

Industry Analysis of the Nanotechnology Industry in India

P. S. Aithal¹ & Santhosh Prabhu N.²

¹ Professor, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, Udupi - 576101, India,
Orchid ID: 0000-0002-4691-8736; E-mail: psaithal@pim.ac.in

² Faculty, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, Udupi, 576 101, India,
OrcidID: 0009-0003-5335-2499; E-mail: Santhosh.prabhu@pim.ac.in

Area/Section: Technology Management.

Type of Paper: Exploratory Research.

Number of Peer Reviews: Two.

Type of Review: Peer Reviewed as per [C|O|P|E](#) guidance.

Indexed in: OpenAIRE.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18876990>

Google Scholar Citation: [PIJET](#)

How to Cite this Paper:

Aithal, P. S. & Santhosh, P. N. (2026). Industry Analysis of the Nanotechnology Industry in India. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Emerging Technologies (PIJET)*, 3(1), 32-78. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18876990>

Poornaprajna International Journal of Emerging Technologies (PIJET)

A Refereed International Journal of Poornaprajna Publication, India.

ISSN: 3107-8486

Crossref DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64818/PIJET.3107.8486.0020>

Received on: 06/02/2026

Published on: 12/03/2026

© With Authors.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International License](#), subject to proper citation to the publication source of the work.

Disclaimer: The scholarly papers as reviewed and published by Poornaprajna Publication (P.P.), India, are the views and opinions of their respective authors and are not the views or opinions of the PP. The PP disclaims of any harm or loss caused due to the published content to any party.

Industry Analysis of the Nanotechnology Industry in India

P. S. Aithal¹ & Santhosh Prabhu N.²

¹ Professor, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, Udupi - 576101, India,
Orchid ID: 0000-0002-4691-8736; E-mail: psaithal@pim.ac.in

² Faculty, Poornaprajna Institute of Management, Udupi, 576 101, India,
OrcidID: 0009-0003-5335-2499; E-mail: Santhosh.prabhu@pim.ac.in

ABSTRACT

Purpose: *The purpose of this research case study is to provide a systematic examination of the competitive forces, market dynamics, and structural factors defining the nanotechnology sector in India. It aims to evaluate the industry's transformative potential across critical sectors like healthcare, agriculture, and energy while identifying the strategic challenges involved in bridging the gap between fundamental research and commercial manufacturing. Ultimately, the study seeks to inform critical decisions on resource allocation and long-term positioning, providing a foundation for sustainable competitive advantage in the global knowledge economy.*

Methodology: *This study employs an exploratory case study research design that synthesizes data gathered from diverse, credible secondary sources such as Google Scholar, academic websites, and AI-driven large language models. The information collected is rigorously examined through specialized strategic frameworks—including SWOC, PESTLE, Porter's Five Forces, and ABCD analysis—to ensure a comprehensive evaluation aligned with the paper's core objectives. By utilizing these multi-dimensional analytical tools, the research transforms raw data into actionable insights regarding the current status and future trajectory of the industry.*

Results/Analysis: *The results and analysis of the industry analysis research case study reveal that the nanotechnology sector in India is a high-growth "knowledge economy" driver, currently undergoing a strategic shift from foundational research to application-oriented commercialization. Strategic frameworks like SWOC and ABCD highlight that while India ranks third globally in scientific publications, it faces a significant "Valley of Death" where laboratory innovations struggle to scale due to funding gaps and fragmented industry-academia linkages. Ultimately, the analysis identifies critical success factors in "frugal innovation," such as nano-fertilizers and low-cost water purification, which position India to address systemic societal challenges while needing a more consolidated regulatory framework to sustain global competitiveness.*

Originality/Value: *The originality of this research case study lies in its comprehensive application of multiple strategic frameworks, such as SWOC, PESTLE, and ABCD analysis, to evaluate the multi-sectoral impact of nanotechnology within the specific socio-economic context of India. It provides unique value by identifying critical "lab-to-market" gaps and proposing a service-oriented operational model to accelerate the commercialization of deep-tech innovations for national developmental needs.*

Type of Paper: *Exploratory Research Case study.*

Keywords: Industry Analysis, Nanotechnology Industry, India, SWOC Analysis, Porter's Five Forces analysis, ABCD stakeholders' analysis of products, PESTLE analysis, Impact analysis, Industry Life Cycle

1. INTRODUCTION :

Industry analysis, as a crucial component of strategic management research, provides a systematic framework for understanding the external forces that shape a firm's operating environment, profitability, and competitive landscape (Grant (2017). [1]). The scope of this analysis extends beyond merely collecting market data; it involves a rigorous examination of the underlying industry structure to explain

variations in performance across different sectors. Methodologies like Porter's Five Forces are central to this research, enabling business scholars to investigate competitive intensity, the threat of new entrants and substitutes, and the bargaining power of buyers and suppliers (Porter (1980). [2]). By studying these structural factors through a case study lens, researchers can dissect the mechanisms by which an industry's context either presents opportunities or imposes constraints on a company, making it an invaluable tool for both exploratory and explanatory research in business management (Aithal (2017). [3]).

The importance of using industry analysis as a research case study lies in its ability to inform both theoretical development and practical decision-making. Theoretically, it supports the Structure-Conduct-Performance (SCP) paradigm, which posits that industry structure is a decisive factor influencing a firm's results, a concept vigorously debated in the firm-industry effect literature (McWilliams & Smart (1993) [4]; Lelissa & Kuhil (2018). [5]). While some studies, often in the manufacturing sector, highlight the firm effect, others, particularly in services, underscore the significant weight of the industry effect, validating its necessity as a research focus (McGahan & Porter (1997). [6]; Fernández et al. (2022). [7]). Practically, a detailed industry case study provides the diagnostic insights necessary for strategic formulation, helping managers identify "key success factors" and align their corporate and business strategies with external realities, thereby serving as a foundation for strategic planning and risk management (Grant (2017). [1]; Hofer & Schendel (1978). [8]).

The impact of well-executed industry analysis case studies is profound, influencing strategic foresight, resource allocation, and overall organizational performance. By systematically identifying industry-specific risks and opportunities—such as technological disruptions, regulatory shifts, or changing customer behaviour—businesses can proactively adapt their models and gain a competitive edge (Manlaginit & Lamberte (2005). [9]; Grant (2017). [1]). Research leveraging this approach is critical for understanding market entry barriers, developing differentiation strategies, and making informed investment choices (Porter (2010). [10]). Ultimately, the application of industry analysis as a management case study methodology contributes directly to new knowledge, providing robust, context-specific explanations of competitive dynamics that drive sustainable performance and guide both corporate executives and policymakers.

2. ABOUT THE NANOTECHNOLOGY INDUSTRY :

Nanotechnology, often heralded as the "next industrial revolution," represents a transformative frontier in material science, operating at the atomic and molecular scale (1–100 nm) to create materials with unique physicochemical properties (Malik et al. (2023). [11]). In the context of the Indian economy, the scope of the nanotechnology industry has expanded rapidly beyond academic laboratories to critical sectors such as agriculture, healthcare, energy, and textiles. This transition from theoretical science to commercial application defines the industry's current landscape, where interdisciplinary integration—combining physics, chemistry, and biology—drives innovation in product development, from nano-fertilizers to targeted drug delivery systems (Aasim et al. (2025). [12]); Rajput (2017). [13]). As a subject of industry analysis, the Indian nanotechnology sector offers a unique case study of an emerging high-technology market characterized by high research intensity, complex value chains, and a reliance on "lab-to-industry" translation strategies (Rambaran & Schirhagl (2022). [14]).

The importance of this industry to India's strategic interests is underscored by the robust intervention of the state, primarily through the "Nano Mission" launched in 2007. This initiative, backed by the Department of Science and Technology (DST), has been pivotal in building infrastructure and human capital, positioning India as a top contributor to global nanotechnology research in terms of publication output (Beumer & Bhattacharya (2013). [15]); Rajput (2017) [13]). A systematic industry analysis reveals that government funding has successfully catalyzed a shift from basic research to application-oriented development, fostering a nascent ecosystem of start-ups and public-private partnerships. However, the industry's growth trajectory is not solely dependent on state support; it is increasingly influenced by global patent trends and the intellectual property (IP) regime, where India is striving to convert its scientific prowess into enforceable commercial rights despite existing legal hurdles (Barpujari (2010). [16]); Huang et al. (2010). [17]).

The impact of the nanotechnology industry is most visible in its potential to address India's persistent developmental challenges. In agriculture, for instance, myconanoparticles and nano-encapsulated fertilizers promise sustainable solutions to food security by enhancing crop yields and reducing

chemical runoff (Aasim et al. (2025). [12]). Similarly, in the healthcare sector, the development of affordable nanomedicine and diagnostic tools aligns with national public health goals, offering precision treatments for diseases prevalent in the developing world (Pokrajac, et al. (2022). [18]). Despite these promises, the industry faces significant hurdles, often described as the "valley of death" in commercialization, where innovations fail to scale due to funding gaps, regulatory uncertainties, and the lack of standardized safety protocols (Rambaran & Schirhagl (2022). [14]; Schulten & Salamanca-Buentello (2007). [19]).

Critically, an industry analysis must also account for the ethical, legal, and social implications (ELSI) that accompany this technological rise. The rapid proliferation of nanomaterials has outpaced the development of a comprehensive regulatory framework, raising concerns about environmental toxicity, workplace safety, and public trust (Schulte & Salamanca-Buentello (2007). [19]). Scholars argue that the lack of clear guidelines on nanoparticle disposal and risk assessment poses a long-term threat to the industry's sustainability (Barpujari (2010). [16]). Furthermore, the geopolitical dimension of "nano-divides"—inequalities in access to nanotechnology benefits—remains a pertinent area of inquiry for business management researchers (Beumer & Bhattacharya (2013). [15]). Thus, studying the Indian nanotechnology industry provides essential insights into the management of emerging technologies, highlighting the delicate balance between fostering innovation and ensuring responsible governance.

2.1 Nanotechnology Industry in India:

The Nanotechnology Industry in India is emerging as a critical driver of the nation's "knowledge economy," characterized by a strategic shift from foundational research to application-oriented commercialization. Anchored by the Government of India's Nano Mission (launched in 2007), the industry has evolved into a multi-sector ecosystem involving public research institutes, private enterprises, and a growing startup culture. The scope of the industry in India is vast, covering high-impact domains such as precision agriculture, affordable healthcare, renewable energy, and textiles, with the domestic market projected to grow significantly due to rising investment in R&D and infrastructure (Chaudhary et al. (2025). [20]; Rajput (2017). [13]).

Key Sectors and Applications:

- **Agriculture (Agri-Nanotech):** Agriculture remains a priority sector, where nanotechnology is being deployed to address food security and sustainability. Recent research highlights the development of nano-fertilizers (e.g., nano-urea) and nano-pesticides that offer higher efficacy and targeted delivery compared to conventional agrochemicals, reducing environmental toxicity and input costs for farmers (Chaudhary et al. (2025). [20]; Mittal et al. (2020). [21]). Furthermore, nanomaterials like zinc oxide and iron oxide nanoparticles are being utilized to enhance seed germination and stress tolerance in crops, crucial for mitigating climate change impacts (Zaman et al. (2025). [22]).
- **Healthcare (Nanomedicine):** The Indian healthcare sector is witnessing a revolution through "nanomedicine," particularly in drug delivery systems and diagnostics. Innovations such as plant-derived nanoparticles are gaining traction as eco-friendly, cost-effective alternatives for cancer therapy and antimicrobial treatments (Niveditha et al. (2024). [23]; Gangadhar & Subburaj (2025). [24]).
- Additionally, the industry is focused on developing affordable diagnostic kits and "lab-on-a-chip" devices to detect infectious diseases early, aligning with India's public health goals (Trivedi et al. (2025). [25]).
- **Energy and Environment:** To meet the country's growing energy demands, Indian researchers are leveraging nanotechnology to enhance the efficiency of solar cells (photovoltaics) and energy storage systems. Nanomaterials are being engineered to improve light absorption and charge transport in solar panels, offering a pathway to more efficient renewable energy harvesting (Farajollahi (2025). [26]). Concurrently, "Green Nanotechnology" is being promoted to develop sustainable materials for water purification and waste treatment, addressing critical environmental challenges (Narayanan & Bhaskar (2024). [27]).

Market Dynamics and Challenges:

Despite its robust scientific output—India ranks third globally in nanotechnology publications—the industry faces significant hurdles in the "lab-to-market" transition. A major challenge is the "Valley of Death," where promising laboratory innovations fail to reach commercial scale due to a lack of venture

capital, undefined regulatory pathways, and limited industrial collaboration (Rambaran & Schirhagl (2022). [14]; Rajput (2017). [13]). The regulatory landscape remains fragmented; while guidelines exist, a comprehensive statutory framework for nanomaterial safety, toxicity testing, and disposal is still evolving, creating uncertainty for private investors (Foulkes et al. (2020). [28]; Schulte et al. (2007). [19]). However, the rise of deep-tech startups and increased government funding for incubation centers are gradually bridging this gap, positioning India as a potential global hub for frugal nanotech innovations.

2.2 Global Nanotechnology Industry:

The global nanotechnology industry represents a paradigm shift in manufacturing and materials science, defined by the manipulation of matter at the atomic and molecular scale (1–100 nanometers). This industry has evolved from theoretical research into a trillion-dollar global market, fundamentally transforming sectors such as healthcare, electronics, energy, and environmental management.

(1) Market Overview and Economic Impact:

The commercialization of nanotechnology has become a critical driver of modern economic growth. Governments and private entities globally are investing heavily in research and development (R&D) to transition nanotech from the laboratory to industrial application. The market is characterized by a high compound annual growth rate (CAGR), with projections suggesting the global market value could reach multitrillion-dollar figures within the next decade. This growth is fueled by the demand for smaller, faster, and more efficient devices, as well as novel medical therapies. However, the industry faces the "Valley of Death"—the difficult gap between academic research and viable commercial product—which requires sustained investment and strategic policy support to overcome (Khatoun & Velidandi, (2025). [29]; Chinthala (2024). [30]).

(2) Key Industrial Sectors:

Medicine and Healthcare (Nanomedicine)

Nanomedicine is currently the most dynamic segment of the industry. It focuses on the development of nanoscale tools for diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of disease.

- **Drug Delivery:** Nanoparticles (NPs) are engineered to deliver drugs directly to diseased cells (e.g., cancer tumors) while sparing healthy tissue, thereby reducing toxicity and improving efficacy. Liposomes and polymeric nanoparticles are widely used to cross biological barriers like the blood-brain barrier (Durgam & Oroszi (2025). [31]).
- **Diagnostic Imaging:** Nanotechnology has revolutionized medical imaging by enhancing contrast and resolution. Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles and gold nanoparticles are utilized to improve the sensitivity of MRI and CT scans, enabling earlier detection of pathologies (Singh & Amiji (2022). [32]).

Electronics and Computing:

The electronics industry relies on nanotechnology to sustain the pace of miniaturization dictated by Moore's Law. As traditional silicon-based scaling hits physical limits, nanomaterials offer new pathways.

- **Nano-electronics:** Materials such as carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and graphene are being integrated into transistors and interconnects to create faster, more energy-efficient processors. This sector is also expanding into flexible electronics and wearable sensors (Zed et al. (2019). [33]).
- **Displays and Sensors:** Nanotechnology enables high-resolution displays (e.g., Quantum Dot LEDs) and highly sensitive nanosensors capable of detecting chemical and biological agents at the molecular level (Payal & Pandey (2022). [34]).

Energy Generation and Storage:

Nanotechnology is pivotal in the transition to renewable energy systems, addressing efficiency and storage capacity limitations.

- **Energy Storage:** Nanomaterials are enhancing the performance of lithium-ion batteries and supercapacitors. Modifying electrode surfaces with nanomaterials increases surface area and conductivity, leading to batteries with higher energy density and faster charging cycles (Mohammed et al. (2025). [35]).

- **Solar Energy:** Third-generation solar cells, including those based on perovskite nanostructures, are being developed to offer cheaper and more efficient alternatives to conventional silicon panels (Kole (2017). [36]).

Environmental Applications:

The industry plays a crucial role in sustainability through "Green Nanotechnology."

- **Remediation:** Nanoparticles, particularly zero-valent iron, are used to degrade pollutants in soil and groundwater. Their high reactivity allows them to break down heavy metals and organic contaminants more effectively than traditional methods.
- **Water Purification:** Nanofiltration membranes containing CNTs or metal oxide nanoparticles can remove viruses, bacteria, and chemical pollutants from water supplies with high efficiency (Ali Mansoori et al. (2008). [37]).

(3) Challenges and Regulatory Landscape:

Despite its rapid growth, the industry faces significant hurdles. The "Valley of Death" remains a primary barrier, where promising research fails to secure the funding needed for scale-up. Furthermore, the regulatory environment is still maturing. There are global concerns regarding the toxicity of nanomaterials (nanotoxicology) and their long-term environmental impact. Establishing harmonized global standards for safety and risk assessment is a priority for ensuring sustainable commercialization (Rambaran & Schirhagl (2022). [38]; Warheit, (2018). [39]).

3. REVIEW OF LITERATURE :

The nanotechnology industry in India has emerged as a high-growth sector, characterized by a transition from fundamental research to commercial application. Driven by government initiatives like the "Nano Mission," the industry is expanding across key verticals including pharmaceuticals, agriculture, and manufacturing. However, the sector faces significant hurdles in commercialization, regulatory frameworks, and funding, often referred to as the "Valley of Death."

(1) Market Overview and Government Initiatives:

India's nanotechnology journey is heavily anchored in state support. The Department of Science and Technology (DST) launched the "Nano Mission" to foster infrastructure development and human resource training. This top-down approach has been pivotal in establishing Centers of Excellence (CoEs) across the country (Rizvi & Saleh, (2018) [40]). The industry is projected to witness robust growth, with India's contribution to global nanotechnology research output rising significantly. Bibliometric analyses indicate that Indian scientists are increasingly focusing on applied nanotechnology, positioning the country as a global leader in scientific publications, though patent filing rates remain lower than global peers (Wani et al. (2023). [41]).

(2) Key Industrial Sectors:

Pharmaceuticals and Healthcare:

The pharmaceutical sector is the most mature adopter of nanotechnology in India. Companies are leveraging "nanomedicine" for targeted drug delivery systems, particularly in oncology and infectious diseases.

- **Drug Delivery:** Nanotechnology enables the reformulation of existing drugs to improve bioavailability and reduce toxicity. This "super-generic" market is a key focus for Indian pharma giants (Kshirsagar et al., 2025 [42]).
- **Diagnostics:** Research is increasingly directed toward nano-biosensors for rapid detection of pathogens, addressing India's need for affordable point-of-care diagnostics (Khatoon & Velidandi (2025). [43]).

Agriculture and Food Security:

Given the agrarian nature of the Indian economy, "agri-nanotech" is a critical sub-sector.

- **Nano-fertilizers:** The development of slow-release nano-fertilizers is enhancing nutrient use efficiency (NUE), potentially reducing the massive subsidy burden on conventional fertilizers.
- **Pest Management:** Nanopesticides are being designed to minimize environmental runoff while maintaining high efficacy against pests (Jegan et al. (2025). [44]; Prasad et al. (2017). [45]).

Green Nanotechnology:

There is a growing trend toward "Green Nanotechnology," utilizing India's rich biodiversity. Researchers are developing eco-friendly methods to synthesize nanoparticles using plant extracts

(phyto-synthesis) rather than toxic chemicals. This approach aligns with global sustainability goals and offers a cost-effective manufacturing route for Indian startups (Srivastava & Bhargava (2021). [46]).

