Chowdhury, K. R. (2020). Anvikshiki in Arthashastra: Kautilyan perspective of economy and philosophy. *International Journal of Sanskrit Research*, 6(2), 175-178. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [56] Gautam, C. P. (2017). Kautilya's Arthashastra and its relevance to contemporary strategic studies. *USI Journal*, pp. 1-7. [Google Scholar↗](#)

- [57] Mahesh, K. M., Aithal, P. S., & Sharma, K. R. S. (2023). Literature review on Indian ancient university in imparting holistic and multidisciplinary: to create Indian knowledge system (IKS). *International Journal of Philosophy and Languages (IJPL)*, 2(1), 1-17. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [58] Prakash, A. (1993, December). State and Statecraft in Kautilya's Arthashastra. In *A paper presented at the Fall Semester Mini-Conference organised by the Workshop in Political Theory and Policy Analysis, Indiana University, Bloomington*, pp. 01-18. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [59] Jayaramakrishnan, A. (2026). Rajadharma Pariksha: A Framework for Evaluating Chief Minister Candidates on Dharma Shastra Governance Competency. PP. 01-62. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [60] Chousalkar, A. S. (2004). Methodology of Kautilya's Arthashastra. *The Indian Journal of Political Science*, 55-76. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [61] Pathak, D. N., & Katoch, A. Lokayata: An Ordinary Way of Seeing. In *The Indian Knowledge System* (pp. 55-72). Routledge. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [62] Srinivasan, R., & Aithal, P. S. (2025). Arthasāstra and Corporate Strategy: From Mauryan Governance to Modern Boardrooms. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Management, Education & Social Science (PIJMESS)*, 2(2), 168-200. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [63] Jadon, A., Dixit, A., & Jadon, S. (2025). Indian Knowledge System and Enterprise Evolution: A Review of Indigenous Knowledge Integration in Indian SMEs. *International Journal of Innovations in Science, Engineering and Management*, 396-402. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [64] Aravamudhan, N. R., & Supkar, A. C. (2022). Thirukkural-A veritable treatise on leadership nostrums. *PURUSHARTHA-A journal of Management, Ethics and Spirituality*, 15(2), 20-31. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [65] Ramasamy, J., & Palanisamy, E. (2016, April). Greatness of leader in Thirukkural. In *Colloque international" Thirukkural, éthique et représentations: La Vertu, la Fortune et l'Amour"* (pp. 117-129). Surya Éditions. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [66] Muniapan, B., & Rajantheran, M. (2011). Ethics (business ethics) from the Thirukkural and its relevance for contemporary business leadership in the Indian context. *International Journal of Indian Culture and Business Management*, 4(4), 453-471. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [67] Durai, S. (2023). Truth-based leadership: Lessons from thirukural. In *Consciousness-Based Leadership and Management, Volume 1: Vedic and Other Philosophical Approaches to Oneness and Flourishing* (pp. 319-338). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [68] Krishnamurthi, R. (2018). *Thirukkural: A Management Treasure*. Notion Press. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [69] Gajjam, J. A. (2022). A Thirukkural Way of Intellectual Humility. *Language in India*, 22(7), 94-108. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [70] Shill, A. (2025). Rajadharma and the Contemporary Governance in India: Reinvigorating Ancient Indian Ideas in Modern Administration. *Vidhina*, 66-67. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [71] Kalita, B., & Baishya, M. (2025). From Rajdharma to Rule of Law-Kautilya's Legal Philosophy in Modern Governance. *International Journal of Contemporary Research in Multidisciplinary*, 4(3), 115-124. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [72] Aithal, P. S., & Srinivasan, R. (2026). Manusmriti and Arthashastra on Punishment Theory: A Jurisprudential Comparison with Bentham and Kant. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Philosophy & Languages (PIJPL)*, 3(1), 428-450. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [73] Srinivasan, R., & Aithal, P. S. (2026). Kautilya's Arthashastra and Modern Corporate Governance: A Comparative Analysis. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Management, Education & Social Science (PIJMESS)*, 3(1), 197-219. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [74] Pontillo, T., & Neri, C. (2019). The Case of yogakṣema/yogakkhema in Vedic and Suttapiṭaka Sources. In Response to Norman: T. Pontillo, C. Neri. *Journal of Indian Philosophy*, 47(3), 527-563. [Google Scholar↗](#)

- [75] Singh, B. D. (1999). Relevance of Nishkama Karma Yoga in today's business world. *Vision*, 3(2), 26-31. [Google Scholar](#)
- [76] Srinivasan, R., & Aithal, P. S. (2026). Kautilya's Arthashastra and Modern Corporate Governance: A Comparative Analysis. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Management, Education & Social Science (PIJMESS)*, 3(1), 197-219. [Google Scholar](#)
- [77] Simhadri, L. A. Reinterpreting Arthashastra for Contemporary Public Administration: Bridging Ancient Wisdom and Modern Governance. [Google Scholar](#)
- [78] Joshi, S. R. (2018). Revisiting Philosophy of Governance in Kautilya's Arthashastra. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT)*, 6(1), 384-389. [Google Scholar](#)
- [79] Singh, B. R. S. B. R. (2024). Cutting the Conflicts with Karma as Kartavya. *World Journal For Vedic Studies*, 29(1), 01-14. [Google Scholar](#)
- [80] Bhatia, V. P. (2016). *Ethical and spiritual values in Indian scriptures*. Notion Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- [81] Bhattarai, U., & Timsina, B. (2025). The Timeless Strategist: Reinterpreting Kautilya's Arthashastra for Ethical Leadership, Governance, and Strategy in Modern Business. *American Journal of STEM Education*, 4, 90-118. [Google Scholar](#)
- [82] Kapahi, G., & Kumar, A. (2026). Leadership Lessons from Kautilya's Arthashastra: Developing A Contemporary Leadership Model for Indian Armed Forces, *International Journal of Creative and Open Research in Engineering and Management*, 2(7), 01-09. [Google Scholar](#)