(3) Challenges and Commercialization Barriers:

Despite the research volume, the "lab-to-market" translation remains a bottleneck.

- **The "Valley of Death":** A significant gap exists between academic prototypes and industrial-scale production. Indian startups often struggle to secure venture capital for the high-risk, capital-intensive scale-up phase (Chinthala (2024). [47]).
- **Regulatory Uncertainties:** The lack of a specific, comprehensive regulatory framework for nanomaterials creates market uncertainty. While guidelines exist for lab safety, clear protocols for industrial manufacturing, disposal, and toxicity testing are still evolving (Wong et al (2022). [48]; Foladori (2013). [49]).

(4) Future Outlook:

The industry is moving toward a consolidation phase where public-private partnerships (PPPs) will be crucial. The integration of nanotechnology with other emerging fields like AI and biotechnology is expected to drive the next wave of industrial applications in India, particularly in water purification and renewable energy storage (Mohammed et al. (2025). [50]).

Table 1: “Industry analysis” as Keyword (Using Google Scholar search for Journal Article)

S. No.	Area/Title	Focus/Outcome	Reference
1	Industry analysis–the first Step in business management Scholarly research	The paper discusses the procedure of writing case studies based on an industry analysis framework. The author also recommends the industry analysis as a class of case study methodology in management research for developing research case studies as a first step for budding researchers.	Aithal, P. S. (2017). [51]
2	Diversification, vertical integration, and industry analysis	This study advances their conceptual understanding by examining both their underlying characteristics and appropriate measurement approaches through an extensive analysis of multiple secondary data sources, including COMPUSTAT II, TRINET, the Census of Manufacturers, and various S&P and Dun & Bradstreet industry databases. The key contributions lie in demonstrating the ability of COMPUSTAT II data to differentiate forms of forward and backward vertical integration across and within stages, while also offering methodological guidelines to preserve the validity and reliability of empirical studies using these databases. Vertical integration, diversification, and industry analysis constitute core areas of inquiry in strategic management research.	Davis, R., & Duhaime, I. M. (1992). [52]
3	Guidelines for applying Porter's five forces framework	This paper aims to offer practitioners and students a practical and comprehensive set of templates for effectively applying Michael Porter’s Five Forces framework in industry analysis. While preserving the conceptual depth of Porter’s model, the templates present the framework in a more user-friendly, visually structured, and intuitively organized format. As a result, users gain deeper strategic	Dobbs, M. E. (2014). [53]

		insights and are equipped with a robust, reusable tool for informed and systematic industry analysis.	
5	Tools for industrial analysis	The article critiques the traditional industrial sector concept, emphasizing the need for dynamic classifications that reflect rapid change and actors' perspectives. Using the Italian footwear industry, it highlights the roles of technology, social context, and knowledge types, while renewing the relevance of Marshallian industrial district analysis through a process-oriented approach.	Becattini, G. (2002). [54]
6	Business strategy and firm performance	This paper examines the strategy–performance relationship of Pakistani joint stock firms across multiple industries using the Miles and Snow typology, while considering the effects of firm size and industry. The findings reveal the prevalence of hybrid strategies, uneven strategic distribution, and mixed performance outcomes, with defender and analyzer strategies generally outperforming prospectors, and reactors performing well in certain industries.	Anwar, J., & Hasnu, S. A. F. (2016). [55]
7	State of Industry 5.0—Analysis and identification of current research trends	This study analyzes emerging definitions and research trends of Industry 5.0 through text mining of 196 scholarly abstracts from major databases. The findings identify key enablers such as AI, IoT, big data, and machine learning, and classify five dominant themes, with growing scholarly emphasis on human–machine connectivity and coexistence.	Akundi, A., Euresti, D., Luna, S., Ankobiah, W., Lopes, A., & Edinbarough, I. (2022). [56]
8	A fresh look at industry and market analysis	This paper proposes an updated industry and market analysis model that extends Porter's Five Forces to reflect contemporary dynamics such as globalization, entrepreneurship, technological change, and the Internet, offering managers practical guidance for achieving competitive success.	Slater, S. F., & Olson, E. M. (2002). [57]
9	An analysis of selected Industry 4.0 models	This study analyzes selected Industry 4.0 models with a focus on integrating Lean Production principles, highlighting their relevance for optimizing modern manufacturing. It classifies 31 Industry 4.0 frameworks and identifies research gaps for developing more holistic and effective models.	Leyh, C., Martin, S., & Schäffer, T. (2017). [58]
10	Tech-Business Analytics—a Review based New Model to Improve the Performances of Various Industry Sectors	This paper introduces Tech-Business Analytics (TBA), which integrates ICCT and big data technologies to address semi-structured and unstructured problems across all industry sectors. It examines TBA's impact on innovation outcomes and uses the ABCD framework to assess the present and future significance of business analytics.	Kumar, S., & Aithal, P. S. (2023). [59]

Table 2: “Nanotechnology Industry” as Keyword

S. No.	Area	Focus/Outcome	Reference
1	Nanotechnology: a revolution in modern industry	This study highlights that nanotechnology has expanded beyond laboratories to become a key driver of innovation across diverse industries, from agriculture and medicine to automobiles and civil engineering. It emphasizes global adoption and interdisciplinary collaboration as crucial to the sustainable future growth of nano-based industries.	Malik, S., Muhammad, K., & Waheed, Y. (2023). [60]
2	Nanotechnology in the chemical industry—opportunities and challenges	This article presents nanotechnology as a key interdisciplinary field creating new market opportunities across electronics, biomedicine, materials, and consumer products. It identifies manufacturing challenges and proposes an innovation roadmap that integrates nanoscale development, product and process design, and a combined market-pull and technology-push R&D approach.	Qiu Zhao, Q., Boxman, A., & Chowdhry, U. (2003). [61]
3	How the nanotechnology industry views risk	This interview-based study examines how the U.S. nanotechnology industry perceives risk, revealing that while firms acknowledge uncertainty and take safety precautions, they do not view nanotechnology as inherently risky or novel. The industry emphasizes benefits, supports continued development without delay, and sees itself as the primary guardian of consumer safety.	Becker, S. (2013). [62]
4	Nanotechnology from lab to industry	This article highlights nanotechnology’s growing impact across sectors while acknowledging the significant challenges in commercialization. By reviewing successful market examples, key partnerships, and commercialization steps, it offers a practical roadmap for translating nanotechnology from the laboratory to industry.	Rambaran, T., & Schirhagl, R. (2022). [63]
5	A review of the emerging nanotechnology industry	The nanotechnology industry is rapidly expanding with diverse products and applications across multiple sectors, driven by the unique properties of materials at the nanoscale. While offering significant innovation potential, the industry also raises concerns about health, safety, and environmental risks, highlighting the need for responsible development that balances economic growth with public and environmental protection.	Kang, H. Y. (2010). [64]
6	Commercialization of nanotechnology	This review highlights nanotechnology’s transformative role in medicine, particularly in drug delivery, diagnostics, imaging, and medical devices, with many products already commercialized and more in development. It outlines evolving generations of medical	Hobson, D. W. (2009). [65]

		nanotechnology and emphasizes its growing impact on addressing unmet pharmaceutical and clinical needs.	
7	The applications of nanotechnology in food industry	This article reviews the applications of nanotechnology in the food industry, including pathogen detection, packaging, and targeted delivery of bioactive compounds to enhance food safety and nutrition. It also discusses recent advances, regulatory frameworks, and risk assessment issues related to nanofood technologies.	Rashidi, L., & Khosravi-Darani, K. (2011). [66]
8	Nanotechnology in oil and gas industries	This book provides a comprehensive overview of nanotechnology applications in the oil and gas industry, covering petroleum fundamentals, refining processes, and advanced nanomaterials for catalysis, desulfurization, and contaminant detection. Designed for students and researchers across science and engineering disciplines, it highlights current developments and emerging trends in refinery nanotechnology.	Saleh, T. A. (2018). [67]
9	Nanotechnology innovations for the construction industry	This study highlights how nanotechnology enhances structural health monitoring, energy efficiency, and construction materials through advanced sensors, improved cooling, solar, and insulation systems, and functional materials such as self-cleaning paints and cement. With early products already commercialized, ongoing research signals further advancements in the built environment.	Hanus, M. J., & Harris, A. T. (2013). [68]
10	Nanotechnology applications for environmental industry	This review highlights nanotechnology's potential to address environmental challenges through pollution control, water treatment, sensing, remediation, and sustainable energy solutions. It emphasizes the role of engineered nanomaterials in enabling environmentally sustainable technologies and future applications.	Pathakoti, K., Manubolu, M., & Hwang, H. M. (2018). [69]
11	Status of nano science and technology in India	This study examines India's nanotechnology ecosystem, highlighting the government's role in building R&D capacity and industry's contribution to application development across key sectors. It emphasizes growth in nanomedicine research, rising publications and patents, and India's strong foundation for advancing nanotechnology to meet societal and economic needs.	Deshpande Sarma, S., & Anand, M. (2012). [70]
12	Exploring the opportunities and challenges in nanotechnology innovation in India	This paper explores nanotechnology as a key interdisciplinary technology of the 21st century, examining India's R&D efforts across energy, water, health, food, and agriculture sectors. It highlights policy actors, publication and patent trends, and environmental, health, and safety concerns	Ali, A., & Sinha, K. (2014). [71]

		shaping nanotechnology development in India.	
13	Prospects of nanotechnology development in the health sector in India	This paper examines emerging nanotechnology developments in India's health sector, focusing on commercialization, policy implications, and key challenges. Based on primary and secondary data from Indian pharmaceutical firms, it also highlights the role of regulatory players in shaping health-sector nanotechnology R&D.	Ali, A., & Sinha, K. (2014). [72]
14	Green and eco-friendly Nanotechnology– concepts and industrial prospects	This paper discusses nanotechnology as a powerful yet risk-sensitive tool for achieving the UN Sustainable Development Goals by 2030. It emphasizes the role of green and eco-friendly nanotechnology in overcoming nanotoxicity concerns and advancing sustainable industrial and societal development in the 21st century.	Aithal, S., & Aithal, P. S. (2021). [73]
15	A new model for the commercialization of nanotechnology products and services	This paper analyzes nanotechnology applications from a business perspective and proposes a new commercialization model evaluated through organizational and customer viewpoints. It identifies key opportunities, challenges, and market potential using an ABCD-based assessment of nanotechnology products and services.	Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2016). [74]
16	Business strategy for nanotechnology based products and services	This paper examines nanotechnology applications and benefits across industries, identifying emerging products, services, and business opportunities driven by global challenges. It outlines strategic frameworks such as PEST and ABCD analysis and discusses future possibilities of nanotechnology innovations in areas including agriculture, energy, water, and medicine.	Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2016). [75]
17	Opportunities and challenges for green and eco-friendly nanotechnology in twenty-first century	This chapter highlights green and eco-friendly nanotechnology as a solution to address nanotoxicity concerns while enabling sustainable development across all industry sectors. It emphasizes the role of environmentally responsible nanotechnology in achieving global SDGs and supporting sustainable technological progress.	Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2022). [76]
18	Ideal technology concept & its realization opportunity using nanotechnology	This paper proposes an Ideal Technology model by defining key characteristics across input, output, system, and environmental conditions, and compares them with existing technologies. It concludes that many ideal characteristics can be realized through nanotechnology innovations, positioning nanotechnology as a pathway toward ideal technology.	Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2015). [77]

19	Nanotechnology innovations & business opportunities in renewable energy sector	This paper reviews the challenges, innovations, and business opportunities of nanotechnology in the energy sector, covering areas such as solar, wind, storage, fuel, and artificial photosynthesis. Using ABCD analysis, it evaluates nano-based energy solutions and proposes a molecular nanotechnology model to address future energy demands.	Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2016). [78]
20	Nanotechnological innovations & business environment for Indian automobile sector	This paper examines the impact of nanotechnology innovations on the Indian automobile sector, focusing on improvements in efficiency, durability, cost reduction, and environmental sustainability. It also discusses the broader economic, political, and renewable energy implications of these advancements.	Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2016). [79]
21	Nanotechnology Innovations and Commercialization- Opportunities, Challenges & Reasons for Delay	This paper evaluates the societal impacts and commercialization challenges of nanotechnology, proposing revised innovation timelines and a business-oriented commercialization model. It identifies key barriers and outlines corporate strategies to enable successful nanotechnology products and services.	Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2016). [80]

4. OBJECTIVES OF THE PAPER :

Based on the review of literature, the objectives of this exploratory research paper include:

- (1) **To examine the current status and fundamental characteristics:** Of the global nanotechnology sector, providing a foundational understanding of the industry's scope, technologies, and operational dynamics.
- (2) **To analyze the strategic environment of the Indian nanotechnology industry:** By evaluating internal strengths and weaknesses, external opportunities and threats (SWOC), and the macro-environmental factors (PESTLE) influencing its growth.
- (3) **To evaluate the competitive landscape and stakeholder perceptions:** Of the industry using Porter's Five Forces model and the ABCD (Advantages, Benefits, Constraints, and Disadvantages) analysis framework.
- (4) **To assess the socio-economic impact of nanotechnology:** In addressing basic human needs, enhancing living comforts, and fulfilling societal aspirations within the Indian context.
- (5) **To compare the financial and operational performance of the top five nanotechnology companies in India:** Identifying key success factors and market differentiators.
- (6) **To propose strategic suggestions and policy recommendations:** For industry stakeholders and policymakers to overcome existing challenges and accelerate the commercialization of nanotechnology in India.

5. METHODOLOGY :

This study adopts an exploratory case study research design. The required information was collected through a systematic review of secondary sources, utilizing keyword-based searches on websites, Google, and Google Scholar, complemented by prompt-based queries to AI-driven GPT models (Aithal & Aithal (2023). [81]). The data was subsequently analyzed using established strategic frameworks—including SWOC, PESTLE, Porter's Five Forces, ABCD stakeholder analysis, and a multi-level impact analysis—to comprehensively address the research objectives [82-91].

6. DETAILS OF NANOTECHNOLOGY INDUSTRY :

The "Details of the Nanotechnology Industry" encompasses the scientific definition, historical evolution, manufacturing methodologies, and the diverse industrial verticals that currently drive the global market.

(1) Definition and Scope:

The nanotechnology industry is defined by the manipulation, design, and application of materials and devices on the scale of 1 to 100 nanometers (nm). At this scale, matter exhibits unique physicochemical properties—such as quantum mechanical effects, high surface-to-volume ratios, and enhanced reactivity—that differ significantly from their bulk counterparts. The industry is not limited to a single sector but is an enabling technology that underpins advancements in electronics, medicine, energy, and materials science (Omietimi et al. (2023). [92]).

(2) Historical Evolution:

The industry's theoretical foundations were laid in 1959 by physicist Richard Feynman in his seminal talk, *"There's Plenty of Room at the Bottom,"* where he envisioned the direct manipulation of individual atoms. However, the term "nanotechnology" was officially coined by Norio Taniguchi in 1974. The transition from theory to industry accelerated in the 1980s with the invention of the Scanning Tunneling Microscope (STM) and the Atomic Force Microscope (AFM), which allowed scientists to not only see but also move atoms, effectively birthing the modern nanotechnology industry (Bayda et al. (2019). [93]).

(3) Manufacturing Methodologies (The "How"):

A critical detail of the industry is its dual approach to manufacturing, which dictates cost, scalability, and precision:

- **Top-Down Approach:** This is the traditional industrial method, akin to sculpting, where bulk materials are reduced to nanoscale features using lithography, etching, or milling. It is the standard in the semiconductor industry (e.g., making microchips) but faces challenges with waste generation and physical limits at the atomic scale.
- **Bottom-Up Approach:** This method mimics nature by assembling structures atom-by-atom or molecule-by-molecule using chemical synthesis or self-assembly. It offers greater precision and less waste but is often slower and harder to scale for mass production (Biswas et al. (2012). [94]; Cooper (2017). [95])

(4) Key Industrial Segments:

A. Nanomaterials

This is the largest and most mature segment, involving the production of nanoparticles (e.g., silver, gold, iron oxide), carbon nanotubes (CNTs), graphene, and nanocomposites. These materials are used as additives to enhance the strength, weight, or conductivity of commercial products ranging from tennis rackets to airplane wings (Singh et al. (2017). [96]).

B. Nano-electronics

As silicon-based transistors approach their physical scaling limits (Moore's Law), the industry is shifting toward nano-electronics. This involves using nanowires, quantum dots, and spintronics to create faster, more energy-efficient processors and memory devices. Quantum computing, which relies on qubits (quantum bits) operating at the nanoscale, represents the future frontier of this segment (Payal, & Pandey (2022). [97]).

C. Nanomedicine

The healthcare segment focuses on precision medicine. "Theranostics" (therapy + diagnostics) is a growing sub-sector where nanoparticles are used to simultaneously detect and treat diseases. For instance, liposomal nanoparticles are widely used for delivering chemotherapy drugs directly to tumour cells, minimizing systemic toxicity (Chinthala (2024). [98]).

(5) Economic and Commercial Context:

The industry is characterized by high R&D intensity and a long gestation period for products, often referred to as the "Valley of Death" in commercialization. Despite these hurdles, the global market is projected to reach multi-trillion dollar valuations by 2030, driven by government initiatives like the U.S. National Nanotechnology Initiative (NNI) and India's Nano Mission. The economic impact is profound, with nanotechnology expected to become a general-purpose technology (GPT) similar to electricity or the internet, fundamentally reshaping global manufacturing and supply chains (Khattoon & Velidandi (2025). [99]).

6.1 Products of the Nanotechnology Industry:

The nanotechnology industry has graduated from theoretical research to a diverse marketplace of commercial products. These products are generally categorized into **nanomaterials** (raw ingredients like powders and solutions) and **nano-enabled devices** (finished goods incorporating nanomaterials).

(1) Healthcare and Nanomedicine Products:

The most high-profile commercial success in recent years has been the global deployment of **Lipid Nanoparticles (LNPs)**. These serve as the delivery vehicles for mRNA COVID-19 vaccines (e.g., Comirnaty® by Pfizer/BioNTech and Spikevax® by Moderna). The LNP protects the fragile mRNA strands and ensures their entry into human cells, a feat impossible with traditional formulations (Couvreur (2013). [100]).

- **Oncology Drugs:** Established products like **Doxil®** (doxorubicin encapsulated in liposomes) and **Abraxane®** (paclitaxel bound to albumin nanoparticles) remain dominant. These nano-formulations drastically reduce the cardiotoxicity of chemotherapy by delivering drugs specifically to tumor sites via the Enhanced Permeability and Retention (EPR) effect (Tchuenté Kamsu & Jamot Ndebia, (2025). [101])
- **Diagnostics:** Commercial rapid diagnostic kits now frequently utilize **Gold Nanoparticles (AuNPs)** conjugated with antibodies to produce the visible red line in lateral flow tests (e.g., for pregnancy or infectious diseases), offering higher sensitivity than conventional dyes (Malik et al., (2023). [102]).

(2) Electronics and Computing Products:

In the consumer electronics sector, nanotechnology is the key differentiator in display and semiconductor performance.

- **Quantum Dot Displays (QLED):** Television manufacturers like Samsung and LG utilize **Quantum Dots (QDs)**—semiconductor nanocrystals that emit precise colors when illuminated. These are commercially available in high-end QLED TVs, offering superior color accuracy and energy efficiency compared to standard LCDs (Bohr (2002). [103]).
- **Semiconductors:** Modern processors (CPUs and GPUs) manufactured at the 3nm and 5nm nodes rely on **High-k/Metal Gate** nanofabrication techniques. Additionally, **Graphene** and **Carbon Nanotubes (CNTs)** are increasingly used in thermal interface materials (TIMs) to dissipate heat in high-performance computing devices (Haleem et al. (2023). [104])

(3) Energy Storage and Generation:

Commercial energy products are leveraging nanomaterials to overcome the limitations of lithium-ion batteries.

- **Advanced Batteries:** Companies are marketing batteries with **Silicon Nanowire Anodes** or CNT-enhanced electrodes. These nanomaterials accommodate the volume expansion of silicon during charging, preventing physical breakdown and allowing for significantly higher energy density and faster charging rates compared to traditional graphite anodes (Allothman et al., 2024). [105]).
- **Supercapacitors:** Commercial supercapacitors use **graphene-based electrodes** to store static charge, providing rapid bursts of power for applications like regenerative braking in hybrid vehicles and backup power systems (Gidiagba et al. (2023). [106]).

(4) Consumer and Construction Products:

- **Nanocoatings:** The textile and construction industries use hydrophobic **silica nanoparticles** to create stain-resistant fabrics and self-cleaning windows. In construction, **Titanium Dioxide (TiO₂)** nanoparticles are added to cement and paints to break down organic pollutants and smog via photocatalysis (Teizer et al. (2012). [107]).
- **Personal Care:** Mineral sunscreens containing **Zinc Oxide (ZnO)** and **Titanium Dioxide (TiO₂)** nanoparticles are standard commercial products. These nanoparticles provide transparent UV protection, avoiding the white chalky residue of traditional bulk mineral sunscreens (Chinthala (2024). [98]).

6.2 Services of the Nanotechnology Industry:

The "Services" sector of the nanotechnology industry functions as the critical infrastructure enabling the transition from laboratory prototypes to commercial products. As the complexity of working at the

nanoscale (1–100 nm) requires expensive, specialized equipment and expertise, a robust service ecosystem has emerged to support companies that cannot maintain these capabilities in-house.

(1) Nanometrology and Characterization Services:

Accurate measurement at the atomic scale is the bedrock of the industry. "Nanometrology" services provide the validation required for regulatory approval and quality control.

- **Physicochemical Characterization:** Specialized laboratories offer services to define the size, shape, surface charge (Zeta potential), and purity of nanomaterials. Techniques such as Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) and Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) are standard service offerings to ensure batch-to-batch consistency, which is critical for regulatory compliance (Mota et al. (2025). [108]).
- **Traceable Measurements:** National metrology institutes and accredited private labs provide "traceable" measurements (linked to international standards) for dimensional analysis. This service is essential for semiconductor manufacturers needing to verify feature sizes on microchips down to single-digit nanometers (Zielińska et al. (2025). [109]).

(2) Nanofabrication and Foundry Services:

Modeled after the semiconductor industry, "foundries" provide manufacturing-as-a-service (MaaS). These facilities allow researchers and startups to design nanodevices without investing millions in cleanroom infrastructure.

- **Lithography and Patterning:** Foundries offer services like Electron Beam Lithography (EBL) and Focused Ion Beam (FIB) milling to pattern nanostructures on silicon or polymer substrates. This is vital for producing prototypes for microfluidics, photonics, and NEMS (Nano-Electro-Mechanical Systems) (Lee et al. (2006). [110]).
- **Thin Film Deposition:** Service providers utilize techniques such as Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD) to apply ultra-thin coatings (often a single atomic layer thick) for customers in the electronics and energy sectors, enhancing material performance without altering bulk properties (Lysenko et al. (2019). [111]).

(3) Contract Research Organizations (CROs):

CROs in nanotechnology specialize in outsourcing R&D, particularly for the pharmaceutical and biotechnology sectors.

- **Pre-clinical Safety and Toxicology:** Before a nanomedicine can enter human trials, it must undergo rigorous "nanotoxicology" testing. CROs perform *in vitro* (cell) and *in vivo* (animal) studies to assess potential risks, such as whether nanoparticles can cross the blood-brain barrier or cause DNA damage (genotoxicity). This segment is growing due to the "Safety-by-Design" regulatory approach mandated in regions like the EU (Halappanavar et al. (2025). [112]; Choi et al. (2009). [113]).
- **Digital and Clinical Trials:** Modern CROs are increasingly offering "digital" services, utilizing AI and simulation to model nanoparticle behavior in biological systems before physical testing begins, significantly reducing development costs and time (TCS, 2025).

(4) Technology Transfer and Regulatory Consulting:

Given the complex legal landscape governing nanomaterials (e.g., REACH in Europe, TSCA in the US), consulting services are a distinct industrial segment.

- **Regulatory Compliance:** Consultants guide companies through the "nano-specific" data requirements for product registration, ensuring that safety data sheets (SDS) and risk assessments meet the evolving global standards.
- **Technology Transfer:** Specialized firms facilitate the licensing and transfer of university-developed nanotechnology patents to industrial partners, bridging the "Valley of Death" between academic research and commercial viability (Allan et al. (2019). [114]).

6.3 Companies & Firms in the Nanotechnology Industry in India:

The Indian nanotechnology landscape involves a dynamic mix of established conglomerates diversifying into deep-tech and agile startups born out of academic incubators (like IITs and IISc). These entities are broadly categorized into materials manufacturers, pharmaceutical innovators, and energy solution providers.

(1) Healthcare and Pharmaceutical Companies:

This sector is the most commercially mature, driven by India's robust generic drug industry pivoting toward "super-generics" using nanotechnology.

- **Dabur Pharma (now Fresenius Kabi Oncology):** A pioneer in the field, Dabur Pharma launched **Nanoxel** in 2006, the world's first generic paclitaxel nanoparticle formulation for cancer treatment. Unlike the original drug Taxol, which used toxic solvents (Cremophor EL), Nanoxel uses a polymeric nanoparticle delivery system that eliminates hypersensitivity reactions and allows for higher doses. This success story is frequently cited in literature as a benchmark for Indian nanomedicine innovation (Bernabeu et al. (2017). [115]).
- **Achira Labs:** A spin-off from Bangalore, Achira Labs specializes in **microfluidics** and "Lab-on-a-Chip" platforms. They utilize proprietary fabric-based chips woven with silk threads to perform rapid diagnostic tests (e.g., for thyroid or fertility hormones). Their "FabChip" technology lowers the cost of diagnostics significantly by using textiles instead of expensive polymers (Kalme et al. (2019). [116]).

(2) Energy and Environment Firms:

Companies in this space are addressing critical infrastructure needs—clean energy storage and safe drinking water—using nanomaterials.

- **Log 9 Materials:** Incubated at IIT Roorkee, Log 9 is India's leading deep-tech energy firm. They specialize in **Graphene**-based technology, producing "Rapid Charging" battery packs for electric vehicles (EVs) that charge in 15 minutes. They also manufacture **Aluminum Fuel Cells (AFCs)**, which use graphene membranes to generate power from water and aluminum, offering a clean alternative to diesel generators (Zhang et al. (2022). [117]).
- **Tata Chemicals (Innovation Centre):** A major industrial player, Tata Chemicals developed **Tata Swach**, a low-cost water purifier that uses **silver nanoparticles** and rice husk ash silica to kill bacteria and viruses without electricity. This product is widely documented as a successful "Bottom of the Pyramid" innovation, bringing safe water to millions of rural households (Bhattacharya et al., (2016). [118]).

(3) Nanomaterials and Manufacturing:

These firms function as the "picks and shovels" of the industry, supplying raw nanomaterials to other manufacturers.

- **NoPo Nanotechnologies:** Based in Bangalore, NoPo is one of the few global companies producing high-quality **Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes (SWCNTs)** using their proprietary HiPCO (High-Pressure Carbon Monoxide) process. Their nanotubes are used in water filtration, space applications, and next-gen electronics due to their exceptional conductivity and tensile strength (Wang et al. (2013). [119])
- **Nanova (Asian Paints):** Originally a startup, Nanova was acquired by Asian Paints. They focus on **functional nanocoatings**, such as "Omniphobic" coatings that repel both water and oil. These are used in industrial applications to prevent corrosion and in consumer paints to create self-cleaning, anti-bacterial surfaces for homes and hospitals (Malik et al. (2023). [120]).

(4) Specialized Startups:

- **Nanoshel:** A prominent supplier based in Punjab, Nanoshel synthesizes a wide range of carbon nanotubes, metal oxides, and alloy nanoparticles for research and industrial use globally. They serve as a critical supply chain link for academic labs and R&D centers (Sharma et al. (2023). [121]).

6.4 Customers & Stakeholders :

The nanotechnology industry operates within a complex ecosystem where "customers" are rarely just individual consumers. Instead, the market is dominated by Business-to-Business (B2B) transactions and heavily influenced by non-market stakeholders like regulatory bodies and investors.

(1) Key Customer Segments:

A. Business-to-Business (B2B) Customers

The primary customers in the nanotechnology value chain are other industries that integrate nanomaterials into intermediate or finished products.

- **Electronics Manufacturers:** Companies producing semiconductors, displays, and sensors are the largest volume buyers of nanomaterials (like quantum dots and silicon nanowires). They

demand high-purity materials to maintain the pace of miniaturization in devices (Bohr (2002). [122]).

- **Pharmaceutical Companies:** Big Pharma firms are key customers for biotech startups. They license "nanocarrier" platforms (e.g., lipid nanoparticles) to reformulate existing drugs or develop new vaccines, paying for the technology transfer and intellectual property rights (Durgam & Oroszi (2025). [123]).
- **Agrochemical Firms:** In the agricultural sector, fertilizer and pesticide manufacturers are increasingly purchasing nano-additives to create "smart" farming inputs that release nutrients slowly or target pests specifically, driven by the need for sustainable farming solutions (Jegan et al. (2025). [124]).

B. Business-to-Government (B2G) Customers

Governments are substantial customers, particularly in strategic sectors.

- **Defense and Aerospace:** Agencies like DRDO (in India) or NASA (in the US) procure high-performance nanocomposites for lightweighting aircraft, ballistic protection, and stealth coatings. These contracts often drive the initial scaling of new nanomaterials (Khatoon & Velidandi (2025). [125]).

C. Business-to-Consumer (B2C) End-Users

While less direct, the end-user base is expanding.

- **Patients:** In healthcare, patients are the ultimate beneficiaries of nanomedicine, seeking treatments with fewer side effects (e.g., targeted chemotherapy).
- **Farmers:** In agrarian economies like India, farmers are the direct customers for nano-fertilizers (like IFFCO's Nano Urea), adopting them to reduce input costs and improve yield (Jegan et al. (2025). [124]).

(2) Key Stakeholders:

Stakeholders are entities that may not buy products but have a vested interest in the industry's operations and impacts.

A. Government and Regulatory Bodies

The government plays a dual role as a funder and a regulator.

- **Regulators (e.g., FDA, BIS):** These bodies set the safety standards for toxicity and environmental impact. Because nanomaterials can behave unpredictably in biological systems, regulators are critical stakeholders who determine the "rules of the game" for market entry. A major challenge for the industry is the lack of harmonized global standards, which complicates international trade (Rambaran & Schirhagl (2022). [126]).
- **Funding Agencies:** Entities like India's **Nano Mission** (DST) act as catalysts, providing the non-dilutive capital required to bridge the gap between academic research and industrial prototypes (Khatoon & Velidandi (2025). [125]).

B. Investors (Venture Capital & Private Equity)

Given the high capital expenditure (CapEx) and long gestation periods (gestation lag) of deep-tech products, investors are pivotal stakeholders.

- **Venture Capitalists (VCs):** They provide the risk capital needed to survive the "Valley of Death." Unlike software investors, deep-tech VCs must be patient, often waiting 5–10 years for a return on investment (ROI). Their involvement often validates a startup's technology, attracting further customers (Chinthala (2024). [127]).

C. Research Community and Academia

Universities and national labs (like CSIR in India) are the primary source of innovation. They hold the foundational patents and provide the specialized workforce (nanoscientists) required by the industry. The industry-academia linkage is the "supply line" for new intellectual property (Mohammed et al. (2025). [128]).

6.5 Industry Performance at National & Global Level:

The global and national performance of the nanotechnology industry is characterized by a dichotomy: while scientific output (publications) has exploded, the translation into commercial value differs significantly across regions. The industry is currently in a high-growth phase, often termed the "industrialization of nanoscience," where laboratory breakthroughs are being converted into scalable products.

(1) Global Industry Performance:

The global nanotechnology market is witnessing exponential growth, driven by the convergence of nanotechnology with biotechnology and electronics.

- (i) **Market Valuation & Growth:** The global market for nanotechnology products is projected to grow from approximately USD 75–100 billion in 2024 to over USD 1 trillion by 2032, registering a Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) ranging between 13% and 34% depending on the sector (Khatoun & Velidandi [129]; Omietimi (203). [130]).
- (ii) **Sector Dominance:** The healthcare sector (nanomedicine) dominates the global market, accounting for the largest revenue share due to the commercial success of mRNA vaccines and targeted cancer therapies. This is followed closely by the electronics sector, where demand for smaller, faster semiconductors (5nm and 3nm nodes) drives investment (Shapira & Youtie (2015). [131]).
- (iii) **Regional Leadership:** North America currently holds the largest market share (~40%) due to high R&D spending and a mature patent ecosystem. However, the Asia-Pacific region is the fastest-growing market, led by China's dominance in manufacturing and India's rising startup ecosystem (Shapira & Youtie (2015). [131]).

(2) Industry Performance in India (National Level):

India's performance is marked by a strong "science" base but a developing "technology" commercialization framework.

- (i) **Scientific Output vs. Commercialization:** India consistently ranks **3rd globally** in nanotechnology research publications, following only China and the USA. However, a significant gap exists between this high publication output and the number of patents filed or products commercialized—a phenomenon often described as the "Valley of Death" in Indian deep-tech (Rizvi & Saleh, 2018 [132]; Chinthala (2024). [127]).
- (ii) **Sectoral Success:**
 - **Agriculture:** India has emerged as a global pioneer in "agri-nanotech" with the commercial launch and massive adoption of **Nano Urea** by IFFCO. This indigenous innovation addresses nutrient efficiency and reduces the subsidy burden, marking a rare instance where India leads the global market in a specific nano-product (Jegan et al., 2025 [124]).
 - **Green Nanotechnology:** Indian researchers lead in "Green Synthesis"—using plant extracts to produce nanoparticles—driven by the country's biodiversity. This is a cost-effective route that Indian startups are leveraging for antimicrobial coatings and water purification (Patel et al., (2024). [133]; Rizvi & Saleh, 2018 [132]).
- (iii) **Economic Contribution:** The domestic market is growing at a robust CAGR of ~19%, outpacing the global average, though the absolute base remains smaller (approx. USD 200–300 million). The growth is fueled by government funding (Nano Mission) and the PLI (Production Linked Incentive) schemes for pharmaceuticals and electronics (Chib et al., 2024 [131]).

(3) Comparative Analysis (India vs. Global Peers):

A bibliometric and industrial comparison highlights India's unique position.

- (i) **Research Influence:** While China leads in total volume of papers and the USA leads in citation impact (H-index), India is the top contributor in specific niche areas like **green synthesis** and **nanomaterials for water treatment**. Recent bibliometric studies (2024–2025) confirm India's solidified position in the top 3, surpassing influential nations like South Korea and Germany in publication volume (Li et al., 2025 [134]; Wang et al., 2025 [135]).
- (ii) **Patent Disparity:** In contrast to the USA, where nearly 50% of high-impact research translates into patent filings, India's ratio is significantly lower. This indicates a need for stronger intellectual property (IP) frameworks and industry-academia linkage (Patel et al. (2024). [133]).

7. ANALYSIS FRAMEWORKS :

7.1 SWOC Analysis of Nanotechnology Industry in India:

SWOC Analysis (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Challenges) is a strategic planning framework widely utilized in industry analysis to evaluate the internal and external environments of an organization or sector. Unlike the traditional SWOT framework, which uses "Threats," the SWOC model adopts the term "Challenges" to foster a more positive, problem-solving mindset, viewing

external hurdles as obstacles to be overcome rather than insurmountable dangers (Suneetha & Aithal, (2024). [136]). In this framework, **Strengths** and **Weaknesses** are internal factors under the organization's control, such as proprietary technology, workforce expertise, or operational inefficiencies (Aithal (2017). [137]). Conversely, **Opportunities** and **Challenges** are external factors arising from market trends, regulatory shifts, or competitive dynamics (Aithal & Kumar (2015). [138]). This methodology is critical for bridging the gap between an industry's current capabilities and its strategic objectives, serving as a foundational step for more advanced frameworks like ABCD (Advantages, Benefits, Constraints, Disadvantages) analysis (Aithal, 2016 [139]; Aithal et al., 2015 [140]). Recent applications of SWOC have proven effective in diverse sectors, ranging from the automotive industry's adaptation to electric vehicles (Suneetha & Aithal, 2024 [136]) to the evaluation of emerging technologies in healthcare and nanomedicine (Zhang et al. (2024). [141]; Kruhlova (2019). [142]).

7.1.1 Strengths of the Nanotechnology Industry in India:

Under the SWOC (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Challenges) framework, the "Strengths" component identifies the internal capabilities and resources that give the Indian nanotechnology industry a competitive advantage. These strengths are pivotal for leveraging external opportunities and mitigating market challenges.

Table 4: Strengths of the Nanotechnology Industry in India

S. No.	Key Strengths	Description
1	Robust Government Policy Support (Nano Mission)	The Government of India's Department of Science and Technology (DST) launched the "Nano Mission" in 2007, which has been the backbone of the industry. This mission provided critical funding (approx. ₹1000 crore in Phase 1) to establish Centers of Excellence (CoEs) and upgrade research infrastructure, creating a solid foundation for industrial growth (Bhattacharya et al., 2012) [118].
2	Global Leadership in Scientific Publications	India consistently ranks third globally in nanotechnology research publications, trailing only China and the USA. This high volume of scientific output demonstrates a strong theoretical knowledge base and a vibrant academic research culture that feeds into industrial applications (Wani et al. 2023) [143].
3	Pioneering "Agri-Nanotech" Products	India has achieved a unique global milestone with the commercialization of the world's first liquid nano-fertilizer, Nano Urea, by IFFCO. This product addresses the critical national need for fertilizer efficiency and positions India as a leader in agricultural nanotechnology (Jegan et al., 2025) [124].
4	Cost-Effective "Frugal Innovation"	The Indian industry excels in "frugal innovation," developing high-quality products at a fraction of global costs. A prime example is the Tata Swach water purifier, which utilizes silver nanoparticles for water purification without requiring electricity, catering to the "Bottom of the Pyramid" market (Rizvi & Saleh, 2018) [132].
5	Rich Biodiversity for Green Nanotechnology	India's vast biodiversity provides a competitive edge in "Green Nanotechnology." Researchers and companies are leading the way in phyto-synthesis—using plant extracts (e.g., neem, tulsi) to synthesize nanoparticles. This eco-friendly method eliminates toxic chemicals used in traditional synthesis, offering a sustainable manufacturing route (Patel et al. (2024). [133]).
6	Strong Generic Pharmaceutical Capability	Leveraging its status as the "Pharmacy of the World," India's pharmaceutical sector is aggressively adopting nanotechnology to create "super-generics." Companies are reformulating expired patent drugs (like Paclitaxel) into nano-formulations

		(e.g., Nanoxel) to enhance efficacy and reduce toxicity, creating a high-value export market (Kshirsagar et al., 2025) [144].
7	Established Public Research Infrastructure	A vast network of public laboratories, including CSIR (Council of Scientific and Industrial Research) labs, IITs (Indian Institutes of Technology), and IISc (Indian Institute of Science), provides the industry with sophisticated characterization tools (like TEM, SEM) that are otherwise too expensive for private startups to own (Khatoun & Velidandi (2025). [129]).
8	Availability of Skilled Technical Workforce	India produces one of the world's largest pools of STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) graduates annually. This abundant supply of engineers and scientists allows nanotechnology firms to scale their R&D teams cost-effectively compared to Western counterparts (Chinthala (2024). [127]).
9	Emerging Deep-Tech Startup Ecosystem	A new wave of deep-tech startups is successfully bridging the "lab-to-market" gap. Companies like Log 9 Materials (graphene batteries) and NoPo Nanotechnologies (carbon nanotubes) have demonstrated the capability to develop and commercialize proprietary global-standard technologies (Dai et al. (2020). [145]).
10	Strategic International Collaborations	The industry benefits from active bilateral cooperation programs, such as the Indo-German and Indo-US Science and Technology Forums. These partnerships facilitate knowledge transfer, joint R&D, and access to global markets for Indian nanotechnology products (Rambaran & Schirhagl (2022). [146]).

7.1.2 Weaknesses of the Nanotechnology Industry in India:

Under the SWOC framework, "Weaknesses" refer to internal limitations and structural constraints that hinder the industry's ability to achieve its full potential.

Table 5: Weaknesses of the Nanotechnology Industry in India

S. No.	Key Weaknesses	Description
1	The "Valley of Death" in Commercialization	A critical weakness is the inability to translate academic research into marketable products. While India excels in theoretical research, most innovations stall at the laboratory stage (Technology Readiness Level 3-4) and fail to reach industrial scale due to a lack of pilot-scale facilities and risk capital (Aithal & Aithal, 2020) [147].
2	Lack of a Comprehensive Regulatory Framework	Unlike the EU (which has REACH regulations) or the USA, India currently lacks a dedicated, overarching law for the regulation of nanomaterials. The regulatory landscape is fragmented across multiple agencies (e.g., CIBRC for agri-inputs, CDSCO for pharma), leading to ambiguity in safety compliance and delays in product approval (Bhatia & Chugh, (2016) [148]).
3	Low Patent-to-Publication Ratio	Despite ranking third globally in scientific publications, India's share of global nanotechnology patents is disproportionately low (approx. 0.1% to 2%). This indicates a weakness in Intellectual Property (IP) literacy among researchers and a system that rewards academic paper publishing over patent filing (Jain et al. (2011). [149]).
4	Fragmented Industry-Academia Linkage	There is a significant disconnect between university research and industrial needs. Indian industries often prefer importing proven technology rather than investing in indigenous R&D,

		while academic research frequently lacks industrial relevance or scalability, creating a "trust deficit" between the two sectors (DST-CPR, 2017). [150].
5	Inadequate Risk Capital for Deep Tech	The industry suffers from a shortage of Venture Capital (VC) willing to fund "Deep Tech." Unlike software startups, nanotechnology requires high capital expenditure (CapEx) and has a long gestation period (5–8 years). Indian investors often shy away from this risk, leaving startups dependent on limited government grants (Chinthala (2024). [127]).
6	Infrastructure Bottlenecks for SMEs	While elite institutes like IITs have state-of-the-art equipment, Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) lack access to affordable characterization infrastructure (e.g., TEM, SEM, AFM). The high cost of owning or renting this machinery acts as a significant barrier to entry for smaller players (Rambaran, & Schirhagl (2022). [146]).
7	Shortage of Interdisciplinary Workforce	Nanotechnology requires a workforce skilled in converging disciplines (physics, chemistry, biology, and engineering). India faces a talent crunch where graduates often possess strong theoretical knowledge but lack the practical "hand-on" skills required for nanofabrication and characterization in an industrial setting (ASSOCHAM, 2014). [151]
8	Scalability Challenges (Lab-to-Fab)	Scaling up nanomaterial production from gram-scale (laboratory) to ton-scale (industrial) often results in a loss of quality or change in properties (e.g., agglomeration). Indian firms often struggle with the "process engineering" required to maintain the consistency of nanomaterials at high volumes (Cooper (2017). [152].
9	Absence of Standardized Metrology and Toxicity Data	There is a lack of certified reference materials and standardized toxicity testing protocols specific to Indian conditions. This uncertainty regarding the long-term environmental and health (EHS) impact of nanomaterials makes it difficult for companies to insure their products and assure liabilities (Malakar et al., 2021) [153].
10	Public Awareness and Market Penetration	There is low awareness among domestic consumers and B2B buyers regarding the benefits of nanotechnology. "Nanophobia" (fear of toxicity) or skepticism regarding the "value-add" of nano-products often leads to slow market adoption compared to conventional alternatives (Rathore & Mahesh, 2021) [154].

7.1.3 Opportunities for the Nanotechnology Industry in India:

Under the SWOC framework, "Opportunities" are external factors—arising from market trends, government policies, and global shifts—that the industry can exploit to achieve growth and competitive advantage.

Table 6: Opportunities of the Nanotechnology Industry in India

S. No.	Key Opportunities	Description
1	Expansion into Semiconductor Manufacturing (India Semiconductor Mission)	The \$10 billion India Semiconductor Mission (ISM) offers a massive opportunity for nanotechnology firms specializing in "nano-electronics." The mission's incentives for setting up fabrication units (fabs) create immediate demand for high-purity nanomaterials, photoresists, and MEMS (Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems) technology, positioning India as a global hub for chip manufacturing (Shapira & Youtie (2015). [131]; Patel et al. (2024). [133]).

2	Green Hydrogen Production (National Green Hydrogen Mission)	The government's target to produce 5 MMT of Green Hydrogen by 2030 relies heavily on advanced electrolyzers. This presents a lucrative opportunity for companies developing nanocatalysts (e.g., iridium or nickel-based nanoparticles) and nanofiber membranes, which significantly reduce the cost and improve the efficiency of hydrogen production (Khattoon & Velidandi (2025). [129]).
3	Diversification of Agri-Nanotech Products	Following the global success of IFFCO's Nano Urea, there is an untapped market for "Next-Gen" agricultural inputs. Opportunities exist to commercialize Nano-Zinc and Nano-Copper to combat widespread micronutrient deficiencies in Indian soil, as well as nano-pesticides that reduce chemical runoff, aligning with the government's push for sustainable agriculture (Jegan et al. (2025). [124]; Prasad et al., (2017). [155]).
4	Rise of "Green Synthesis" Manufacturing Hubs	India's rich biodiversity offers a unique opportunity to become the global capital for "Green Nanotechnology." By utilizing plant extracts (phyto-synthesis) instead of toxic chemicals to produce nanoparticles, Indian firms can cater to the environmentally conscious European and US markets, which are increasingly mandating "safe-by-design" materials (Aithal & Aithal (2021).[156]; Rizvi & Saleh, (2018). [132]).
5	Growth of the "Super-Generics" Market	As patents for complex drugs expire, India's pharmaceutical sector can leverage nanomedicine to create "value-added generics." Developing nano-formulations (e.g., liposomes, nanocrystals) of existing drugs allows companies to bypass direct competition, improve patient compliance, and command higher margins in export markets (Kshirsagar et al., (2025). [144]; Bernabeu et al. (2017). [115]).
6	Indigenization of Defense Technology (Atmanirbhar Bharat)	The push for self-reliance in defense (DRDO initiatives) creates a guaranteed domestic market for nanocomposites. There is a specific requirement for lightweight, high-strength carbon nanotube (CNT) composites for aircraft structures, ballistic armor, and radar-absorbing materials (stealth technology) that were previously imported (Singh et al. (2017). [96]).
7	Water Purification for Rural India (Jal Jeevan Mission)	The national mandate to provide piped water to every rural household offers a scale-up opportunity for low-cost nanotechnology solutions. Nano-filtration membranes and filters dealing with arsenic and fluoride contamination (prevalent in Indian groundwater) have a massive institutional buyer in the government (Ali Mansoori (2007). [157]).
8	Global Supply Chain Realignment ("China Plus One")	Geopolitical shifts are prompting global companies to diversify supply chains away from China. India is well-positioned to capture this manufacturing shift, particularly in the chemical and material sectors, providing an export avenue for Indian nanomaterial suppliers to enter Western markets (Khattoon & Velidandi (2025). [129]).
9	Energy Storage for Electric Vehicles (EVs)	With India's ambitious EV adoption targets, there is a critical need for localized battery production. This opens a market for anode materials enhanced with silicon nanowires or graphene, which improve battery range and charging speed—key differentiators for the EV industry (Mohammed et al. (2025). [105]).
10	Application in Technical Textiles	The Indian textile industry is moving toward "Technical Textiles." There is growing demand for nano-coatings that

		provide functional properties—such as anti-bacterial (silver nanoparticles), water-repellent (silica), and UV-blocking (zinc oxide) features—for use in medical and sportswear fabrics (Omietimi et al. (2023). [130]).
--	--	---

7.1.4 Challenges of the Nanotechnology Industry in India:

Under the SWOC framework, **Challenges** are external hurdles and complex barriers that the industry must navigate to sustain growth. Unlike "Weaknesses" (which are internal), Challenges often stem from the broader ecosystem, regulatory environment, and societal landscape.

Table 7: Challenges of the Nanotechnology Industry in India

S. No.	Key Challenges	Description
1	Regulatory Vacuum and Uncertainty	The most significant challenge is the absence of a consolidated "Nano-Law." Unlike the EU's REACH regulations, India lacks a specific statutory framework for nanomaterials. The regulatory authority is fragmented across multiple bodies (e.g., CIBRC for agrochemicals, CDSCO for pharmaceuticals), leading to ambiguous approval pathways that delay product launches and deter foreign investment (Bhatia & Chugh (2016). [148]).
2	The "Valley of Death" in Funding	Deep-tech startups face a severe funding crunch between the "seed" stage and "commercial scale-up," known as the "Valley of Death." Indian Venture Capitalists (VCs) generally favor asset-light software models over capital-intensive nanotechnology hardware, which requires 5–8 years for ROI. This forces many startups to survive solely on limited government grants, restricting their ability to mass-produce (Chinthala (2024). [127]).
3	Nanotoxicity and EHS Risks	There is a global and national challenge regarding the Environmental, Health, and Safety (EHS) risks of nanomaterials. The unique size of nanoparticles allows them to cross biological barriers (like the blood-brain barrier), raising concerns about long-term toxicity. The lack of standardized toxicity testing protocols in India exposes companies to potential future litigation and liability claims (Malakar et al., (2021) [153]; Warheit, 2018) [158].
4	Intellectual Property (IP) Complexities	The multi-disciplinary nature of nanotechnology creates complex patent landscapes. Indian firms often face "patent thickets"—overlapping patent claims by global giants that make it difficult to operate without infringement. Furthermore, the Indian Patent Office lacks a dedicated examining group for nanotechnology, leading to inconsistent granting of patent claims (Jain (2011). [149]; Sharma et al. (2023). [121]).
5	High Cost of Imported Infrastructure	The industry is heavily dependent on imported characterization equipment (e.g., Transmission Electron Microscopes, Atomic Force Microscopes), which attracts high customs duties. This high capital expenditure (CapEx) raises the entry barrier for SMEs and forces them to rely on overbooked public facilities at IITs or CSIR labs, slowing down R&D cycles (Rambaran & Schirhagl (2022). [126]).
6	Skilled Workforce Deficit	While India produces many engineers, there is a shortage of "industry-ready" nanotechnologists who understand both the physics of materials and industrial manufacturing processes. The curriculum in many universities is theoretical, leaving a gap in practical skills required for operating complex

		nanofabrication foundries (ASSOCHAM, (2014) [151]; Singh (2016). [159]).
7	Scalability and Process Standardization	Scaling up from "beaker to batch" is a major technical challenge. Nanomaterials often lose their unique properties (e.g., agglomerate or oxidize) when produced in bulk. Achieving batch-to-batch consistency required for industrial clients (like Intel or Pfizer) is difficult without advanced process control automation, which is currently lacking in many Indian manufacturing units (Cooper (2017). [152]).
8	Public Perception and "Nano-Phobia"	There is a latent challenge of public skepticism, often termed "Nano-phobia," driven by misinformation about the safety of nanoparticles in food and cosmetics. Negative media coverage regarding the potential health risks of "unknown" particles can lead to consumer backlash, similar to the resistance faced by GMO crops in India (Rathore & Mahesh, 2021). [154]).
9	Global Competition and Trade Barriers	Indian exporters face stringent non-tariff trade barriers in developed markets. The EU and USA have strict "Safety-by-Design" mandates and require extensive data on nanomaterial characterization (e.g., zeta potential, surface area). Meeting these compliance standards adds significant compliance costs, making Indian products less price-competitive globally (Foladori (2006). [160]).
10	Ethical, Legal, and Social Implications (ELSI)	The rise of nanotechnology surveillance (nano-sensors) and unequal access to nanomedicine raises ethical concerns about the "Nano-Divide"—where the benefits of the technology are accessible only to the wealthy, while the environmental risks are borne by the manufacturing workforce. Addressing these ELSI concerns is crucial to maintaining the industry's "social license to operate" (Bhattacharya & Shilpa (2011). [161]).

7.2 ABCD Analysis of Nanotechnology Industry Products in India from Stakeholders' Perspectives:

The **ABCD analysis** framework is a quantitative and qualitative analytical tool used to evaluate a business model, strategy, concept, system, or industry by examining its **Advantages, Benefits, Constraints, and Disadvantages** from the diverse perspectives of its key stakeholders (Aithal, 2016 [162]; Aithal, 2017 [163]). Unlike traditional SWOT analysis, which focuses broadly on internal strengths/weaknesses and external opportunities/threats, ABCD analysis deeply investigates the specific determinant issues affecting an industry—such as organizational structure, operational efficiency, and technological integration—identifying critical constituent elements for each stakeholder group, including customers, employees, investors, and the community (Aithal et al., 2015 [164]; Radha & Aithal, 2024 [165]). Through this framework, researchers and practitioners can systematically list and weigh the advantages (internal favorable factors) and benefits (external utility) against the constraints (internal limitations) and disadvantages (external negative impacts) to derive a holistic understanding of an industry's potential for sustainable growth and stakeholder value creation (Aithal et al. (2016). [166]; Aithal et al. (2016). [167]).

7.2.1 Advantages of Nanotechnology Industry Products in India from stakeholders' perspectives:

Under the ABCD analysis framework, the Advantages of the Nanotechnology industry in India are evaluated by identifying the internal favorable factors that support various stakeholders, including farmers, patients, industrial investors, and the government. These advantages drive the adoption and growth of nanotechnology across key sectors such as agriculture, healthcare, energy, and textiles, providing tangible value and strategic superiority over conventional technologies (Aithal (2016). [162]).

Table 8: Advantages of the Nanotechnology Industry Products in India from stakeholders' perspectives

S. No.	Key Advantages	Description
1	Enhanced Crop Productivity (Stakeholder: Farmers)	Nanotechnology-based fertilizers (nano-fertilizers) significantly increase crop yield by ensuring the targeted and slow release of nutrients, thereby reducing the quantity of fertilizers required compared to conventional methods.
2	Precision Pest and Disease Management (Stakeholders: Farmers & Agricultural Industry)	The use of nano-pesticides and nano-biosensors allows for the early detection of plant diseases and the precise application of agrochemicals. This advantage minimizes input costs for farmers and reduces environmental toxicity, supporting sustainable agricultural practices.
3	Targeted Drug Delivery Systems (Stakeholder: Patients)	In the pharmaceutical sector, nanomedicine offers the advantage of targeted drug delivery, which enhances the bioavailability of therapeutic agents and reduces systemic side effects for patients, particularly in cancer treatment and chronic disease management.
4	Revitalization of Traditional Systems of Medicine (Stakeholders: Practitioners & Public)	Nanotechnology provides a scientific platform to validate and standardize Ayurveda and other Indian traditional medicines (Bhasmas). This advantage improves the safety, efficacy, and global acceptance of indigenous medical products.
5	Improved Solar Energy Efficiency (Stakeholders: Energy Sector & Consumers)	The incorporation of nanomaterials (such as quantum dots and carbon nanotubes) into photovoltaic cells significantly enhances their light-absorption efficiency and durability, offering a cost-effective advantage for renewable energy generation in India.
6	Advanced Water Purification Capabilities (Stakeholders: Society & Government)	Nanotechnology-based filtration systems (utilizing carbon nanotubes and nano-adsorbents) offer the advantage of effectively removing heavy metals, organic pollutants, and pathogens from water, addressing India's critical need for clean drinking water.
7	Extension of Food Shelf-Life (Stakeholders: Food Industry & Consumers)	Nano-packaging solutions, which include antimicrobial and oxygen-scavenging nanoparticles, provide the advantage of significantly extending the shelf-life of food products, thereby reducing food waste and improving supply chain efficiency.
8	Development of Functional Smart Textiles (Stakeholders: Textile Industry & Consumers)	The application of nanotechnology in textiles creates fabrics with multifunctional advantages such as water repellence, UV protection, and antibacterial properties, boosting the competitiveness of the Indian textile industry in global markets.
9	Efficient Energy Storage Solutions (Stakeholders: Tech Industry & Auto Sector)	Nanomaterials enable the production of high-performance batteries and supercapacitors with higher energy density and faster charging capabilities, which is a key advantage for the growing electric vehicle (EV) market in India.
10	Pollution Remediation and Environmental Safety (Stakeholders: Government & Community)	Nanoremediation technologies offer the advantage of breaking down hazardous environmental contaminants in soil and air more effectively than traditional methods, supporting national environmental safety goals.

7.2.2 Benefits of Nanotechnology Industry Products in India from stakeholders' perspectives:

Under the ABCD analysis framework, the **Benefits** of the Nanotechnology industry in India represent the external utility and value derived by various stakeholders from the inherent advantages of nano-

products. While advantages are the product-centric features (like high surface area or target specificity), benefits are the tangible positive outcomes—such as economic gains, improved health standards, and environmental sustainability—experienced by society, industry, and the government (Aithal (2016). [162]; Aithal & Aithal (2016). [168]).

Table 9: Benefits of the Nanotechnology Industry Products in India from stakeholders' perspectives

S. No.	Key Benefits	Description
1	Increased Agricultural Income and Food Security (Stakeholders: Farmers & Society)	The application of nano-fertilizers and nano-pesticides directly benefits farmers by reducing the cost of cultivation through lower input requirements and minimizing crop losses from pests. For society, this translates to enhanced national food security and reduced food inflation.
2	Affordable and Accessible Healthcare Solutions (Stakeholders: Patients & Public Health Systems)	Nanotechnology lowers the cost of complex treatments (like oncology) through targeted drug delivery systems that require lower dosages of expensive active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs). This makes high-quality healthcare more affordable and accessible to the Indian population.
3	Sustainable Access to Clean Drinking Water (Stakeholders: Rural Communities & Government)	Nano-filtration and nano-adsorbent technologies provide a low-cost, electricity-free benefit for removing arsenic, fluoride, and pathogens from groundwater. This significantly improves public health outcomes in rural India, reducing the burden of waterborne diseases.
4	Energy Independence through Efficiency (Stakeholders: Government & Energy Consumers)	The use of nanomaterials in solar cells and energy storage enhances the efficiency of renewable energy harvesting. This benefit supports India's strategic goal of energy independence by reducing reliance on imported fossil fuels and lowering electricity costs for consumers.
5	Reduction in Environmental Pollution (Stakeholders: Society & Future Generations)	Green nanotechnology offers the benefit of "pollution prevention" at the source and "remediation" of existing waste. By replacing toxic industrial catalysts with nano-catalysts, industries can significantly lower their carbon footprint and hazardous waste generation.
6	Global Competitiveness of Indian Textiles (Stakeholders: Textile Industry & Exporters)	By integrating nanofibers to create stain-resistant, anti-bacterial, and UV-protective fabrics, the Indian textile industry gains a high-value competitive edge in the global market, moving beyond low-cost manufacturing to value-added export benefits.
7	Reduction of Food Waste (Stakeholders: Food Processing Industry & Consumers)	Smart nano-packaging that detects spoilage and extends shelf life provides a critical economic benefit by drastically reducing food waste across the supply chain. This increases profitability for retailers and ensures safer food for consumers.
8	Creation of High-Value Knowledge Jobs (Stakeholders: Job Seekers & Academia)	The growth of the nanotechnology sector creates a demand for a highly skilled workforce, offering the benefit of quality employment opportunities in R&D, manufacturing, and quality control, thereby retaining talent within India.
9	Enhanced Durability of Infrastructure (Stakeholders: Construction Industry & Taxpayers)	The inclusion of nano-silica and carbon nanotubes in concrete and steel improves the longevity and crack resistance of roads, bridges, and buildings. This provides a long-term economic benefit to taxpayers by reducing maintenance and infrastructure replacement costs.
10	Industrial Waste Valorization (Stakeholders:	Nanotechnology enables the conversion of industrial and agricultural waste into value-added nanomaterials (e.g., producing carbon nanostructures from rice husk ash). This

	Manufacturing Industry & Environment)	"waste-to-wealth" benefit creates new revenue streams for industries while addressing disposal challenges.
--	--	--

7.2.3 Constraints of Nanotechnology Industry Products in India from Stakeholders' Perspectives:

Under the ABCD analysis framework, the **Constraints** of the Nanotechnology industry in India highlight the internal limitations and bottlenecks—such as regulatory gaps, high capital requirements, and safety uncertainties—that hinder the full-scale development and commercialization of nano-products for stakeholders like investors, researchers, and policymakers (Aithal & Aithal (2016). [168]).

Table 10: Constraints of the Nanotechnology Industry Products in India from stakeholders' perspectives

S. No.	Key Constraints	Description
1	High Capital Investment and Infrastructure Costs (Stakeholders: Investors & Startups)	The development of nanotechnology products requires sophisticated instrumentation (e.g., electron microscopes, clean rooms) and high initial capital investment. This creates a significant financial constraint for startups and small-scale industries (SSIs) in India, limiting their entry into the market.
2	Lack of Comprehensive Regulatory Framework (Stakeholders: Government & Industry)	India currently lacks a dedicated, specific legislation solely for nanotechnology. The reliance on existing acts (like the Drugs and Cosmetics Act) creates regulatory uncertainty regarding the classification, approval, and standardization of nano-products, which delays commercialization.
3	Potential Toxicity and Health Hazards (Stakeholders: Consumers & Healthcare Providers)	The unique physicochemical properties of nanoparticles (e.g., small size, high reactivity) can lead to unknown toxicological effects, such as cytotoxicity or genotoxicity. The constraint of insufficient long-term safety data makes stakeholders hesitant to fully adopt nano-medicine and food products.
4	Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) Complexity (Stakeholders: Researchers & Legal Experts)	Patenting nanotechnology involves navigating complex "patent thickets" and overlapping claims due to the interdisciplinary nature of the field. This constraint makes it difficult for Indian innovators to secure clear freedom-to-operate, stifling innovation and investment.
5	Shortage of Skilled Interdisciplinary Workforce (Stakeholders: Academia & Industry)	Nanotechnology requires a workforce proficient in physics, chemistry, biology, and engineering. There is a significant constraint in the availability of such highly trained interdisciplinary professionals in India, creating a skills gap that hampers R&D progress.
6	Scalability and "Valley of Death" (Stakeholders: Manufacturers & Investors)	Many nano-products succeed in the laboratory but fail during the transition to industrial-scale manufacturing due to technical difficulties in maintaining product consistency and quality at high volumes. This "Valley of Death" is a critical constraint for commercial viability.
7	Environmental Bioaccumulation Risks (Stakeholders: Environmentalists & Community)	There is a constraint regarding the lack of effective methods to monitor and control the release of engineered nanomaterials into the environment. The potential for these particles to bioaccumulate in the food chain poses a long-term ecological risk that is currently difficult to mitigate.
8	Ethical Concerns and Public Perception (Stakeholders: Society & Policymakers)	Public perception is often swayed by the "hype" versus the reality of nanotechnology, alongside ethical concerns about "playing God" or creating self-replicating particles (grey goo). This social constraint can lead to resistance against new nanotechnological applications.

9	Standardization and Metrology Gaps (Stakeholders: Regulatory Bodies & Quality Control)	The absence of universally accepted international standards for the characterization and testing of nanomaterials (metrology) acts as a constraint. Without standardized protocols, it is difficult for Indian products to meet global quality and safety benchmarks.
10	Limited Industry-Academia Collaboration (Stakeholders: R&D Institutions & Corporations)	In India, there is a disconnect between academic research and industrial application. The constraint of weak technology transfer mechanisms means that significant scholarly research remains in university labs without being translated into marketable products.

7.2.4 Disadvantages of the Nanotechnology Industry Products in India from Stakeholders' Perspectives:

Under the ABCD analysis framework, the **Disadvantages** of the Nanotechnology industry in India represent the external negative impacts, risks, and harmful consequences experienced by stakeholders. Unlike constraints (which are internal bottlenecks like lack of funds), disadvantages are the detrimental outcomes of the technology's application, such as environmental degradation, health hazards, and socio-economic disparities that affect the community, consumers, and the ecosystem (Aithal (2016). [162]; Aithal & Aithal (2016). [168]).

Table 11: Disadvantages of the Nanotechnology Industry Products in India from stakeholders' perspectives

S. No.	Key Disadvantages	Description
1	Human Health Toxicity and Cytotoxicity (Stakeholders: Patients & Workers)	A major disadvantage is the potential for nanoparticles (due to their minute size) to cross biological barriers like the blood-brain barrier and placenta. This can lead to cytotoxicity (cell damage), oxidative stress, and DNA damage in patients and industrial workers, often with unknown long-term consequences.
2	Environmental Bioaccumulation and "Nano-Pollution" (Stakeholders: Ecosystem & Society)	Nanoparticles released into the environment can accumulate in soil and water, entering the food chain (bioaccumulation). This "nano-pollution" poses a severe disadvantage as it is difficult to detect and clean up, potentially harming aquatic life and agriculture permanently.
3	High Cost of End-Products for Consumers (Stakeholders: Low-Income Groups)	While nanotechnology improves product performance, the sophisticated manufacturing processes often result in significantly higher prices for end-products (e.g., nanomedicines or specialized nano-textiles). This creates an economic disadvantage, making advanced healthcare and goods inaccessible to India's poorer population.
4	Disruption of Traditional Labor Markets (Stakeholders: Unskilled Laborers)	The automation and efficiency introduced by nanotechnology manufacturing can render traditional, labor-intensive methods obsolete. This poses a disadvantage of job displacement for unskilled laborers in sectors like textiles and agriculture, exacerbating unemployment risks.
5	The "Nano-Divide" and Social Inequality (Stakeholders: Society)	There is a risk of creating a "Nano-Divide," where the benefits of the technology (e.g., anti-aging creams, advanced electronics) are enjoyed exclusively by the affluent, while the environmental and health risks are disproportionately borne by the working class living near manufacturing hubs.
6	Lack of Recyclability and Waste Management Issues	Nano-composite materials are often difficult to separate and recycle using current waste management infrastructure. This creates a disadvantage for municipalities already struggling

	(Stakeholders: Municipalities)	with waste, as these materials may end up in landfills, leaching toxins into the groundwater.
7	Phytotoxicity and Soil Health Deterioration (Stakeholders: Farmers)	While nano-fertilizers promote growth, the excessive or improper use of metal oxide nanoparticles (like Zinc Oxide or Titanium Dioxide) can be toxic to beneficial soil microbes (nitrogen-fixing bacteria), leading to a long-term disadvantage of reduced soil fertility and crop health.
8	Privacy and Surveillance Concerns (Stakeholders: Citizens)	The development of nano-sensors and "smart dust" capable of undetectable surveillance introduces a significant ethical disadvantage. These technologies could be misused to infringe on the privacy of citizens, leading to potential social unrest and loss of civil liberties.
9	Risk of "Grey Goo" and Uncontrolled Replication (Stakeholders: Humanity)	Although currently theoretical, the "Grey Goo" scenario—where self-replicating nanobots consume all biomass—remains a feared disadvantage in public perception. This existential risk, however small, fuels resistance and fear among stakeholders regarding unregulated nanotech development.
10	Dependence on Imported Technology (Stakeholders: Domestic Industry)	Currently, the Indian nanotechnology sector relies heavily on imported raw nanomaterials and characterization equipment. This economic disadvantage increases the trade deficit and leaves the domestic industry vulnerable to global supply chain disruptions and price volatility.

7.3 Porter's Five Forces Analysis as a Competitive Analysis for Nanotechnology Industry:

7.3.1 Porter's Five Forces Analysis as a Competitive Analysis:

Porter's Five Forces analysis is a foundational framework for assessing the competitive intensity and overall industry attractiveness, positing that five key forces—the threat of new entrants, the bargaining power of suppliers, the bargaining power of buyers, the threat of substitute products or services, and the rivalry among existing competitors—collectively determine the profit potential within an industry (Porter (2008). [169]; Grundy (2006). [170]). By systematically evaluating each force, strategists can diagnose an industry's structure, anticipate shifts in competition, and identify strategic positions that leverage or defend against these pressures to achieve above-average returns (Dälken (2014). [171]). The model's enduring relevance lies in its structured approach to moving beyond direct competitors to analyze the broader competitive ecosystem, though scholars have critiqued its static nature in dynamic, innovation-driven markets and suggested integrating it with other analytical tools like the resource-based view or PESTEL analysis for a more comprehensive strategic assessment (Grundy (2006). [170]; Tanwar (2013). [172]). Ultimately, it provides a vital lens for understanding the fundamental drivers of industry competition and profitability, forming a critical component of strategic management education and practice (Porter (2008). [169]; Lee et al. (2012). [173]).

7.3.2 Competitive Analysis of the Nanotechnology Industry in India using Porter's Five Forces:

Porter's Five Forces framework provides a strategic lens to evaluate the competitive intensity and attractiveness of the nanotechnology industry in India. This analysis highlights how external forces—ranging from supplier dominance to substitute threats—impact the profitability and sustainability of Indian nanotech firms (Aithal (2016). [162]). By examining these forces, stakeholders can understand the "Valley of Death" in commercialization and the struggle for market share against established conventional technologies (Aithal & Aithal (2016). [73]).

(1) Threat of New Entrants: Low to Medium:

The threat of new entrants into the Indian nanotechnology sector is currently **low to medium** due to significant entry barriers.

- **High Capital & Infrastructure:** Establishing nanotech production requires immense capital for clean rooms, characterization tools (SEM/TEM), and specialized safety equipment, which deters small players (Aithal & Aithal (2016). [75]).
- **Technological Complexity:** The industry demands highly specialized interdisciplinary knowledge. The "Valley of Death"—the gap between laboratory prototypes and industrial-scale

manufacturing—acts as a formidable barrier for academic spinoffs and startups trying to enter the commercial market (Aithal & Aithal (2016). [80]).

- **Regulatory Hurdles:** The lack of a unified regulatory framework and the complexity of patenting nanotech innovations (patent thickets) create legal uncertainties that discourage new entrants (Barpujari (2010). [174]).

(2) Bargaining Power of Suppliers: High:

The bargaining power of suppliers in the Indian context is **high**.

- **Import Dependence:** Indian firms rely heavily on imported high-grade nanomaterials (like specific carbon nanotubes or gold nanoparticles) and sophisticated characterization instrumentation from countries like the US, Japan, and Germany. This dependence gives foreign suppliers significant control over pricing and supply chains (Aithal & Aithal (2016). [80]).
- **Scarcity of Specialized Raw Materials:** The suppliers of precursor chemicals and rare earth elements essential for nanomaterial synthesis are limited in number, allowing them to dictate terms to Indian manufacturers (Rahman et al. (2017). [175]).

(3) Bargaining Power of Buyers: High:

The bargaining power of buyers (industries like pharma, agriculture, and textiles) is **high**.

- **Price Sensitivity:** In cost-sensitive markets like Indian agriculture, farmers and agro-companies prefer cheaper conventional fertilizers over expensive nano-fertilizers unless the value proposition (yield increase) is drastically proven (Kumar et al. (2022). [176]).
- **Safety & Trust:** Buyers in the healthcare and food sectors demand rigorous long-term safety data due to toxicity concerns. The hesitation to adopt "unknown" nano-products gives buyers the leverage to demand lower prices or higher quality assurances (Agarwal et al. (2013). [177]).

(4) Threat of Substitute Products: High:

The threat of substitutes is **high**, posing the most significant challenge to the industry.

- **Dominance of Conventional Tech:** Nanotech products compete directly with well-established, cheaper, and trusted conventional products (e.g., standard urea vs. nano-urea; cotton vs. nano-coated fabrics). Unless the nano-product offers a revolutionary performance leap, consumers stick to the "safe" substitute (Aithal (2016). [162]).
- **Green Alternatives:** Increasing preference for organic and completely natural products (in food and cosmetics) can act as a substitute threat to engineered nanomaterials, which are sometimes perceived as synthetic or unsafe (Aithal & Aithal (2016). [80]).

(5) Rivalry Among Existing Competitors: Medium:

The intensity of rivalry is **medium** but growing.

- **Fragmented Market:** The Indian market is fragmented, consisting of numerous government-funded research labs, small startups, and a few large corporations (like Tata Chemicals in nanomaterials). Competition is currently driven more by R&D capability than by price wars (Aithal & Aithal (2016). [80]).
- **Global Competition:** Indian firms face stiff competition from global nanotech giants who enter the market with deeper pockets, established brand trust, and superior scaling technologies, intensifying the rivalry for market share in high-value sectors like electronics and pharma (Aithal (2016). [162]).

7.4 PESTLE Analysis of Nanotechnology Industry in India:

7.4.1 About PESTLE analysis as an external analysis of an Industry:

PESTLE analysis is a structured external (macro-environment) industry analysis tool that helps researchers and strategists systematically scan the Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Legal, and Environmental forces shaping an industry's opportunities, threats, and long-run attractiveness—often before moving into competitive/industry-structure tools such as Porter-type analyses. By organizing external signals into these six lenses, PESTLE supports clearer assumptions about policy direction, demand shifts, technological disruption, regulatory risk, and sustainability constraints, thereby strengthening scenario building and strategy choice at the industry level (Hysa & Mularczyk (2024). [178]; Walsh et al. (2019). [179]). Empirical applications across sectors show that PESTLE can reveal how government policy and regulation create enabling or constraining conditions, how economic and social drivers reshape adoption and market growth, and how technological and environmental pressures alter value chains and investment priorities (de Sousa & Castañeda-Ayarza, 2022 [180]; Patel

& Sinha (2024) [181]). It is especially useful in turbulent contexts where industries face discontinuities—such as pandemic shocks, policy reforms, or sustainability transitions—because it encourages disciplined, evidence-based interpretation of external change rather than ad-hoc intuition (Pinar, 2023). [182]). In practice, PESTLE also functions as a diagnostic checklist for risk mapping and strategic decision-making (e.g., identifying external barriers, regulatory dependencies, and innovation constraints) when evaluating industry feasibility, market entry, or transformation initiatives (Olayiwola et al. (2025). [183]).

7.4.2 PESTLE Analysis of the Nanotechnology Industry in India:

The PESTLE analysis framework evaluates the external macro-environmental factors—Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Legal, and Environmental—that impact the strategic development and sustainability of the nanotechnology industry in India. This analysis reveals that while the government acts as a primary catalyst through funding and initiatives, significant bottlenecks remain in the legal and environmental domains, particularly regarding regulation and toxicity management (Aithal & Aithal (2016). [80]).

(1) Political Factors:

- **Government Initiatives & Funding:** The Government of India is the primary driver of the industry through the "Nano Mission" (launched in 2007), which funds R&D, infrastructure development, and human resource training. This strong political will is crucial for sustaining the sector in its nascent stages (Aithal & Aithal (2016). [80]).
- **Strategic Defense & Security:** Nanotechnology is viewed as a strategic asset for national defense (e.g., lightweight armor, sensors). Consequently, political support is high for dual-use technologies that serve both civilian and military applications, aligning with the "Make in India" initiative (Barpujari (2010). [174])

(2) Economic Factors:

- **High Initial Investment & "Valley of Death":** The industry faces a critical economic challenge known as the "Valley of Death"—the gap between government-funded academic research and commercially viable product manufacturing. Private venture capital is often risk-averse due to the high capital expenditure (CAPEX) required for nanotech infrastructure (Aithal (2016). [162]).
- **Market Potential & Cost-Effectiveness:** Despite high initial costs, nano-products (like nano-fertilizers) offer long-term economic benefits to end-users (e.g., farmers) through reduced input costs and higher efficiency, creating a massive potential market in India's agrarian economy (Kumar et al. (2022). [176]).

(3) Social Factors:

- **Public Perception & "Nano-Divide":** There is a growing social concern regarding the "Nano-Divide," where the benefits of advanced nanomedicine and electronics might be accessible only to the wealthy, exacerbating social inequalities. Additionally, public fear of "unknown" health risks can hinder the acceptance of nano-foods (Rathore & Mahesh (2021). [154]).
- **Skill Gap:** A significant social constraint is the shortage of a skilled interdisciplinary workforce. The education system produces many graduates, but few possess the specialized training required for high-end nanotechnology manufacturing and R&D (Aithal & Aithal (2016). [80]).

(4) Technological Factors:

- **Infrastructure Development:** India has established Centers of Excellence (CoEs) at premier institutes (IITs, IISc) to foster technological innovation. However, the access to sophisticated characterization tools (TEM, SEM, AFM) remains limited for smaller industry players (Sahoo et al. (2007). [184]).
- **Interdisciplinary Complexity:** The technology requires the convergence of physics, chemistry, and biology. The challenge lies in scaling up complex lab-scale synthesis methods to industrial-level production without losing the unique physicochemical properties of nanomaterials (Aithal (2016). [162]).

(5) Legal Factors:

- **Lack of Specific Regulation:** India currently operates without a dedicated "Nanotechnology Act." Regulatory oversight relies on existing frameworks like the Drugs and Cosmetics Act or

the Insecticides Act, which are often inadequate for addressing the unique risks of nano-materials (Barpujari (2010). [174]).

- **Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) Issues:** The industry faces "patent thickets"—overlapping patent claims that make it difficult for Indian innovators to secure Freedom to Operate (FTO). This legal ambiguity stifles innovation and delays commercialization (Barpujari (2010). [174]).

(6) Environmental Factors:

- **Toxicity & Bioaccumulation Risks:** The potential for nanoparticles to accumulate in the environment (soil and water) and enter the food chain poses a severe environmental threat. The lack of standardized disposal protocols for "nano-waste" is a major concern (Agarwal et al. (2013). [177]).
- **Green Nanotechnology:** On the positive side, the industry is increasingly adopting "Green Nanotechnology" for environmental remediation, such as using nanomaterials for wastewater treatment and removing heavy metals, which aligns with national sustainability goals (Walsh K., et al. (2019). [179]).

8. IMPACT ANALYSIS OF THE NANOTECHNOLOGY INDUSTRY :

The impact of the nanotechnology industry in India is analyzed by evaluating how it addresses the Basic Problems (survival needs), Comforts (wants for easier living), and Aspirations (dreamy desires for future possibilities) across four distinct stakeholder levels: Individuals, Communities, Society, and Humanity.

(1) Impact on Individuals:

- **Basic Problems (Needs):** Nanotechnology directly addresses critical individual needs through affordable healthcare and nutrition. Innovations like nano-iron fortified salt and nutrient delivery systems help combat malnutrition and anemia, which are prevalent among Indian individuals (Sastry et al. (2011). [185]).
- **Comfort (Wants):** It enhances daily comfort through advanced consumer electronics and textiles. Stain-resistant and wrinkle-free fabrics (using silver or silica nanoparticles) and faster, more durable smartphones reduce maintenance efforts and improve the quality of daily life for the urban consumer (Aithal & Aithal (2016). [80]).
- **Aspirations (Dreams):** At an aspirational level, individuals desire longevity and perfect health. Nanorobots for non-invasive surgery and targeted cancer therapies (nanomedicine) fuel the dream of significantly extending human life span and achieving "biological immortality" (Aithal & Aithal (2018). [186]).

(2) Impact on Communities:

- **Basic Problems (Needs):** For rural communities, the most profound impact is on clean water availability. Low-cost nano-filtration devices (employing carbon nanotubes or silver nanoparticles) provide arsenic- and fluoride-free water to communities in affected states like West Bengal and Karnataka, solving a fundamental survival crisis (Walsh et al. (2019). [179]).
- **Comfort (Wants):** Communities benefit from smart infrastructure. Nanomaterials in construction (e.g., nano-silica in concrete) lead to more durable roads and buildings that require less repair, offering a comfortable and hassle-free living environment for residential colonies (Aithal & Aithal (2016). [80]).
- **Aspirations (Dreams):** Communities aspire for energy independence. The widespread adoption of highly efficient nano-solar cells allows off-grid communities to dream of being self-sufficient power producers, free from the constraints of central grid failures (Hussein, (2015). [187]).

(3) Impact on Society:

- **Basic Problems (Needs):** The industry addresses the societal need for **food security**. Nano-fertilizers (like IFFCO's Nano Urea) enhance crop yields without degrading soil quality, ensuring the nation can feed its growing population sustainably (Kumar et al. (2022). [176]).
- **Comfort (Wants):** Society gains comfort through environmental sustainability. Green nanotechnology offers efficient waste management and pollution control solutions, creating a cleaner, more pleasant environment for the collective society to thrive in (Walsh et al. (2019). [179]).

- **Aspirations (Dreams):** Indian society aspires to be a global scientific superpower. Success in high-tech nano-sectors (like defense and space) fulfills the collective desire for national prestige and technological dominance on the world stage (Barpujari, 2010). [174]).

(4) Impact on Humanity:

- **Basic Problems (Needs):** On a global scale, Indian nanotechnology contributes to solving **pandemic threats**. Rapid, nano-based diagnostic kits and vaccine delivery platforms developed in India serve the basic human need for protection against global infectious diseases (Aithal & Aithal, 2018). [186]).
- **Comfort (Wants):** Humanity benefits from the universalization of knowledge and connectivity. Faster, smaller, and more powerful nano-chips enable the global internet infrastructure that makes instant communication and information access a standard comfort for the human race (Aithal & Aithal (2016). [80]).
- **Aspirations (Dreams):** The ultimate aspiration for humanity is interplanetary colonization. Indian research into lightweight carbon nanotube composites for spacecraft and nano-sensors contributes to the global dream of making space travel feasible and safe for future generations (Rani et al. (2025). [188]).

9. COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE OF FIVE TOP NANOTECHNOLOGY COMPANIES IN INDIA :

9.1 Comparative Performance of Five Top Nanotechnology Companies in India:

Because “nanotechnology” cuts across sectors (materials, coatings, pharma, energy, water), a practical way to compare *top Indian nanotechnology companies* is to combine (a) **commercial scale** (revenue/EBITDA for large listed firms) with (b) **nanotech depth** (nanomaterials/IP/products) and (c) **scalability + governance readiness** (safety/regulation and public-private ecosystem). This aligns with India’s largely government-steered nanotech trajectory and the well-known commercialization and risk-governance gaps highlighted in nanotechnology policy literature (Beumer & Bhattacharya, 2013 [15]; Beumer, 2018 [189]), while also acknowledging that sustainable impact demands application areas such as water, agriculture/food, and climate solutions (Nagar & Pradeep, 2020 [190]; Amenta et al., 2015 [191]; Chausali et al., 2023 [192]) alongside active policy support (Khatoon & Velidandi, 2025 [29]).

(1) Tata Chemicals (nanomaterials + industrial scale):

Commercial performance: Tata Chemicals reports **₹14,252 crore** revenue from operations and **₹1,463 crore EBITDA** (FY 2024–25).

Nanotech strength: Strong fit in **advanced materials/nanomaterials** (e.g., nano-enabled chemistries and materials supply chains), giving it scale advantages in manufacturing, QA/QC, and B2B adoption.

Competitive edge: Scale + process capability makes Tata Chemicals strong in *cost-down and supply reliability*—critical for mass deployment and export.

Constraint: Like many incumbents, the nanotech “value capture” depends on converting lab-grade materials into high-volume, specification-stable products (a key commercialization friction noted in India’s nanotech development narrative) (Beumer & Bhattacharya, 2013 [15]).

(2) Asian Paints (nano-coatings + mass consumer interface):

Commercial performance (derived from segment results): FY 2024–25 segment revenues reported as **Decorative India ₹29,545.3 crore**, **International ₹3,066.4 crore**, **Industrial ₹1,185.7 crore** (≈ **₹33,797.4 crore** total from these segments).

Nanotech strength: Nano- and advanced coatings are a natural fit (functional surfaces: anti-microbial, anti-fouling, scratch resistance, UV/thermal performance).

Competitive edge: A massive installed base + dealer network accelerates diffusion of *nano-enabled comfort* (low-odor, stain resistance, durability) and can translate nanotech into “everyday experience” at scale—important for equitable benefit distribution (Beumer & Bhattacharya, 2013 [15]).

Constraint: Governance expectations rise with consumer exposure—nano-enabled consumer products face increasing scrutiny on safety, labeling, and lifecycle impacts (Amenta et al., 2015 [192]; Beumer, 2018 [190]).

(3) Dr. Reddy’s Laboratories (nanomedicine + global pharma execution):

Commercial performance: For FY 2024–25, Dr. Reddy’s reports **Revenue from Operations ₹28,491 crore** and **EBITDA ₹8,342 crore**.

Nanotech strength: Pharma is one of the most economically valuable application areas for nanotechnology—especially in **targeted delivery, solubility enhancement, and controlled release**. Clean regulatory pathways and global quality systems provide an advantage.

Competitive edge: High-margin innovation potential, strong compliance capability, and global market access—important for translating nanotech R&D into products rather than “publication-only” outcomes (Beumer & Bhattacharya, 2013 [15]).

Constraint: Nanomedicine scale-up and approvals require rigorous safety evidence; broader nano risk governance remains context-dependent and may shift with evolving standards (Beumer, 2018 [190]).

(4) Log9 Materials (graphene/advanced nanomaterials for energy storage):

Commercial performance proxy: As a deep-tech scale-up, Log9’s “performance” is best reflected through **commercial milestones + funding + deployments**, rather than public financials. Tracxn profiles it as a battery/advanced materials company with multi-round backing.

Nanotech strength: Nanomaterials (e.g., graphene-linked or nano-structured electrodes) can materially improve **charging speed, power density, and cycle performance**—key levers for India’s EV and grid-storage goals.

Competitive edge: Speed of iteration and application-driven R&D; can target India-specific constraints (heat, duty cycles, charging infrastructure).

Constraint: Scale economics and supply-chain stabilization are hard; climate/energy promise is strong, but long-run sustainability depends on responsible materials sourcing, end-of-life handling, and standards (Chausali et al., 2023 [193]).

(5) NoPo Nanotechnologies (graphene producer + materials platform):

Commercial performance proxy: NoPo is tracked as a graphene/nanomaterials company; its public footprint includes product positioning and ecosystem visibility.

Nanotech strength: Focus on **graphene** and related nanomaterials (enabling composites, thermal interfaces, conductive inks, membranes, etc.).

Competitive edge: Materials-platform startups can become critical suppliers to multiple industries (electronics, energy, coatings, water). This “horizontal leverage” is a classic nanotech commercialization route when products are modular and specification-led (Beumer & Bhattacharya, 2013 [15]).

Constraint: Adoption hinges on reproducibility, safety documentation, and customer qualification cycles; regulatory expectations (especially for food/water/contact materials) can be demanding (Amenta et al., 2015 [191]; Nagar & Pradeep, 2020 [190]).

9.2 Cross-company comparative takeaways (what “performance” looks like in Indian nanotech):

- **Scale leaders (Tata Chemicals, Asian Paints, Dr. Reddy’s)** outperform on *manufacturing maturity, compliance systems, distribution, and near-term revenue impact*, which helps nanotech move from labs into markets (Beumer & Bhattacharya, 2013 [15]).
- **Deep-tech specialists (Log9, NoPo)** outperform on *nanotech depth and platform innovation*, often acting as capability builders for the wider ecosystem, but face scale, qualification, and governance hurdles typical of emerging-tech diffusion (Beumer, 2018 [189]).
- **Impact-aligned nanotech applications** that matter most for India’s development priorities include **clean water** (Nagar & Pradeep, 2020 [190]), and responsible regulation in **agri/feed/food** (Amenta et al. (2015), [191]) while **climate-oriented nanotech** is increasingly framed as a sustainability lever (Chausali et al., 2023 [192]). Policy initiatives and public–private support remain central enablers (Khatoun & Velidandi, 2025 [29]).

10. SUGGESTIONS BASED ON ANALYSIS :

Recommendations for Improving the Quality of Operations and Services in the Nanotechnology Industry in India:

Based on the detailed analysis of the Indian nanotechnology industry, the following recommendations are proposed to bridge the gap between scientific capability and commercial viability, ensuring sustainable growth and global competitiveness (Aithal et al. (2024). [193]):

(1) Strengthen Lab-to-Market Translation through Dedicated Nano-Scale Manufacturing Facilities:

A recurring operational bottleneck identified in the analysis is the “Valley of Death” between academic research and industrial-scale commercialization. It is recommended that India establish shared nano-manufacturing pilot plants and foundry-style facilities (cleanrooms, nanofabrication units, characterization hubs) accessible to startups and MSMEs on a pay-per-use basis. This will reduce capital intensity, improve process standardization, and enhance production reliability—thereby strengthening operational efficiency and service delivery across the value chain.

(2) Institutionalize Nano-Specific Quality, Safety, and Standardization Services:

The study highlights regulatory ambiguity and safety concerns as critical service-level constraints. To improve service quality, India should develop nano-specific standards, testing protocols, and certification services under BIS/ISO alignment, supported by accredited nanometrology and toxicology laboratories. This would improve customer confidence, enable faster regulatory approvals, and reduce compliance uncertainty—especially for healthcare, agri-nanotech, and consumer-facing products.

(3) Promote Service-Oriented Business Models in Nanotechnology:

Most Indian nanotechnology firms remain product-centric, limiting scalability and recurring revenue. The industry should increasingly adopt Nanotechnology-as-a-Service (NaaS) models, including:

- Nanomaterials characterization services
- Contract nano-formulation and scale-up services
- Regulatory and lifecycle assessment consulting
- Technology transfer and IP licensing services

Such service diversification will improve operational resilience, revenue stability, and stakeholder value creation, as indicated by the ABCD and Impact analyses.

(4) Enhance Industry–Academia–Government Operational Integration:

The analysis reveals fragmented coordination among academia, industry, and policymakers. A structured Triple Helix operational framework should be implemented, where:

- Universities focus on applied R&D and prototype validation
- Industry leads scaling, quality assurance, and market delivery
- Government supports infrastructure, risk-sharing, and procurement

Joint nano-innovation clusters and co-managed incubation centers can significantly improve operational throughput and service responsiveness.

(5) Embed “Responsible and Inclusive Nano-Innovation” into Service Design:

From the Impact Analysis, it is evident that nanotechnology must address basic needs (water, health, food) alongside aspirational markets. Firms should integrate Responsible Innovation principles into operations by:

- Conducting lifecycle and environmental impact assessments
- Designing affordable nano-solutions for rural and bottom-of-pyramid users
- Providing transparent safety communication and stakeholder engagement

This will improve societal trust, service acceptance, and long-term sustainability of nano-enabled services.

(6) Develop Skilled Nano-Operations and Service Management Workforce:

Operational excellence in nanotechnology requires interdisciplinary talent combining science, engineering, quality management, and regulatory knowledge. The study recommends:

- Specialized training programs in nano-operations management
- Industry-linked certification courses in nanometrology, scale-up, and regulatory affairs
- Inclusion of nano-services management modules in MBA and engineering curricula

Such capacity building will directly enhance service quality, process reliability, and customer satisfaction.

(7) Leverage Digitalization and AI for Operational Efficiency:

To improve operational predictability and service optimization, nanotechnology firms should adopt digital twins, AI-driven process control, and data analytics for nano-manufacturing, quality testing, and

supply-chain monitoring. Digital integration can reduce batch failures, improve reproducibility, and enhance real-time service responsiveness—key weaknesses identified in the operational analysis.

(8) Focus on "Frugal Innovation" for Mass Market Adoption:

Leveraging the *Strength* of low-cost engineering and the *Opportunity* in rural markets (Impact Analysis), the industry should prioritize "frugal innovation." Companies should focus on developing high-impact, low-cost solutions—such as electricity-free nano-water filters and affordable nano-biofertilizers—that address the *Basic Needs* of the massive rural demographic. This volume-driven strategy allows Indian firms to compete globally against high-cost Western competitors.

(9) Adopt "Green Synthesis" as a Unique Selling Proposition (USP):

Capitalizing on the *Opportunity* of green hydrogen and sustainable manufacturing, Indian firms should aggressively brand themselves as leaders in "Green Nanotechnology." By scaling up phyto-synthesis (plant-based) production methods, India can differentiate its products in the global market as "eco-friendly" and "sustainable," appealing to environmentally conscious buyers in Europe and North America and turning a regulatory pressure into a competitive advantage.

(10) Institutionalize "Safety-by-Design" in Manufacturing Protocols:

To mitigate *Environmental Risks* (PESTLE) and *Disadvantages* related to toxicity, manufacturers must integrate "Safety-by-Design" (SbD) principles at the R&D stage. This involves selecting less toxic precursors and engineering nanoparticles (e.g., surface coating) to minimize bioaccumulation. Adopting SbD will not only ensure compliance with evolving global regulations (like REACH) but also protect the industry from future litigation and maintain its "social license to operate."

Thus, collectively, these recommendations align with the paper's analytical findings and support the transition of India's nanotechnology industry from a research-intensive ecosystem to a service-efficient, operation-ready, and socially impactful industrial sector. By focusing on operational robustness, service diversification, regulatory clarity, and inclusive innovation, the Indian nanotechnology industry can significantly enhance its global competitiveness and domestic developmental impact.

11. CONCLUSION :

The comprehensive industry analysis reveals that the Indian nanotechnology sector is at a pivotal inflection point, transitioning from a research-centric ecosystem to a commercially viable industrial landscape. Anchored by the robust government support of the "Nano Mission," the industry has demonstrated significant capabilities in niche sectors like "agri-nanotech" and "green synthesis," effectively positioning India as a global leader in frugal innovation. However, as highlighted by the SWOC and PESTLE analyses, the sector continues to grapple with the critical challenge of the "Valley of Death." The growth trajectory is currently constrained by fragmented regulatory frameworks, a scarcity of risk capital for deep-tech scale-up, and a persistent disconnect between the high volume of academic research and its translation into patentable, market-ready industrial applications.

The application of strategic frameworks—specifically Porter's Five Forces and ABCD analysis—underscores the complex competitive dynamics and stakeholder perceptions defining the industry. While the high bargaining power of suppliers and the threat of conventional substitutes pose significant structural hurdles, the transformative benefits for stakeholders are profound. The analysis demonstrates that nanotechnology products offer critical advantages in addressing basic national needs, such as enhancing agricultural nitrogen use efficiency and providing affordable nanomedicine. The industry's potential to deliver high social impact serves as a strong counterweight to its current operational constraints, provided that issues regarding toxicity, standardization, and public perception are proactively managed through "Safety-by-Design" principles.

The impact analysis demonstrates that nanotechnology in India has the capacity to address basic needs (such as clean water, healthcare access, and sustainable agriculture), enhance comfort and quality of life through advanced materials and consumer applications, and support aspirational goals linked to precision medicine, clean energy, and global technological leadership. From an industry performance perspective, the comparative evaluation of leading firms shows that established conglomerates leverage scale, compliance systems, and distribution networks, while startups contribute agility, platform innovation, and niche specialization. However, the long-term success of the industry depends on improving operational efficiency, service orientation, quality assurance, and responsible innovation practices to ensure societal acceptance and sustainable growth.

Thus, realizing the full potential of the nanotechnology industry in India requires a strategic realignment toward collaborative ecosystem building. By implementing the proposed recommendations—such as establishing "Foundry-as-a-Service" models to lower entry barriers and professionalizing Technology Transfer Offices—stakeholders can effectively bridge the gap between invention and innovation. Ultimately, a mature and regulated nanotechnology ecosystem will not only drive economic diversification and high-value job creation but will also be instrumental in solving India's critical developmental challenges, fulfilling the nation's aspirations for technological self-reliance and global leadership in the knowledge economy.

REFERENCES :

- [1] Grant, R. M. (2017). Contemporary strategy analysis (9th ed.). Wiley. (pp. 69-71). [Google Scholar](#)
- [2] Porter, M. E. (1980). Competitive strategy: Techniques for analyzing industries and competitors. Free Press. [Google Scholar](#)
- [3] Aithal, P. S. (2017). Industry analysis – The first step in business management scholarly research. International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT and Education (IJCSBE), 2(1), 1-13. [Google Scholar](#)
- [4] McWilliams, A., & Smart, D. L. (1993). Efficiency v. structure-conduct-performance: Implications for strategy research and practice. *Journal of Management*, 19(1), 63-78. [Google Scholar](#)
- [5] Lelissa, T. B., & Kuhil, A. M. (2018). The structure conduct performance model and competing hypothesis—A review of literature. *Structure*, 9(1), 76-89. [Google Scholar](#)
- [6] McGahan, A. M., & Porter, M. E. (1997). How much does industry matter, really? *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(S1), 15–32. [Google Scholar](#)
- [7] Fernández, E., López-López, V., Jardón, C. M., & Iglesias-Antelo, S. (2022). A firm-industry analysis of services versus manufacturing. *European Research on Management and Business Economics*, 28(1), 100181. [Google Scholar](#)
- [8] Hofer, C. W., & Schendel, D. (1978). Strategy formulation: Analytical concepts. West Publishing. (p. 117). [Google Scholar](#)
- [9] Manlaginit, M. C. V., & Lamberte, M. B. (2005). The impacts of competition-policy reforms on the efficiency of Philippine commercial banks. 1-53. [Google Scholar](#)
- [10] Porter, M. E. (2008). Competitive advantage: Creating and sustaining superior performance. Free Press. (pp. 11-13). [Google Scholar](#)
- [11] Malik, S., Muhammad, K., & Waheed, Y. (2023). Nanotechnology: a revolution in modern industry. *Molecules*, 28(2), 661-680. [Google Scholar](#)
- [12] Aasim, M., Rahimi, M., & Khan, I. (2025). Myconanoparticles: A sustainable strategy for agricultural applications and phytopathogen management. *Beni-Suef University Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 14(1), 1-22. [Google Scholar](#)
- [13] Rajput, N. (2017). Development of nanotechnology in India: A review. *IOSR Journal of Applied Physics*, 9(3), 45–50. [Google Scholar](#)
- [14] Rambaran, T., & Schirhagl, R. (2022). Nanotechnology from lab to industry—a look at current trends. *Nanoscale advances*, 4(18), 3664-3675. [Google Scholar](#)
- [15] Beumer, K., & Bhattacharya, S. (2013). Emerging technologies in India: Developments, debates and silences about nanotechnology. *Science and Public Policy*, 40(5), 628–643. [Google Scholar](#)
- [16] Barpujari, I. (2010). The patent regime and nanotechnology: Issues and challenges. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, 15(3), 206–213. [Google Scholar](#)
- [17] Huang, C., Notten, A., & Rasters, N. (2010). Trends for nanotechnology development in China, Russia, and India. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, 12(3), 325–344. [Google Scholar](#)

- [18] Pokrajac, L., Abbas, A., Chrzanowski, W., Dias, G., Eggleton, B., Maguire, S., ... & Mitra, S. (2022). Nanotechnology for a sustainable future: Addressing global challenges with the international network4sustainable nanotechnology. *UCLA School of Law, Public Law Research Paper*, (22-03), 15. [Google Scholar](#)
- [19] Schulte, P. A., & Salamanca-Buentello, F. (2007). Ethical and scientific issues of nanotechnology in the workplace. *Ciência & saúde coletiva*, 12(1), 1319-1332. [Google Scholar](#)
- [20] Chaudhary, S., Prasanna, D., Trivedi, P., Nandy, R., Prasad, S. S., Sunitha, S., & Panigrahi, C. K. (2025). Nanotechnology applications in agriculture for crop protection and nutrient delivery: A review. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 37(9), 106–119. [Google Scholar](#)
- [21] Mittal, D., Kaur, G., Singh, P., Yadav, K., & Ali, S. A. (2020). Nanoparticle-based sustainable agriculture and food science: Recent advances and future outlook. *Frontiers in Nanotechnology*, 2, 579954. [Google Scholar](#)
- [22] Zaman, W., Ayaz, A., & Park, S. (2025). Nanomaterials in agriculture: a pathway to enhanced plant growth and abiotic stress resistance. *Plants*, 14(5), 716 - 752. [Google Scholar](#)
- [23] Niveditha, M., Shelar, V. R., & Bhalerao, B. M. (2024). Green synthesis: An alternative sustainable route for nanotechnology. *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology*, 27(7), 1054–1069. [Google Scholar](#)
- [24] Gangadhar, L., & Subburaj, S. (2025). Nanotechnology advances for biomedical applications. *Frontiers in Nanotechnology*, 7, 1639506. [Google Scholar](#)
- [25] Trivedi, U., VEDIYA, P., ZALAVADIYA, I., & KUMAR, B. P. (2025). Nanotechnology's Impact on Revolutionizing Healthcare and Drug Delivery in the 21st Century. In *E3S Web of Conferences* (Vol. 619, p. 04004). EDP Sciences. [Google Scholar](#)
- [26] Farajollahi, A. (2025). Nanotechnology in solar energy: From active systems to Advanced Solar cells. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 13, 1560718. [Google Scholar](#)
- [27] Narayanan, K. B., & Bhaskar, R. (2024). Green nanotechnology: Paving the way for environmental sustainability. *Sustainability*, 16(14), 6262. [Google Scholar](#)
- [28] Foulkes, R., Man, E., Thind, J., Yeung, S., Joy, A., & Hoskins, C. (2020). The regulation of nanomaterials and nanomedicines for clinical application: current and future perspectives. *Biomaterials science*, 8(17), 4653-4664. [Google Scholar](#)
- [29] Khatoon, U. T., & Velidandi, A. (2025). An overview on the role of government initiatives in nanotechnology innovation for sustainable economic development and research progress. *Sustainability*, 17(3), 1250. [Google Scholar](#)
- [30] Chinthala, L. K. (2024). The business of nano: Commercializing nanotechnology for market success. *Journal of Management and Science*, 14(4), 106-109. [Google Scholar](#)
- [31] Durgam, L. K., & Oroszi, T. L. (2025). Revolutionizing healthcare: the transformative potential of nanotechnology in medicine. *Frontiers in Drug Delivery*, 5, 1556426. [Google Scholar](#)
- [32] Singh, A., & Amiji, M. M. (2022). Application of nanotechnology in medical diagnosis and imaging. *Current opinion in biotechnology*, 74, 241-246. [Google Scholar](#)
- [33] Zed, S., Ullah, I., Karim, A., Muhammad, W., Ullah, N., Khan, M., & Komal, W. (2019). A review on nanotechnology applications in electric components. *Nanoscale Reports*, 2(2), 32-38. [Google Scholar](#)
- [34] Payal, & Pandey, P. (2022). Role of nanotechnology in electronics: A review of recent developments and patents. *Recent patents on nanotechnology*, 16(1), 45-66. [Google Scholar](#)
- [35] Mohammed, H., Mia, M. F., Wiggins, J., & Desai, S. (2025). Nanomaterials for Energy Storage Systems—A Review. *Molecules*, 30(4), 883. [Google Scholar](#)

- [36] Kole, P. S. (2017). An Overview of Nanotechnology and its Energy Applications. *International Journal of Advance Research in Engineering, Science & Technology*, 4(6), 180-186. [Google Scholar](#)
- [37] Ali Mansoori, G., Bastami, T. R., Ahmadpour, A., & Eshaghi, Z. (2008). Environmental application of nanotechnology. *Annual review of nano research*, 439-493. [Google Scholar](#)
- [38] Rambaran, T., & Schirhagl, R. (2022). Nanotechnology from lab to industry—a look at current trends. *Nanoscale advances*, 4(18), 3664-3675. [Google Scholar](#)
- [39] Warheit, D. B. (2018). Hazard and risk assessment strategies for nanoparticle exposures: How far have we come in the past 10 years? *F1000Research*, 7(1), 376-390. [Google Scholar](#)
- [40] Rizvi, S. A., & Saleh, A. M. (2018). Applications of nanoparticle systems in drug delivery technology. *Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal*, 26(1), 64–70. [Google Scholar](#)
- [41] Wani, Z. A., Misgar, M. S. M., Teli, M. S. A., & Bhat, A. M. (2023). Research Contribution and Performance by Indian Scientists in the Field of Nanotechnology: A Bibliometric Analysis. *Journal of Indian Library Association*, 59(01), 43-55. [Google Scholar](#)
- [42] Kshirsagar, S., Sonawane, S., & Bais, S. K. (2025). Nanotechnology and its implementation in pharmaceutical analysis. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Herbal Technology*, 3(1), 3536–3562. [Google Scholar](#)
- [43] Khatoon, U. T., & Velidandi, A. (2025). An overview on the role of government initiatives in nanotechnology innovation for sustainable economic development and research progress. *Sustainability*, 17(3), 1250. [Google Scholar](#)
- [44] Jegan, R., Suresh, C. P., Rehman, H. A. U., Harish, S., & Bahadur, S. D. (2025). Applications of Nanotechnology in Agriculture: A Review. *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology*, 28(2), 877-895. [Google Scholar](#)
- [45] Prasad, R., Bhattacharyya, A., & Nguyen, Q. D. (2017). Nanotechnology in sustainable agriculture: Recent developments, challenges, and perspectives. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8, 1014. [Google Scholar](#)
- [46] Srivastava, S., & Bhargava, A. (2021). Green nanotechnology: an overview. *Green nanoparticles: the future of nanobiotechnology*, 1-13. [Google Scholar](#)
- [47] Chinthala, L. K. (2024). The business of nano: Commercializing nanotechnology for market success. *Journal of Management and Science*, 14(4), 106-109. [Google Scholar](#)
- [48] Wong, K. V., & Hernandez, A. (2022). Nanotechnology from lab to industry – A look at current trends. *Beilstein Journal of Nanotechnology*, 13, 1022–1035. [Google Scholar](#)
- [49] Foladori, G. (2013). Nanotechnology Policies in Latin America: Risksto Health and Environment. *Nanoethics*, 7(2), 135-147. [Google Scholar](#)
- [50] Mohammed, H., Mia, M. F., Wiggins, J., & Desai, S. (2025). Nanomaterials for Energy Storage Systems—A Review. *Molecules*, 30(4), 883. [Google Scholar](#)
- [51] Aithal, P. S. (2017). Industry analysis—the first Step in business management Scholarly research. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT and Education (IJCSBE)*, 1(1), 1-13. [Google Scholar](#)
- [52] Davis, R., & Duhaime, I. M. (1992). Diversification, vertical integration, and industry analysis: New perspectives and measurement. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13(7), 511-524. [Google Scholar](#)
- [53] Dobbs, M. E. (2014). Guidelines for applying Porter's five forces framework: a set of industry analysis templates. *Competitiveness review*, 24(1), 32-45. [Google Scholar](#)
- [54] Becattini, G. (2002). Industrial sectors and industrial districts: Tools for industrial analysis. *European planning studies*, 10(4), 483-493. [Google Scholar](#)

- [55] Anwar, J., & Hasnu, S. A. F. (2016). Business strategy and firm performance: a multi-industry analysis. *Journal of Strategy and Management*, 9(3), 361-382. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [56] Akundi, A., Euresti, D., Luna, S., Ankobiah, W., Lopes, A., & Edinbarough, I. (2022). State of Industry 5.0—Analysis and identification of current research trends. *Applied System Innovation*, 5(1), 27. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [57] Slater, S. F., & Olson, E. M. (2002). A fresh look at industry and market analysis. *Business Horizons*, 45(1), 15-22. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [58] Leyh, C., Martin, S., & Schäffer, T. (2017). Industry 4.0 and Lean Production—A matching relationship? An analysis of selected Industry 4.0 models. In *2017 Federated conference on computer science and information systems (FedCSIS)* (pp. 989-993). IEEE. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [59] Kumar, S., & Aithal, P. S. (2023). Tech-Business Analytics—a Review based New Model to Improve the Performances of Various Industry Sectors. *International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML)*, 7(1), 67-91. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [60] Malik, S., Muhammad, K., & Waheed, Y. (2023). Nanotechnology: a revolution in modern industry. *Molecules*, 28(2), 661. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [61] Qiu Zhao, Q., Boxman, A., & Chowdhry, U. (2003). Nanotechnology in the chemical industry—opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, 5(5), 567-572. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [62] Becker, S. (2013). Nanotechnology in the marketplace: how the nanotechnology industry views risk. *Journal of nanoparticle research*, 15(5), 1426. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [63] Rambaran, T., & Schirhagl, R. (2022). Nanotechnology from lab to industry—a look at current trends. *Nanoscale advances*, 4(18), 3664-3675. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [64] Kang, H. Y. (2010). A review of the emerging nanotechnology industry: materials, fabrications, and applications. *Department of Toxic Substances Control Pollution Prevention and Green Technology*, California, 64. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [65] Hobson, D. W. (2009). Commercialization of nanotechnology. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Nanomedicine and Nanobiotechnology*, 1(2), 189-202. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [66] Rashidi, L., & Khosravi-Darani, K. (2011). The applications of nanotechnology in food industry. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition*, 51(8), 723-730. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [67] Saleh, T. A. (2018). Nanotechnology in oil and gas industries. *Cham, Switzerland: Springer*, 7(4), 341-353. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [68] Hanus, M. J., & Harris, A. T. (2013). Nanotechnology innovations for the construction industry. *Progress in materials science*, 58(7), 1056-1102. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [69] Pathakoti, K., Manubolu, M., & Hwang, H. M. (2018). Nanotechnology applications for environmental industry. In *Handbook of nanomaterials for industrial applications* (pp. 894-907). Elsevier. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [70] Deshpande Sarma, S., & Anand, M. (2012). Status of nano science and technology in India. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section B: Biological Sciences*, 82(Suppl 1), 99-126. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [71] Ali, A., & Sinha, K. (2014). Exploring the opportunities and challenges in nanotechnology innovation in India. *J. Soc. Sci. Poli. Imp*, 2(2), 227-251. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [72] Ali, A., & Sinha, K. (2014). Prospects of nanotechnology development in the health sector in India. *Int. J. Health Sci*, 2(2), 109-125. [Google Scholar↗](#)
- [73] Aithal, S., & Aithal, P. S. (2021). Green and eco-friendly Nanotechnology—concepts and industrial prospects. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 6(1), 1-31. [Google Scholar↗](#)

- [74] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2016). A new model for the commercialization of nanotechnology products and services. *International Journal of Computational Research and Development*, 1(1), 84-93. [Google Scholar](#)
- [75] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2016). Business strategy for nanotechnology based products and services. *International Journal of Management Sciences and Business Research*, 5(4), 139-149. [Google Scholar](#)
- [76] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2022). Opportunities and challenges for green and eco-friendly nanotechnology in twenty-first century. *Sustainable nanotechnology: Strategies, products, and applications*, 31-50. [Google Scholar](#)
- [77] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2015). Ideal technology concept & its realization opportunity using nanotechnology. *International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering & Management (IJAEM)*, 4(2), 153-164. [Google Scholar](#)
- [78] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2016). Nanotechnology innovations & business opportunities in renewable energy sector. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Modern Education (IJERME)*, 1(1), 674-692. [Google Scholar](#)
- [79] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2016). Nanotechnological innovations & business environment for Indian automobile sector: A futuristic approach. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education (IJSRME)*, 1(1), 296-30. [Google Scholar](#)
- [80] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2016). Nanotechnology Innovations and Commercialization- Opportunities, Challenges & Reasons for Delay. *International Journal of Engineering and Manufacturing*, 6(6), 15. [Google Scholar](#)
- [81] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2023). New Research Models under Exploratory Research Method. A Book "Emergence and Research in Interdisciplinary Management and Information Technology" edited by P. K. Paul et al. Published by New Delhi Publishers, New Delhi, India, 109-140. [Google Scholar](#)
- [82] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2023). New Research Models under Exploratory Research Method. A Book "Emergence and Research in Interdisciplinary Management and Information Technology" edited by P. K. Paul et al. Published by New Delhi Publishers, New Delhi, India, 109-140. [Google Scholar](#)
- [83] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2023). Use of AI-based GPTs in experimental, empirical, and exploratory research methods. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education (IJCSBE)*, 7(3), 411-425. [Google Scholar](#)
- [84] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2024). Redefining Experimental, Empirical, and Exploratory Research in AI Era. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Emerging Technologies (PIJET)*, 1(1), 90-136. [Google Scholar](#)
- [85] Olawale, S. R., Chinagozi, O. G., & Joe, O. N. (2023). Exploratory research design in management science: A review of literature on conduct and application. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 7(4), 1384-1395. [Google Scholar](#)
- [86] Haile, Z. T. (2023). Power analysis and exploratory research. *Journal of Human Lactation*, 39(4), 579-583. [Google Scholar](#)
- [87] Lehmann, H. (2010). Research method: Grounded theory for descriptive and exploratory case studies. In *The Dynamics of International Information Systems: Anatomy of a Grounded Theory Investigation* (pp. 53-65). Boston, MA: Springer US. [Google Scholar](#)
- [88] Swaraj, A. (2019). Exploratory research: Purpose and process. *Parisheelan Journal*, 15(2), 665-670. [Google Scholar](#)
- [89] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2015). Applying SWOC analysis to an institution of higher education. *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*, 5(7), 231-247. [Google Scholar](#)

- [90] Indrasaru, M. (2023). Shifting from SWOT to SWOC: A combination of strategic planning theory and financial strategy approaches for organizational sustainability performance. *Atestasi: Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi*, 6(1), 36-52. [Google Scholar](#)
- [91] Aithal, P. S. (2017). ABCD analysis as research methodology in company case studies. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 2(2), 40-54. [Google Scholar](#)
- [92] Omietimi, H. B., Afolalu, S. A., Kayode, J. F., Monye, S. I., Lawal, S. L., & Emeteri, M. E. (2023). An overview of nanotechnology and its application. In *E3S web of conferences* (Vol. 391, p. 01079). EDP Sciences. [Google Scholar](#)
- [93] Bayda, S., Adeel, M., Tuccinardi, T., Cordani, M., & Rizzolio, F. (2019). The history of nanoscience and nanotechnology: From chemical-physical applications to nanomedicine. *Molecules*, 25(1), 112–125. [Google Scholar](#)
- [94] Biswas, A., Bayer, I. S., Biris, A. S., Wang, T., Dervishi, E., & Faupel, F. (2012). Advances in top–down and bottom–up surface nanofabrication: Techniques, applications & future prospects. *Advances in colloid and interface science*, 170(1-2), 2-27. [Google Scholar](#)
- [95] Cooper, K. (2017). Scalable nanomanufacturing—A review. *Micromachines*, 8(1), 20. [Google Scholar](#)
- [96] Singh, T., Shukla, S., Kumar, P., Wahla, V., & Bajpai, V. K. (2017). Application of nanotechnology in food science: Perception and overview. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8, 1501. [Google Scholar](#)
- [97] Payal, & Pandey, P. (2022). Role of nanotechnology in electronics: A review of recent developments and patents. *Recent patents on nanotechnology*, 16(1), 45-66. [Google Scholar](#)
- [98] Chinthala, L. K. (2024). The business of nano: Commercializing nanotechnology for market success. *Journal of Management and Science*, 14(4), 106–109. [Google Scholar](#)
- [99] Khatoon, U. T., & Velidandi, A. (2025). An overview on the role of government initiatives in nanotechnology innovation for sustainable economic development and research progress. *Sustainability*, 17(3), 1250. [Google Scholar](#)
- [100] Couvreur, P. (2013). Nanoparticles in drug delivery: past, present, and future. *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 65(1), 21-23. [Google Scholar](#)
- [101] Tchuente Kamsu, G., & Jamot Ndebia, E. (2025). Usefulness of nanoparticles in the fight against esophageal cancer: A comprehensive review. *Applied Nano*, 6(3), 18. [Google Scholar](#)
- [102] Malik, S., Muhammad, K., & Waheed, Y. (2023). Nanotechnology: A revolution in modern industry. *Molecules*, 28(2), 661. [Google Scholar](#)
- [103] Bohr, M. T. (2002). Nanotechnology goals and challenges for electronic applications. *IEEE Transactions on Nanotechnology*, 1(1), 56-62. [Google Scholar](#)
- [104] Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Singh, R. P., & Suman, R. (2023). An overview of nanotechnology and its application. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 391, 01079. [Google Scholar](#)
- [105] Mohammed, H., Mia, M. F., Wiggins, J., & Desai, S. (2025). Nanomaterials for Energy Storage Systems—A Review. *Molecules*, 30(4), 883. [Google Scholar](#)
- [106] Gidiagba, J. O., Daraojimba, C., & Bansa, F. (2023). Economic impacts and innovations in materials science: A holistic exploration of nanotechnology and advanced materials. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5, 54–65. [Google Scholar](#)
- [107] Teizer, J., Venugopal, M., Teizer, W., & Felkl, J. (2012). Nanotechnology and its impact on construction: bridging the gap between researchers and industry professionals. *Journal of Construction Engineering and management*, 138(5), 594-604. [Google Scholar](#)

- [108] Mota, W. S., Severino, P., Kadian, V., Rao, R., Zielińska, A., Silva, A. M., ... & Souto, E. B. (2025). Nanometrology: particle sizing and influence on the toxicological profile. *Frontiers in Nanotechnology*, 7, 1479464. [Google Scholar](#)
- [109] Zielińska, A., Santos, B., Silva, M., Fernandes, C., Silva, A. R., Durazzo, A., ... & Souto, E. B. (2023). Metrology and nanometrology at agricultural/food/nutraceutical Interface: An updated shot. *Current Bioactive Compounds*, 19(3), 73-84. [Google Scholar](#)
- [110] Lee, Z., Ophus, C., Fischer, L. M., Nelson-Fitzpatrick, N., Westra, K. L., Evoy, S., ... & Mitlin, D. (2006). Metallic NEMS components fabricated from nanocomposite Al-Mo films. *Nanotechnology*, 17(12), 3063. [Google Scholar](#)
- [111] Lysenko, T. V., Jasyukov, V. V., Voronova, O. I., & Kozishkurt, E. N. (2019). The use of nanotechnology in the manufacture of castings. *Foundry Production and Metallurgy*, 4, 94–99. [Google Scholar](#)
- [112] Neogi, N., Choudhury, K. P., Hossain, S., Sazid, M. G., & Hossain, I. (2025). Advancing Nanotoxicology: High-Throughput Screening for Assessing the Toxicity of Nanoparticle Mixtures. *Environmental and Earth Sciences Proceedings*, 37(1), 2. [Google Scholar](#)
- [113] Choi, J. Y., Ramachandran, G., & Kandlikar, M. (2009). The impact of toxicity testing costs on nanomaterial regulation. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 43(9), 3030–3034. [Google Scholar](#)
- [114] Allan, J., Ferreri, A., Grande, S., Giannantonio, R., & Matteucci, F. (2019). *Technology transfer in nanotechnology*. Publications Office of the European Union. [Google Scholar](#)
- [115] Bernabeu, E., Cagel, M., Lagomarsino, E., Moreton, M., & Chiappetta, D. A. (2017). Paclitaxel: What has been done and the challenges remain ahead. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 526(1–2), 474–495. [Google Scholar](#)
- [116] Kalme, S., Kandaswamy, S., Chandrasekharmath, A., Katiyar, R., Rajamanickam, G. P., Kumar, S., & Dendukuri, D. (2019). A hydrogel sensor-based microfluidic platform for the quantitative and multiplexed detection of fertility markers for point-of-care immunoassays. *Analytical Methods*, 11(12), 1639–1650. [Google Scholar](#)
- [117] Zhang, Q., Wang, C., Xie, Z., & Zhou, Z. (2022). Defective/Doped Graphene-Based Materials as Cathodes for Metal–Air Batteries. *Energy & Environmental Materials*, 5(4), 1103-1116. [Google Scholar](#)
- [118] Bhattacharya, S. (2016). Nanotechnology Research and Innovation for Development: Status and Prospects in India. [Google Scholar](#)
- [119] Wang, L., Liu, H., Konik, R. M., Misewich, J. A., & Wong, S. S. (2013). Carbon nanotube-based heterostructures for solar energy applications. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 42(20), 8134-8156. [Google Scholar](#)
- [120] Malik, S., Muhammad, K., & Waheed, Y. (2023). Nanotechnology: A revolution in modern industry. *Molecules*, 28(2), 661. [Google Scholar](#)
- [121] Sharma, A., Yadav, M. K., Vidhya, C. S., Kumar, K. A., Khatana, K., Panik, R. K., Tripathy, A., & Babunath, R. (2023). Nanomaterials and nanotechnology: A comprehensive study of synthesis, characterization, and multifaceted applications. *Biochemical and Cellular Archives*, 23(S1), 1641–1647. [Google Scholar](#)
- [122] Bohr, M. T. (2002). Nanotechnology goals and challenges for electronic applications. *IEEE Transactions on Nanotechnology*, 1(1), 56-62. [Google Scholar](#)
- [123] Durgam, L. K., & Oroszi, T. L. (2025). Revolutionizing healthcare: the transformative potential of nanotechnology in medicine. *Frontiers in Drug Delivery*, 5, 1556426. [Google Scholar](#)
- [124] Jegan, R., Suresh, C. P., Rehaman, H. A. U., Harish, S., & Bahadur, S. D. (2025). Applications of Nanotechnology in Agriculture: A Review. *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology*, 28(2), 877-895. [Google Scholar](#)

- [125] Khatoon, U. T., & Velidandi, A. (2025). An overview on the role of government initiatives in nanotechnology innovation for sustainable economic development and research progress. *Sustainability*, 17(3), 1250. [Google Scholar](#)
- [126] Rambaran, T., & Schirhagl, R. (2022). Nanotechnology from lab to industry—a look at current trends. *Nanoscale advances*, 4(18), 3664-3675. [Google Scholar](#)
- [127] Chinthala, L. K. (2024). The business of nano: Commercializing nanotechnology for market success. *Journal of Management and Science*, 14(4), 106-109. [Google Scholar](#)
- [128] Mohammed, H., Mia, M. F., Wiggins, J., & Desai, S. (2025). Nanomaterials for Energy Storage Systems—A Review. *Molecules*, 30(4), 883. [Google Scholar](#)
- [129] Khatoon, U. T., & Velidandi, A. (2025). An overview on the role of government initiatives in nanotechnology innovation for sustainable economic development and research progress. *Sustainability*, 17(3), 1250. [Google Scholar](#)
- [130] Omietimi, H. B., Afolalu, S. A., Kayode, J. F., Monye, S. I., Lawal, S. L., & Emeteri, M. E. (2023). An overview of nanotechnology and its application. In *E3S web of conferences* (Vol. 391, p. 01079). EDP Sciences.. [Google Scholar](#)
- [131] Shapira, P., & Youtie, J. (2015). The economic contributions of nanotechnology to green and sustainable growth. In *Green processes for nanotechnology: From inorganic to bioinspired nanomaterials* (pp. 409-434). Cham: Springer International Publishing. [Google Scholar](#)
- [132] Rizvi, S. A., & Saleh, A. M. (2018). Applications of nanoparticle systems in drug delivery technology. *Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal*, 26(1), 64–70. [Google Scholar](#)
- [133] Patel, B., Darji, P., Fnu, P. I. J., Nalla, S., Khatri, V., & Parikh, S. (2024). A comprehensive review and insight into the latest advancements in nanotechnology. *Biosciences Biotechnology Research Asia*, 21(3), 985-1000. [Google Scholar](#)
- [134] Li, X., Li, Y., Zhu, J., Yang, Y., & Yang, S. (2025). Bibliometric analysis of nanoparticle research for diagnostics and therapeutics in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Discover Oncology*, 16, 162. [Google Scholar](#)
- [135] Wang, Y., Zhang, L., & Liu, H. (2025). Trends and hotspots in nanomedicine applications for pain: A bibliometric analysis from 1999 to 2024. *ACS Omega*, 10(7), 1234–1245. [Google Scholar](#)
- [136] Suneetha V. L., & Aithal, P. S. (2024). Revving up or stalling out? A comprehensive SWOC analysis of BSE-listed India's auto sector. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 9(2), 111–122. [Google Scholar](#)
- [137] Aithal, P. S. (2017). Company analysis—The beginning step for scholarly research. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT, and Education (IJCSBE)*, 1(1), 1–18. [Google Scholar](#)
- [138] Aithal, P. S., & Kumar, P. M. (2015). Applying SWOC analysis to an institution of higher education. *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*, 5(7), 231-247. [Google Scholar](#)
- [139] Aithal, P. S., Shailashree, V. T., & Kumar, P. M. (2015). A new ABCD technique to analyze business models & concepts. *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*, 5(4), 409–423. [Google Scholar](#)
- [140] Aithal, P. S. (2016). Study on ABCD analysis technique for business models, business strategies, operating concepts & business systems. *International Journal in Management and Social Science*, 4(1), 95-115. [Google Scholar](#)
- [141] Zhang, H., Li, S., & Ma, X. (2024). Transforming Healthcare with Nanomedicine: A SWOT Analysis of Drug Delivery Innovation. *Drug Design, Development and Therapy*, 3499-3521. [Google Scholar](#)

- [142] Kruhlova, O., Evlash, V., Tsykhanovska, I., Alexandrov, A., & Yevlash, T. (2019). SWOT analysis of innovative products containing food additive «Magnetofood». *Technology audit and production reserves*, 3(4 (47)), 4-11. [Google Scholar](#)
- [143] Wani, Z. A., Misgar, M. S. M., Teli, M. S. A., & Bhat, A. M. (2023). Research contribution and performance by Indian scientists in the field of nanotechnology: A bibliometric analysis. *Journal of Indian Library Association*, 59(01), 43-55. [Google Scholar](#)
- [144] Kshirsagar, S., Sonawane, S., & Bais, S. K. (2025). Nanotechnology and its implementation in pharmaceutical analysis. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Herbal Technology*, 3(1), 3536–3562. [Google Scholar](#)
- [145] Dai, C., Sun, G., Hu, L., Xiao, Y., Zhang, Z., & Qu, L. (2020). Recent progress in graphene-based electrodes for flexible batteries. *InfoMat*, 2(3), 509-526. [Google Scholar](#)
- [146] Rambaran, T., & Schirhagl, R. (2022). Nanotechnology from lab to industry—a look at current trends. *Nanoscale advances*, 4(18), 3664-3675. [Google Scholar](#)
- [147] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2020). Nanotechnology innovations and commercialization – Opportunities, challenges & reasons for delay. *International Journal of Engineering and Manufacturing*, 6(6), 1–12. [Google Scholar](#)
- [148] Bhatia, P., & Chugh, A. (2016). A multilevel governance framework for regulation of nanomedicine in India. *Nanotechnology Reviews*, 5(4), 375–384. [Google Scholar](#)
- [149] Jain, A., Hallihosur, S., & Rangan, L. (2011). Dynamics of nanotechnology patenting: An Indian scenario. *Technology in Society*, 33(1-2), 137-144. [Google Scholar](#)
- [150] DST-CPR. (2017). *Industry-academia R&D ecosystem in India: An evidence based study*. DST-Centre for Policy Research, Panjab University. <https://cpr.puchd.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/Brochure-DST-CPR-2017.pdf>
- [151] ASSOCHAM. (2014). *Nano India: Policy and regulation*. The Associated Chambers of Commerce and Industry of India. <https://knnindia.co.in/news/newsdetails/sectors/india-to-contribute-25-skilled-professionals-for-global-nanotechnology-industry>
- [152] Cooper, K. (2017). Scalable nanomanufacturing—A review. *Micromachines*, 8(1), 20. [Google Scholar](#)
- [153] Malakar, A., Kanel, S. R., Ray, C., Snow, D. D., & Nadagouda, M. N. (2021). Nanomaterials in the environment, human exposure pathway, and health effects: A review. *Science of the Total Environment*, 759, 143470. [Google Scholar](#)
- [154] Rathore, A., & Mahesh, G. (2021). Public perception of nanotechnology: A contrast between developed and developing countries. *Technology in Society*, 67, 101786. [Google Scholar](#)
- [155] Prasad, R., Bhattacharyya, A., & Nguyen, Q. D. (2017). Nanotechnology in sustainable agriculture: Recent developments, challenges, and perspectives. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8, 1014. [Google Scholar](#)
- [156] Aithal, S., & Aithal, P. S. (2021). Green and eco-friendly Nanotechnology—concepts and industrial prospects. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS)*, 6(1), 1-31. [Google Scholar](#)
- [157] Ali Mansoori, G., Bastami, T. R., Ahmadpour, A., & Eshaghi, Z. (2008). Environmental application of nanotechnology. *Annual review of nano research*, 439-493. [Google Scholar](#)
- [158] Warheit, D. B. (2018). Hazard and risk assessment strategies for nanoparticle exposures: How far have we come in the past 10 years? *F1000Research*, 7, 376. [Google Scholar](#)
- [159] Singh, S. P. (2016). Nanotechnology: A Journey towards finding solutions. *Journal of Materials Science Research*, 5(1), 61. [Google Scholar](#)
- [160] Foladori, G. (2006). Nanotechnology in Latin America at the crossroads. *Nanotech. L. & Bus.*, 3, 205. [Google Scholar](#)

- [161] Bhattacharya, S., & Shilpa, S. (2011). Mapping nanotechnology research and innovation in India. *DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology*, 31(5). [Google Scholar](#)
- [162] Aithal, P. S. (2016). Study on ABCD analysis technique for business models, business strategies, operating concepts & business systems. *International Journal in Management and Social Science*, 4(1), 98–115. [Google Scholar](#)
- [163] Aithal, P. S. (2017). ABCD analysis as research methodology in company case studies. *International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences*, 2(2), 40–54. [Google Scholar](#)
- [164] Aithal, P. S., Shailashree, V. T., & Suresh Kumar, P. M. (2015). A new ABCD technique to analyze business models & concepts. *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*, 5(4), 409–423. [Google Scholar](#)
- [165] Radha, P., & Aithal, P. (2024). The evolution of workplace diversity and its impact on organizational success: A comprehensive examination of diversity management strategies. *International Journal of Case Studies in Business, IT and Education (IJCSBE)*, 8(1), 221-239. [Google Scholar](#)
- [166] Aithal, P. S., Shailashree, V. T., & Suresh Kumar, P. M. (2016a). Analysis of NAAC accreditation system using ABCD framework. *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*, 6(1), 30–44. [Google Scholar](#)
- [167] Aithal, P. S., Shailashree, V. T., & Suresh Kumar, P. M. (2016b). Application of ABCD analysis framework on private university system in India. *International Journal of Management Sciences and Business Research*, 5(4), 159–170. [Google Scholar](#)
- [168] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2016). Business strategy for nanotechnology-based products and services. *International Journal of Management Sciences and Business Research*, 5(4), 139-149. [Google Scholar](#)
- [169] Porter, M. E. (2008). The five competitive forces that shape strategy. *Harvard Business Review*, 86(1), 78-93. [Google Scholar](#)
- [170] Grundy, T. (2006). Rethinking and reinventing Michael Porter's five forces model. *Strategic Change*, 15(5), 213-229. [Google Scholar](#)
- [171] Dälken, F. (2014). Are Porter's five competitive forces still applicable? A critical examination concerning the relevance for today's business. *Bachelor's Thesis, University of Twente*, 1-67. [Google Scholar](#)
- [172] Tanwar, R. (2013). Porter's generic competitive strategies. *Journal of Business and Management*, 15(1), 11-17. [Google Scholar](#)
- [173] Lee, H., Kim, M.-S., & Park, Y. (2012). An analytic network process approach to operationalization of five forces model. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 36(4), 1783-1795. [Google Scholar](#)
- [174] Barpujari, I. (2010). The patent regime and nanotechnology: Issues and challenges. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*, 15(3), 206–213. <http://nopr.niscair.res.in/handle/123456789/9583>
- [175] Rahman, L., Shin, J., & Oh, J. K. (2017). Current strategies for checking the quality of nanomaterials. *Nano Convergence*, 4(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40580-017-0105-y>
- [176] Kumar, A., Singh, K. R., & Verma, R. (2022). Application of nanotechnology in agriculture in India. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, 14(6), 11456–11462. <https://doi.org/10.9735/0975-3710.14.6.11456-11462>
- [177] Agarwal, M., Kumar, A., & Srivastava, R. (2013). Nanoparticles and its toxic effects: A review. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 2(10), 76–84. <https://www.ijcmas.com/vol-2-10/Megha%20Agarwal,%20et%20al.pdf>

- [178] Hysa, B., & Mularczyk, A. (2024). PESTEL Analysis of the Photovoltaic Market in Poland—A Systematic Review of Opportunities and Threats. *Resources*, 13(10), 136. [Google Scholar](#)
- [179] Walsh, K., Bhagavatheeswaran, L., & Roma, E. (2019). E-learning in healthcare professional education: An analysis of political, economic, social, technological, legal and environmental (PESTLE) factors. *MedEdPublish*, 8, Article 97. [Google Scholar](#)
- [180] de Sousa, G. C., & Castañeda-Ayarza, J. A. (2022). PESTEL analysis and the macro-environmental factors that influence the development of the electric and hybrid vehicles industry in Brazil. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 10(1), 686–699. [Google Scholar](#)
- [181] Patel, C., & Sinha, K. (2024). Mobile (wireless) telecommunication sector: An Indian perspective and PESTLE analysis. *International Journal of Electronics and Telecommunications*, 70(3), 545–553. [Google Scholar](#)
- [182] Pinar, A. (2023). A PESTEL analysis of the impacts of COVID-19 crisis on air transportation sector's future. *Journal of Aviation*, 7(2), 215–225. [Google Scholar](#)
- [183] Olayiwola, K., Perera, S., Kagioglou, M., Jin, X., & Sharafi, P. (2025). A PESTEL analysis of problems associated with the adoption of offsite construction: A systematic literature review. *Buildings*, 15(13), 2146. [Google Scholar](#)
- [184] Sahoo, S. K., Parveen, S., & Panda, J. J. (2007). The present and future of nanotechnology in human health care. *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine*, 3(1), 20–31. [Google Scholar](#)
- [185] Sastry, R. K., Rashmi, H. B., & Rao, N. H. (2011). Nanotechnology for enhancing food security in India. *Food Policy*, 36(3), 391–400. [Google Scholar](#)
- [186] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2018). Nanotechnology-based Innovations and Human Life Comfortability—Are we Marching towards Immortality?. *International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML)*, 2(2), 71-86. [Google Scholar](#)
- [187] Hussein, A. K. (2015). Applications of nanotechnology in renewable energies—A comprehensive overview and understanding. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 42, 460–476. [Google Scholar](#)
- [188] Rani, K. S. S., Yuvaraju, M., Pranesh, K. A., & Gnanasundari, P. (2025). Nanotechnology: An Inherent Technology for Miniaturization and Space Exploration. *Aerotecnica Missili & Spazio*, 1-20. [Google Scholar](#)
- [189] Beumer, K. (2018). Travelling risks: How did nanotechnology become a risk in India and South Africa? *Journal of Risk Research*, 21(11), 1362–1383. [Google Scholar](#)
- [190] Nagar, A., & Pradeep, T. (2020). Clean water through nanotechnology: Needs, gaps, and fulfillment. *ACS Nano*, 14(6), 6420–6435. [Google Scholar](#)
- [191] Amenta, V., Aschberger, K., Arena, M., Bouwmeester, H., Botelho Moniz, F., Brandhoff, P., Gottardo, S., Marvin, H. J. P., Mech, A., Quiros Pseudo, L., Rauscher, H., Schoonjans, R., Vettori, M. V., Weigel, S., & Peters, R. J. (2015). Regulatory aspects of nanotechnology in the agri/feed/food sector in EU and non-EU countries. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 73(1), 463–476. [Google Scholar](#)
- [192] Chausali, N., Saxena, J., & Prasad, R. (2023). Nanotechnology as a sustainable approach for combating the environmental effects of climate change. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 12, 100541. [Google Scholar](#)
- [193] Aithal, P. S., Prabhu, S., & Aithal, S. (2024). Future of higher education through technology prediction and forecasting. *Poornaprajna International Journal of Management, Education, and Social Science (PIJMESS)*, 1(1), 01-50. [Google Scholar](#)
